ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

CITY OF MONROE, LOUISIANA



For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

Under provisions of state law, this report is a public document. A copy of the report has been submitted to the entity and other appropriate public officials. The report is available for public inspection at the Baton Rouge office of the Legislative Auditor and, where appropriate, at the office of the parish clerk of court.

Release Date //6//0

Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Statement | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-----------|-------------|
| Independent Auditors' Report | | 1 |
| Required Supplemental Information (Part A) Management's Discussion and Analysis | · | 4 |
| BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | | |
| GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL STATEM | MENTS | |
| Statement of Net Assets Statement of Activities | A B | 15 16 |
| FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | | |
| Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds | C | 18 |
| Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Assets | D | 19 |
| Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes In Fund Balances – Governmental Funds | E | 20 |
| Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, And Changes in Fund Balances – Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities | F | 21 |
| Statement of Net Assets - Proprietary Funds | G | 22 |
| Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets – Proprietary Funds | н | 24 |
| Statement of Cash Flows - Proprietary Funds | I | 25 |
| Statement of Net Assets - Fiduciary Funds | J | 26 |
| Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Assets - Fiduciary Funds | K | 27 |
| Combining Statement of Net Assets – All Discretely Presented Component Units Combining Statement of Activities – | L | 28 |
| All Discretely Presented Component Units | M | 29 |

Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Statement | <u>Page</u> |
|---|------------------|-------------|
| Notes to the Financial Statements | | 30 |
| Required Supplemental Information (Part B) | | |
| Budgetary Comparison Schedules: | | |
| General Fund | | 68* |
| Capital Infrastructure - Special Revenue Fund | | 70* |
| OTHER SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMAT | ION | |
| Non-Major Governmental Funds: | | |
| Combining Balance Sheet | | 72* |
| Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and | | |
| Changes in Fund Balance | | 73* |
| Non-Major Special Revenue Funds: | | |
| Combining Balance Sheet | | 75* |
| Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and | | |
| Changes in Fund Balance | | 79* |
| Non-Major Debt Service Funds: | | |
| Combining Balance Sheet | | 84* |
| Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and | • | |
| Changes in Fund Balance | | 85* |
| Non-Major Capital Projects Funds: | | |
| Combining Balance Sheet | | 87* |
| Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and | | |
| Changes in Fund Balance | | 89* |
| Non-Major Enterprise Funds: | | |
| Combining Schedule of Net Assets | | 93* |
| Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenses, and | | |
| Changes in Net Assets | | 94* |
| Combining Schedule of Cash Flows | | 95* |

Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| <u>Page</u> |
|-------------------|
| 97* 98* 99* |
| 101* |
| 102* |
| 103* |
| 106* |
| 108* |
| 3 |
| 111 |
| 113 |
| 115* |
| 116* |
| 118* |
| 129 |
| 137 |
| 139* |
| |

Luffey, Huffman, Ragsdale & Soignier

(A Professional Accounting Corporation)

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

John L. Luffey, MBA, CPA (1963-2002) Francis I. Huffman, CPA Phillp A. Ragsdale, CPA David Ray Soignier, CPA, MBA

John Herman, CPA Lynn Andries, CPA Esther Atteberry, CPA Sandra Harrington, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

City of Monroe Monroe, Louisiana

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business type activities, each major fund, the fiduciary funds, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Monroe, Louisiana (the City) as of and for the year ended April 30, 2009, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements on pages 15 through 66 as listed in the accompanying table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the City's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We did not audit the financial statements of the Monroe City Court or the Monroe City Marshal, which represent the aggregate discretely presented component units. Those financial statements were audited by other auditors whose reports have been furnished to us, and our opinion on the financial statements, insofar as it relates to the amounts included in the component unit columns, is based on the reports of the other auditors.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards for financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the Louisiana Governmental Audit Guide issued by the Louisiana Legislative Auditor and the Louisiana Society of Certified Public Accountants. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit and the reports of other auditors provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audit and the reports of other auditors, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, the fiduciary funds, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of April 30, 2009, and the respective changes in financial position and cash flows, where applicable, thereof for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

City of Monroe Monroe, Louisiana

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated October 31, 2009 on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

The Management's Discussion and Analysis and the budgetary information on pages 4 through 12 and pages 68 through 70 respectively, are not a required part of the basic financial statements but are supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. The accompanying information listed as other supplemental information (including the schedule of expenditures of federal awards) and the budgetary comparison schedules listed as required supplementary information in the Table of Contents are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. This supplemental information is the responsibility of management of the City. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion is fairly presented in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of passenger facility charges on page 139 is presented for purposes of additional analysis as specified in the Passenger Facility Charge Audit Guide for Public Agencies, issued by the Federal Aviation Administration, and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Haffey Haffman Roydale & Signice

(A Professional Accounting Corporation)

October 30, 2009

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION (PART A)

As management of the City of Monroe (the City), we offer readers of the City of Monroe's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the City of Monroe for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2009. It is designed to assist the reader in focusing on significant financial issues, identify changes in the city's financial position, and identify material deviations and individual fund issues or concerns.

The Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) is an element of the reporting model adopted by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) in their Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statements – and Management's Discussion and Analysis – for State and Local Government issued June 2001. Certain comparative information between the current year and the prior year is required to be presented in the MD&A.

Financial Highlights

- The assets of the City of Monroe exceeded its liabilities at the close of the most recent fiscal year by \$225 million (net assets). Of this amount, the \$9.4 million deficit (unrestricted net assets) represents the portion of net assets which is not invested in capital assets or otherwise restricted.
- The government's total net assets increased by \$4.1 million.
- As of the close of the current fiscal year, the City of Monroe's governmental funds reported combined ending fund balances of \$78.2 million, an increase of \$5.7 million in comparison with the prior year. Of this total amount, \$23.1 million is in unreserved fund balance.
- At the end of the current fiscal year, approximately \$340,000 of the General Fund's fund balance was reserved for inventories and prepaid items; and approximately \$30.1 million was designated for capital improvements.
- The City of Monroe's total debt increased by approximately \$4.4 million during the current fiscal year.

Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the City of Monroe's basic financial statements. The City of Monroe's basic financial statements comprise three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other supplementary information in addition to the basic financial statements themselves.

Not only do the government-wide financial statements include the City itself which is the primary government, but also it's component units, Monroe City Court and Monroe City Marshal. Although these component units are legally separate, their operational or financial relationship with the City makes the City financially accountable.

Government-wide financial statements. The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the City of Monroe's finances, in a manner similar to a private-sector business.

The statement of net assets presents information on all of the City of Monroe's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as net assets. Over time, increases or decreases in net assets may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the City of Monroe is improving or deteriorating.

The statement of activities presents information showing how the City's net assets changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net assets are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will only result in cash flows in future fiscal periods (e.g., uncollected taxes and earned but unused vacation leave).

Both of the government-wide financial statements distinguish functions of the City of Monroe that are principally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues (governmental activities) from other functions that are intended to recover all or a significant portion of their costs through user fees and charges (business-type activities). The governmental activities of the City of Monroe include general government, public safety, public works, economic development, health and welfare, and culture and recreation. The business-type activities of the City of Monroe include an airport, public transportation system, civic center, zoo, livestock arena, water and sewer systems.

The government-wide financial statements include not only the City of Monroe itself (known as the primary government), but also a legally separate City Court and a legally separate City Marshal for which the City of Monroe is financially accountable. Financial information for these component units is reported separately from the financial information presented for the primary government itself.

Fund financial statements. A fund is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The City of Monroe, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. All of the funds of the City of Monroe can be divided into three categories: governmental funds, proprietary funds, and fiduciary funds.

Governmental funds. Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. However, unlike the government-wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements focus on near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as on balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in evaluating a government's near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of governmental funds is more narrow than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for governmental funds with similar information presented for governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the City's near-term financing decisions. Both the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between governmental funds and governmental activities.

The City of Monroe maintains 75 individual governmental funds. Information is presented separately in the governmental fund balance sheet and in the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances for the General Fund, Capital Infrastructure Special Revenue Fund, Sales Tax Bond and I-20 Economic District Debt Service Funds, I-20 Corridor and Airport Terminal Capital Projects Fund, all of which are considered to be major funds. Data from the other 68 governmental funds are combined into a single, aggregated presentation. Individual fund data for each of these nonmajor governmental funds is provided in the form of combining statements elsewhere in this report.

The City of Monroe adopts an annual appropriated budget for its General Fund, and all Special Revenue funds. Budgetary comparison statements have been provided for the General Fund and the Capital Infrastructure Special Revenue Fund to demonstrate compliance with this budget.

Proprietary funds. The City of Monroe maintains two different types of proprietary funds. Enterprise funds are used to report the same functions presented as business-type activities in the government-wide financial statements. An internal service fund is an accounting device used to accumulate and allocate costs internally among the City of Monroe's various functions. Because the internal service fund predominantly benefits governmental rather than business-type functions, it has been included within governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. Proprietary funds provide the same type of information as the government-wide financial statements, only in more detail.

Fiduciary funds. Fiduciary funds are used to account for resources held for the benefit of parties outside the City. Fiduciary funds are not reflected in the government-wide financial statement because the resources of those funds are not available to support the City of Monroe's own programs. The accounting used for fiduciary funds is much like that used for proprietary funds.

Notes to the financial statements. The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements.

Other information. In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents certain required supplementary information concerning the City of Monroe's compliance with budgets for its major funds. The combining statements for non-major governmental funds are presented immediately following the required supplementary information.

Government-wide Financial Analysis

As noted earlier, net assets may serve over time as a useful indicator of a government's financial position. In the case of the City of Monroe, assets exceeded liabilities by approximately \$225 million at the close of the most recent fiscal year.

By far the largest amount of the City of Monroe's net assets (\$180 million) reflects its investment in capital assets (e.g., land, buildings, machinery, and equipment), less any related debt used to acquire those assets that is still outstanding. The City of Monroe uses these capital assets to provide services to citizens; consequently, these assets are *not* available for future spending. Although the City of Monroe's investment in its capital assets is reported net of related debt, it should be noted that the resources needed to repay this debt must be provided from other sources, since the capital assets themselves cannot be used to liquidate these liabilities.

City of Monroe's Net Assets April 30, 2009 and 2008

| | | mental vities | Business Activi | • • | Tol | αĬ |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------|
| | 2009_ | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | | |
| Current and other assets | 90,506,166 | 84,910,639 \$ | 2,089,689 \$ | 1,881,167 \$ | 92,595,855 \$ | 86,791,80 6 |
| Capital Assets | 173,150,854 | _177,311,087 | 141,923,121 | 137,499,814 | 315,073,975 | 314,810,901 |
| Total Assets | 263,657,020 | 262,221,726 | 144,012,810 | 139,380,981 | 407,669,830 | 401,602,707 |
| | | | | | | |
| <u>Liabilities</u> | | | | | | |
| Current and other liabilities | 1 5,470,499 | 18,461,529 | 2,811,526 | 2,221,598 | 18,282,025 | 20,683,127 |
| Long-term liabilities | 161,035,807 | 155,929,598 | 3,324,070 | 3,502,207 | 164,359,877 | 159,431,805 |
| Total Liabilities | 176,506,306 | 174,391,127 | 6,135,596 | 5,723,805 | 182,641,902 | 180,114,932 |
| | | | | | | |
| Net Assets | | | | | | |
| Invested in capital assets, | | | | | | |
| net of debt | 105,375,467 | 102,466,432 | 74,332,022 | 74,759,434 | 179,707,489 | 177,225,866 |
| Restricted | 54,746,361 | 61,115,875 | - | • | 54,746,361 | 61,115,875 |
| Unrestricted | (72,971,114) | (75,751,708) | 63,545,192 | 58,897,742 | (9,425,922) | (16,853,966) |
| Total Net Assets | 87,150,714 | 87,830,599 \$ | 137,877,214 \$ | 133,657,176 \$ | 225,027,928 \$ | 221,487,775 |

The deficit of \$72.9 million in unrestricted net assets in the governmental activities represents the accumulated results of all past year's operations. The principal factors in the large unrestricted deficit are that the City has outstanding debt of approximately \$164 million as well as approximately \$180 million invested in capital assets. Capital assets and long term debt have historically not been reported in governmental funds, but under GASB 34 the reporting of these results in an unrestricted deficit in the governmental activities.

City of Monroe's Changes in Net Assets For the years ended April 30, 2009 and 2008

| | Govern | mental | Busine | ss-Type | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Activ | vities | Acti | vities | To | tal |
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Revenues | | | | | | |
| Program revenues | | | | | | |
| Charges for services | \$ 24,658,136 | \$ 20,842,630 | \$ 16,350,889 | \$ 15,985,370 | \$ 41,009,025 | \$ 36,828,000 |
| Operating grants and contributions | 3,419,740 | 3,910,728 | 403,544 | 669,298 | 3,823,284 | 4,580,026 |
| Capital grants and contributions | 4,201,882 | 4,373,467 | 11,693,265 | 9,333,221 | 15,895,147 | 13,706,688 |
| General revenues | | | | | | |
| Property taxes | 8,343,836 | 8,308,374 | 2,075,277 | 1,973,514 | 10,419,113 | 10,281,888 |
| Sales taxes | 56,838,007 | 56,282,774 | - | - | 56,838,007 | 56,282,774 |
| Other taxes | 955,224 | 882,969 | - | - | 955,224 | 882,969 |
| Other general revenues | 1,988,547 | 8,769,586 | 141,232 | (134,406) | 2,129,779 | 8,635,180 |
| Total revenues | 100,405,372 | 103,370,528 | 30,664,207 | 27,826,997 | 131,069,579 | 131,197,525 |
| Expenses | | | | | | |
| General government | 22,318,212 | 19,819,736 | , - | | 22,318,212 | 19,819,736 |
| Public safety | 32,752,653 | 32,321,271 | | _ | 32,752,653 | 32,321,271 |
| Public works | 19,427,509 | 18,978,828 | _ | _ | 19,427,509 | 18,978,828 |
| Culture and recreation | 4,064,672 | 4,839,794 | _ | | 4,064,672 | 4,839,794 |
| Planning and urban dev. | 2,376,802 | 2,359,691 | - | | 2,376,802 | 2,359,691 |
| Economic development | 1,035,353 | 1,606,959 | - | | 1,035,353 | 1,606,959 |
| Interest on long term debt | 8,039,160 | 14,015,891 | _ | 46,862 | 8,039,160 | 14,062,753 |
| Intergovernmental | 8,745,511 | 7,646,968 | - | _ | 8,745,511 | 7,646,968 |
| Airport | | - | 2,198,892 | 2,074,149 | 2,198,892 | 2,074,149 |
| Transit | | - | 4,212,198 | 4,357,591 | 4,212,198 | 4,357,591 |
| Civic center | - | • | 3,553,218 | 3,928,007 | 3,553,218 | 3,928,007 |
| Zoo | - | - | 1,552,173 | 1,820,089 | 1,552,173 | 1,820,089 |
| Livestock arena | - | - | 11,844 | 32,970 | 11,844 | 32,970 |
| Water | | _ | 7,620,004 | 6,561,070 | 7,620,004 | 6,561,070 |
| Sewer | | | 9,053,953 | 8,633,164 | 9,053,953 | 8,633,164 |
| Total expenses | 98,759,872 | 101,589,138 | 28,202,282 | 27,453,902 | 126,962,154 | 129,043,040 |
| Increase in net asssets | | | | | | |
| before transfers | 1,645,500 | 1,781,390 | 2,461,925 | 373,095 | 4,107,425 | 2,154,485 |
| Transfers | (1,758,112) | (1,139,336) | 1,758,112 | 1,140,339 | | 1,003 |
| Change in net assets | \$ (112,612) | \$ 642,054 | \$ 4,220,037 | \$ 1,513,434 | \$ 4,107,425 | \$ 2,155,488 |

Governmental Activities (continued)

Expenses are classified by functions/programs. Public safety accounts for approximately \$32.7 million for fiscal year 2009. Other functions such as general government, public works, planning and urban development, culture and recreation and economic development totaled approximately \$49.1 million. The remaining costs represent payments for debt service and intergovernmental expenses totaling approximately \$17 million.

The related program revenues for fiscal year 2009 directly related to these expenses totaled \$32.3 million, which resulted in net program expenses of \$66.5 million. The remaining balance of expenses represents the cost to the taxpayers. The costs of governmental activities exceeding restricted state and federal grants are paid primarily from the following sources:

- Sales tax revenues are the largest and most significant source of revenue for the City of Monroe. It provides approximately \$32.5 million of General Fund revenues.
- Property taxes are the second largest revenue source to the City, generating approximately
 \$8.4 million of General Fund revenues.

Business-type activities. Business-type activities increased the City of Monroe's net assets by approximately \$4.2 million. Key elements of this increase are as follows:

- Airport increased the City's net assets by approximately \$1.7 million.
- Water Fund activities increased net assets by approximately \$970,000.
- Sewer Fund activities increased net assets by approximately \$931,000.
- Monroe Transit System activities decreased the City's net assets by approximately \$432,000.
- All other business-type activities decreased net assets by approximately \$2.9 million.

Financial Analysis of the Government's Funds

As noted earlier, the City of Monroe uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements.

The focus of the City of Monroe's governmental funds is to provide information on near-term inflows, outflows, and balances of spendable resources. Such information is useful in assessing the City of Monroe's financing requirements. In particular, unreserved fund balance may serve as a useful measure of a government's net resources available for spending at the end of the fiscal year.

As of the end of the current fiscal year, the City of Monroe's governmental funds reported combined ending fund balances of \$78.2 million, an increase of \$5.7 million in comparison with the prior year. Approximately 29 percent of this total amount (\$23.2 million) constitutes unreserved fund balance. The remainder of fund balance is reserved to indicate that it is not available for new spending because it has already been committed.

The General Fund is the chief operating fund of the City of Monroe. At the end of the current fiscal year, fund balance of the General Fund was approximately \$12.3 million of which approximately \$340,000 is reserved for inventories and prepaid items, and approximately 467,000 is designated for capital improvements.

The fund balance of the City of Monroe's General Fund increased by approximately \$460,000 during the current fiscal year.

The special revenue funds have a total fund balance of \$26.3 million, of which approximately \$15.0 million is reserved for debt service related to the Capital Infrastructure Fund.

The debt service funds have a total fund balance of \$10 million, all of which is reserved for the payment of debt service.

The capital project funds have a fund balance of approximately \$29.7 million all of which is reserved for capital improvements.

General Fund Budgetary Highlights

In accordance with Louisiana Revised Statutes Title 39, Chapter 9, Louisiana Local Government Budget Act (LSA- R.S 39:1301 et seq), the City of Monroe must adopt a budget for the General Fund and all Special Revenue funds prior to April 30. The original budget for the City was adopted on April 8, 2008, and the final budget amendment was adopted on April 14, 2009.

The total difference between the original General Fund budget and the final amended budget was an increase in appropriations of \$2.3 million and an increase in anticipated revenues of approximately \$3.1 million. The majority of the appropriation increase (\$2.0 million) was due to increases in appropriations for Fire (\$0.8 million), Public Works (\$0.7 million) and Police (\$0.5 million).

Capital Asset and Debt Administration

Capital assets. The City of Monroe's investment in capital assets for its governmental and business type activities as of April 30, 2008, amounts to approximately \$315 million (net of accumulated depreciation). This investment in capital assets includes land, buildings and system improvements, machinery and equipment, park facilities, roads, highways, and bridges.

City of Monroe Capital Assets (Net of Accumulated Depreciation) April 30, 2009 and 2008

| | Govern | | Busines | _ | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| _ | Activ | vities | Activ | rities | <u>Tot</u> | als |
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Land | \$ 17,472,810 | \$ 17,427,023 | \$ 5,542,940 | \$ 5,542,940 | 23,015,750 | 22,969,963 |
| Buildings and improvements | 34,172,260 | 33,775,192 | 39,021,739 | 34,614,908 | 73,193,999 | 68,390,100 |
| Equipment and furniture | 26,302,378 | 24,037,691 | 18,211,971 | 15,061,497 | 44,514,349 | 39,099,188 |
| Construction in progress | 16,894,866 | 16,249,427 | 9,537,427 | 11,565,994 | 26,432,293 | 27,815,421 |
| Infrastructure | 141,328,638 | 139,877,414 | 165,725,995 | 161,837,020 | 307,054,633 | 301,714,434 |
| Zoo animals | • | • | 67,755 | 87,695 | 67,755 | 87,695 |
| Total | 236,170,952 | 231,366,747 | 238,107,827 | 228,710,054 | 474,278,779 | 460,076,801 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | (63,020,098) | (54,055,660) | (96,184,706) | (91,210,240) | (159,204,804) | (145,265,900) |
| Total Net Capital Assets | \$173,150,854 | \$177,311,087 | \$141,923,121 | \$ 137,499,814 | \$315,073,975 | \$314,810,901 |

Major capital asset events during the current fiscal year included the following:

- Construction of sewer and water projects at an approximately total cost of \$6.1 million.
- Construction of I-20 Corridor projects of approximately \$1.5 million.
- Expenditures for a variety of street construction projects totaled \$1.5 million for the year.
- Construction on general infrastructure and general capital asset projects of approximately \$500,000.
- Improvement projects at the airport totaled approximately \$2.3 million.

City of Monroe Outstanding Debt April 30, 2009 and 2008

| | Govern | me | ntal | Busine. | s-7 | Гуре | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------|-------|-------------|-----------------|------|-----------|-------------------|-----|-------------|
| | Acti | vitie | s | Acti | riti | es | To | tal | |
| | 2009 | | 2008 | 2009 | | 2008 | 2009 | | 2008 |
| General Obligation Bonds | \$ 170,000 | \$ | 335,000 | \$ • | \$ | | \$ 170,000 | \$ | 335,000 |
| Tax Increment Bonds | 10,645,000 | | 27,705,000 | - | | - | 10,645,000 | | 27,705,000 |
| Certificates of Indebtedness | 1,525,000 | | 2,106,000 | - | | 39,000 | 1,525,000 | | 2,145,000 |
| Sales Tax Bonds | 49,315,000 | | 80,160,000 | - | | - | 49,315,000 | | 80,160,000 |
| Utility Revenue Bonds | 35,325,884 | | 32,971,336 | 2,294,123 | | 2,392,103 | 37,620,007 | | 35,363,439 |
| Refunding Bonds | 52,790,775 | | 2,750,000 | • | | - | 52,790,775 | | 2,750,000 |
| Claims and Judgments | 7,303,139 | | 7,853,787 | - | | - | 7,303,139 | | 7,853,787 |
| Capital Lease | 2,309,299 | | 2,458,430 | - | | - | 2,309,299 | | 2,458,430 |
| Notes Payable | 2,299,953 | | 2,859,077 | - | | - | 2,299,953 | | 2,859,077 |
| Compensated Absences | 5,472,528 | | 4,915,222 | 1,029,948 | | 1,110,105 | 6,502,476 | | 6,025,327 |
| Other Post-Employment | 1,622,652 | | | | _ | • | 1,622,652 | | |
| Total | \$ 168,779,230 | \$ | 164,113,852 | \$ 3,324,071 | \$ | 3,541,208 | \$ 172,103,301 | \$ | 167,655,060 |

The City of Monroe's total debt increased by approximately \$4.4 million (2.6 %) during the current fiscal year.

Economic Factors and Next Year's Budgets and Rates

The City of Monroe has weathered the global economic downtum well and has only seen some declines in sales tax during the last six months. Over the next year, Monroe is projected to have the highest employment growth rate of any city in the State of Louisiana. This projection is based on several factors: the creation of a V-Vehicle plant, Gardner-Denver's movement of its Wisconsin operations to Monroe, construction on these two facilities, and construction of the City's new \$35 million airport terminal. As the City of Monroe is the major economic hub for the region, the City continues to focus on a regional approach to economic development knowing that these investments will contribute to the future prosperity of the City.

Requests for Information

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the City of Monroe's finances for all those with an interest in the government's finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information should be addressed to the Director of Administration, The City of Monroe, 400 Lea Joyner Memorial Expressway, Monroe, LA, 71201.

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CITY OF MONROE Monroe, Louisiana Statement of Net Assets April 30, 2009

Primary Government

| | | | |) Government | | | | |
|---|------|---------------------------|----|----------------------------|----|-------------|---|-------------------|
| | | overnmental Activities | В | isiness-Type Activities | | Total | C | omponent Units |
| ASSETS | | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | . \$ | 69,337,157 | \$ | 3,246,224 | \$ | 72,583,381 | S | 1,399,273 |
| Investments | | 4,117,220 | | | | 4,117,220 | | 38,201 |
| Receivables | | 8,267,737 | | 1,731,775 | | 9,999,512 | | 70,028 |
| Internal balances | | 3,327,694 | | (3,345,076) | | (17,382) | | |
| Inventories | | 172,885 | | 369,090 | | 541,975 | | |
| Prepaid expenses | | 994,299 | | 87,676 | | 1,081,975 | | 12,735 |
| Bond issuance costs | | 4,289,174 | | | | 4,289,174 | | |
| Capital assets, net | | 173,150,854 | | 141,923,121 | | 315,073,975 | | 235,946 |
| Total Assets | | 263,657,020 | | 144,012,810 | | 407,669,830 | | 1,756,183 |
| LIABILITIES | | | | | | | | |
| Accounts payables and accrued expenses | | 4,629,553 | | 1,383,733 | | 6,013,286 | | 16,467 |
| Accrued payroll related liabilities | | 1,009,781 | | .,, | | 1,009,781 | | |
| Deferred revenues | | 518,930 | | 81,006 | | 599,936 | | |
| Due to other agencies | | 17,153 | | • | | 17,153 | | |
| Deposits due others | | , | | 1,346,787 | | 1,346,787 | | |
| Other current liabilities | | 47,543 | | . , | | 47,543 | | |
| Accrued interest payable | | 1,504,116 | | | | 1,504,116 | | |
| Noncurrent Liabilities | | | | | | | | |
| Due within one year | | 7,743,423 | | | | 7,743,423 | | 3,591 |
| Due in more than one year | | 161,035,807 | | 3,324,070 | _ | 164,359,877 | | 8,394 |
| Total Liabilities | | 176,506,306 | | 6,135,596 | | 182,641,902 | | 28,452 |
| NET ASSETS | | | | | | | | |
| Invested in capital assets, net of related debt | | 105,375,467 | | 74,332,022 | | 179,707,489 | | 223,961 |
| Restricted for | | | | • • | | | | • |
| Debt service | | 24,589,390 | | | | 24,589,390 | | |
| Capital | | 30,156,971 | | | | 30,156,971 | | |
| Unrestricted | | (72,971,114) | | 63,545,192 | | (9,425,922) | | 1,503,770 |
| Total Net Assets | \$ | 87,150,714 | s | 137,877,214 | s | 225,027,928 | ŝ | 1,727,731 |

CITY OF MONROB Monroe, Louisiana Statement of Accivities For the Year Ended April 30, 2009

Net (Everyone) Revenues and Changes in Net Assets

| | | | | | Net (Expenses) I | Revenues and Chan | ges in Net Assets | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| | | | Program Reven | uer | 1 | rimary Governmen | nt | |
| Functions/Programs | Expenses | Charges for Services | Operating Grants & Contribution | Capital Grants & Contributions | Governmental Activities | Business-Type Activities | Total | Component Units |
| Primary government | | | 4,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1 | | | | | |
| Governmental activities | | | | | | | | |
| General government | \$ 22,318,212 | \$ 19,955,456 | \$ 88,555 | \$ 512,378 | \$ (1,751,823) | | \$ (1,751,823) | |
| Public safety | 32,752,653 | 321,446 | 1,921,021 | 782,345 | (29,727,841) | | (29,727,841) | |
| Public works | 19,427,509 | 3,422,809 | 9,745 | 2,577,812 | (13,417,143) | | (13,417,143) | |
| Culture and recreation | 4,064,672 | 556,321 | 112,661 | 189,244 | (3,196,446) | | (3, 196, 446) | |
| Planning and urban development | 2,376,802 | 255,541 | 1,287,758 | 140,103 | (682,300) | | (682,300) | |
| Economic development and estimance | 1,035,353 | 115,463 | | | (919,890) | | (919,890) | |
| Debt service interest | 7,166,608 | | | | (7,166,608) | | (7,166,608) | |
| Capital lease interest | 132,224 | | | | (132,224) | | (132,224) | |
| Judgments | 740,328 | | | | (740,328) | | (740,328) | |
| Intergovermental expenditures | 8,745,511 | | | | (8,745,511) | | (8,745,511) | |
| Total governmental activities | 98,759,872 | 24,658,136 | 3,419,740 | 4,201,882 | (56,480,114) | | (66,480,114) | |
| Business-type activities | | | | | | | | |
| Airport | 2,198,892 | 1,454,027 | | 2,421,060 | | s 1,676,195 | 1,676,195 | |
| Transit | 4,212,198 | 562,542 | 403,544 | 2,814,136 | | (431,976) | (431,976) | |
| Civic Center | 3,553,218 | 1,825,5\$6 | | 197,02 | | (1,676,871) | (1,676,871) | |
| Zoe | 1,552,173 | 338,697 | | | | (1,213,476) | (1,213,476) | |
| Livestock Arena | 11,844 | 1,805 | | | | (10,039) | (10,039) | |
| Water | 7,620,004 | 7,603,470 | | 985,916 | | 970,382 | 970,382 | |
| Sewer | 9,053,953 | 4,564,792 | | 5,420,362 | | 931,201 | 931,201 | |
| Total business-type activities | 28,202,282 | 16,350,889 | 403,544 | 11,693,265 | | 245,416 | 245,416 | |
| Total primary government | \$ 126,962,154 | \$ 41,009,025 | \$ 3,823,284 | \$ 15,895,147 | \$ (66,480,114) | \$ 245,416 | \$ (66,234,698) | |
| Compenent units | | | | | | | | |
| City Court | \$ 287,619 | \$ 420,009 | | | | | | \$ 132,390 |
| City Marshal | 425,943 | 389,681 | | | | | | (36,262) |
| • | 713,562 | 809,690 | | | | | | |
| Total component units | | | · | <u></u> | | | ***** | 96,128 |
| | Ad valorem tax | | | | 8,343,836 | 2,075,277 | 10,419,113 | |
| | Sales tax | | | | 56,838,007 | | 56,838,007 | |
| | Other taxes | | | | 955,224 | | 955,224 | |
| | | estment comings | | | 1.321.703 | | 1,321,703 | 11,883 |
| | Loss on sale of | capital assets | | | (33,278) | | (33,278) | (116,520) |
| | Miscellaneous Transfers | | | | 138,674 | 1.756.117 | 138,674 | 12,325 |
| | Special items | | | | (1,758,112) | 1,758,112 | | |
| | Insurance proce | abe | | | 561,448 | 141,232 | 702,680 | |
| | Total general | revenues and sepa | anate line items | | 66,367,502 | 3,974,621 | 70,342,123 | (92,312) |
| | Changes in a | nel esseis | | | (112,612) | 4,220,037 | 4,107,425 | 3,816 |
| | Net assets - res | tated (az restated, | see Note 19) | | 87,263,326 | 133,657,177 | 220,920,503 | 1,723,915 |
| | Net assets - enc | ling | | | \$ 87,150,714 | \$ 137,877,214 | \$ 225,027,928 | \$ 1,727,731 |
| | | | | | | | | |

FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CITY OF MONROE
Monroe, Louisiana
Balance Sheet
Governmental Funds
April 30, 2009

| | | | : | i | | Major | Major Funds | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--|---------|-------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------|--------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----------|---|----------|---|
| | | : | Speck | Special Revenue | | Debt (| Debt Service | | | Capital | Capital Projects | | | | | |
| | | General | Cultura | Capital Infrastructure | F-24 | F.20 Economic District | Sales | Sales Fax Bond | 1-2 | L20 Corridor | Airpo | Airport Terminal | | Other | | Total |
| ASSETS Cash and cash equivalents Investments Receivables Due from other funds Inventories |) <i>u</i> a | 6,631,258 3,883,828 5,004,044 113,649 | 44 | 9,814,951 4,117,222 1,057,730 | • | 1,563,627 | • | 6,639,590 | ú | 15,660,285 | 6 | 122,713 | ω | 26,962,384 2,186,741 2,302,458 768,027 | • | 67,272,095 4,117,222 7,950,370 7,314,490 113,649 994,299 |
| Frepaul expouses & ourst assets, fett Total Assets | , | \$ 15,859,051 | | 14,989,903 | | 2,262,985 | \sigma_{\sigma} | 6,639,590 | | 15,660,285 | 2 | 130,701 | ۰, | 32,219,610 | <u>م</u> | 87,762,125 |
| LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES Labilities Accounts and retainage payable Accured liabilities Due to other funds Deferred revenue Due to other agencies Other current siabilities | w | 1,783,338 213,695 (,251,049 317,065 17,153 | | 185 388,367 | | | cs. | 806,173 | • | 384,730 | • | 305,713 685,9 8 7 | • | 1,317,814 1,470,455 518,929 | ~ | 4,597,953 213,695 3,795,858 835,594 17,153 47,543 |
| Total Liabibiés | İ | 3,582,525 | | 388,552 | | | | 806,173 | | 384,730 | | 991,700 | | 3,354,516 | | 9,508,196 |
| Fund Balances Reserved for: Debt service Inventories and prepaids Capital improvements Uneserved: | , | 339,922 467,225 11,469,379 | | 14,601,351 | | 2,262,985 | | 5,833,417 | | 15,275,555 | | (860,999) | | 1,891,634 15,275,190 11,698,270 | | 24,589,387 339,922 30,156,971 23,167,649 |
| Total Fund Balances | ł | 12,276,526 | | 14,601,351 | | 2,262,985 | | 5,833,417 | | 15,275,555 | | (860,999) | | 28,865,094 | | 78,253,929 |
| Total Liabilities and Kund Balances | ∽ ∦ | 15,859,051 | \$ | 14,989,903 | 2 | 2,262,985 | S | 6,639,590 | | 15,660,285 | 9 | 130,701 | <u>ب</u> | 32,219,610 | . | 87,762,125 |

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

Monroe, Louisiana

Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Assets April 30, 2009

| Fund balances - total governmental funds | \$ | 78,253,929 |
|---|-----|---------------|
| Amounts reported for governmental activities are not financial resources | | |
| and, therefore, are not reported in the governmental funds | | |
| Governmental capital assets \$ 232,451,203 | | |
| Less: accumulated depreciation (61,944,364) | | 170,506,839 |
| Assets used in governmental activities that are not financial | | |
| resources and, therefore, are not reported in the governmental funds | | |
| Bond issuance costs | | 4,289,174 |
| Some revenues were collected more than sixty days after | | |
| year-end and, therefore, are not available soon enough | | |
| to pay for current-period expenditures. | | |
| Deferred property taxes - General Fund 317,065 | | |
| Sales tax increment - I-20 Corridor 224,899 | | |
| Sales tax increment - Tower Drive 87,521 | | 629,485 |
| Long-term liabilities, including bonds payable, are not due and payable | | |
| in the current period and, therefore, are not reported in the governmental funds. | | |
| Accrued interest payable (1,504,116) | | |
| Bonds payable (151,885,884) | | |
| Deferred amount on refunding bonds 2,114,225 | | |
| Compensated absences payable (5,472,528) | | |
| Obligation under capital leases (2,309,299) | | |
| Note payable (2,299,953) | | |
| Claims and judgments payable (7,303,139) | | |
| Other post-employemnt benefits (1,622,652) | | (170,283,346) |
| Internal service funds are used by management to account for the provision of | | |
| repair and maintenance services and motor fuels to various City departments. | | |
| The assets and liabilities of the internal service funds are | | |
| included in governmental activities in the statement of net assets. | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents 2,065,060 | | |
| Receivables 4,948 | | |
| Due from other funds 858,184 | | |
| Inventories 59,236 | | |
| Capital assets, net 2,644,015 | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses (827,688) | | |
| Transfers (1,049,122) | | 3,754,633 |
| Net assets of governmental activities | \$_ | 87,150,714 |

Manroe, Louisiana

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances

Governmental Funds

For the Year Ended April 30, 2009

Major Funds Special Revenue Debt Service Capital Projects Capital I-20 Economic Airport Terminal General Sales Tan Bond 1-20 Corridor Other Total lafrattructu District REVENUES Taxes 8,387,174 8,393,143 Ari valorent 5.969 5 76,555 76,555 Other Sales 32,542,857 \$ 13,695,167 \$ 2,812,958 1,729,465 56,780,447 Fees, charges and commussions for survices 9,170,499 372,368 9,542,867 318,012 164,094 38,614 S S 29 333 434.543 1.509,574 Use of money and properly 524 97B 204,852 329,796 534,648 Other revenues Fines and Forfei 782,751 885,792 listrammyvogražni 183,000 183,000 850,414 \$ 1,006,967 2.324,705 Federal exents 4,182,086 1,893,762 404,996 1,010,175 3,308,933 State grants Local greats 74,745 74,745 Licenses, permits, and assessments 3,830,939 3,830,939 Total Revenues 58,240,815 13,859,261 2,851,572 524,978 29,333 1,411,963 12,384,807 89,302,729 EXPENDITURES General covernment 253,436 253.436 Legislative Indicial 2,911,064 123,961 3,035,025 Executive 855.467 855,467 1,172,258 347.883 7 023,895 Exercise administration 28,411 8,572,447 Public safety 12,175,369 4,107,254 15,282,613 Police 11,975,426 3,150,114 15,125,540 9,583,227 775,143 11,324,074 Public works 965,704 3,061,904 614,618 3,676,522 Culture and secretion 826,500 1,550,302 2,376,202 Planning and urban development Economic development and estimate 6,500 1.028,854 1,035,354 768,899 2,197,457 1,000,425 Capital outlay 10.873.239 14,840,020 Debt service: Debt service principle 403,492 1,700,000 4,397,020 1,659,124 8,359,636 Debt service interest 72,071 2,5\$0,313 5,449,598 789,296 8,861,280 149,131 Camital lease principle 149.131 132,224 Capital lease interest 132,224 183,022 183,012 50,375,127 Total Expenditures 775,143 4,250,313 9,875,029 2,179,183 2,197,457 25,410,351 95,062,603 Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures 7,865,688 13,624,118 (1,358,741) (9,350,051) (2,149,850) (785,494)(13,025,544) (3,759,874) Other Financing Sources (Uses) Payment to refunding bond estrow-principal (16,675,000) (16,615,000) 16,615,000 9,750,000 4,086,568 30 451 568 Bond issue discount (240,265) (240.265)208,430 208,420 Sale of assets 1,017,269 8,383,615 3,554,715 62,567 7,907,760 20,945,926 Transfers in Transfers out (9,215,418) (10,417,819) (3,554,185) (138,072) (503,992) (23,829,486) 561,448 insurance proceeds 561,446 Total Other Financing Sources (Uses) (7,408,281) (10,417,\$19) (3,554,185) 8,383,615 13,064,450 (75,505) 11,490,336 11,482,611 457,407 Net changes in field balances 2,656 299 (4,952,926) (966,436) 10.914.600 (860.099) (1,535,208) 5.722.237 Fund balances - beginning 11,819,119 11,935,052 7,215,911 6,799,853 4,360,955 30,400,302 72,531,392 12,276,526 \$ (860,999) Pund bulances - ending 14,601,351 \$ 2,262,985 5 5,833,417 \$ 15,275,555 \$ \$ 28,665,094 \$ 78,253,929

Monroe, Louisiana

Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds

to the Statement of Activities For the Year Ended April 30, 2009

| Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds | | \$ | 5,722,737 |
|---|-----------------|----|-------------|
| Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated | | | |
| useful lives and reported as depreciation expense. | | | |
| Capital outlay (net of \$8,745,511 capital contributions to business activities) | \$ 6,094,509 | | |
| Depreciation expense | (9,041,445) | | (2,946,936) |
| The net effect of various miscellaneous transactions involving capital assets. | | | |
| such as sales and trade-ins: | | | |
| Proceeds for sales | (208,420) | | |
| Gain (loss) on sales | (33,278) | | (241,698) |
| Some revenues will not be collected for several months after year-end | | | |
| they are not considered "available" revenues in the governmental funds. | | | |
| Property tax revenue - General Fund | (40,641) | | |
| Property tax revenue - City Court Debt Service | (8,667) | | |
| Sales tax increment - 1-20 Corridor | 21,776 | | |
| Sales tax increment - Tower Drive | 35,784 | | 8,252 |
| 28142 ray increment - 10444 DilAs | 35,164 | | 9,2.72 |
| The issuance of long-term debt provides current financial resources to governmental | | | |
| funds, while the repayment of the principal of long-term debt consumes the | | | |
| current financial resources of governmental funds. Neither transaction, however, | | | |
| has any effect on net assets. Also, governmental funds report the effect of issuance | | | |
| costs, premiums, discounts, and similar items when debt is first issued, whereas these | | | |
| amounts are deferred and amortized in the statement of activities. | | | |
| Deferred bond issuance costs | 2,478,514 | | |
| Amortization of bond issuance costs | (392,278) | | |
| Principal payments | 24,415,512 | | |
| Proceeds for bonds and certificates | (30,451,568) | | |
| Capital lease payments | 708,255 | | (3,241,565) |
| Payments to an escrow agent to refund bonded debt are reported in | | | |
| Governmental Funds as Other Financing Sources. The amount paid | | | |
| to the escrow egent for principal is removed from the long-term bonded | | | |
| debt in the Statement of Net Assets. The deferred part of the payment | | | |
| paid to the escrow agent is shown as a reduction of long-term debt in | | | |
| the Statement of Net Assets. The deferred amount is amortized over | | | |
| the lesser of the life of the original bonds or the life of the refunding | | | |
| bonds. | | | |
| Deferred amount of refunding | 1,462,775 | | |
| Current year amortization | (424,072) | | 1,038,703 |
| Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use | | | |
| of current financial resources and, therefore, are not reported as expenditures | | | |
| in governmental funds. | | | |
| Accrued interest payable | 32,508 | | |
| Compensated absences payable | (557,303) | | |
| Claims and judgments payable | 550,648 | | 25,853 |
| Other post-employment benefits are reported in the governmental funds | | | |
| as expenditures when paid. The unfunded annual contribution is reported | | | |
| in the Statement of Activities as it accrues. | | | (1,622,652) |
| | | | |
| Internal service funds are used by management to charge the costs of certain activities | | | |
| to individual funds. The net revenue (expense) of the internal service funds is | | | |
| reported with governmental activities. | | _ | 1,144,694 |
| Change in net assets of governmental activities | | \$ | (112,612) |
| - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | _ | |

CITY OF MONROE
Monroe, Louisiana
Statement of Net Assets
Proprietary Funds
April 30, 2009

| | | | | | Enterprise Funds | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------|--------------------|
| | Mource Regional Airnort | Montoe Transit System | ranskt ra | Mouroe Civic Center | Water Fund | Sewer Fund | Non-major Exterprise | Enterprise Total | ā | Internal Service |
| ASSETS Current assets Cash and cash convivalents | \$ 116,22 | u | 2,600 | \$ 26,000 | \$ 3,052,447 | \$ 46,452 | \$ 2,500 | \$ 3,246,224 | ~ | 2,065,058 |
| Receivables | 105,761 | 22 | 216,384 | 48,713 | 754,559 | 575,626 | 30,732 | 1,731,775 | | 4,948 |
| Due from other tunds Inventories Premid evrenses & other assets, net | 90,748 | ~ | 49,788 105,499 | 71,431 | 263,591 | | 571,2 | 369,090 | ļ | 59,235 |
| Total current assets | 323,157 | | 374,271 | 151,485 | 4,071,247 | 622,078 | 39,017 | 5,581,255 | , , | 2,987,425 |
| Noncurrent assets Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation | | | | | | | | | | |
| Land | 4,203,50 | | 148,239 | 993,540 | 114,152 | 83,505 | | 5,542,940 | | 45,000 |
| Buildings and improvements | 1,430,831 | | 1,992,692 | 9,511,806 | 50.000 | 532,586 391,334 | 2,658,045 | 10,123,900 | | 3,404,482 1,980 |
| Furniture & Equipment | 2,433,036 | ٣ | 3,309,104 | 1,383,388 | 2,838,317 | 2,853,813 | 916,771 | 12,995,574 | | 141,551 |
| Vehicles | • | | 5,216,397 | | | 1 | | 5,216,397 | | 126,958 |
| Infrastructure | 9,717,462 | | | | 40,767,516 | 115,241,017 | | 9.537,427 | | |
| Constituted in progress Zoo artimals Accumulated detrectation | (13.977.665) | | (856,659,5) | (8,372,010) | (22,298,705) | (42,804.878) | 67,755 (3,071,4 8 9) | 67,755 (96,184,706) | į | (1,075,736) |
| Total noncurrent assets | 24,862,686 | • | 5,173,866 | 6,472,741 | 22,476,624 | 82,053,879 | 883,325 | 141,923,121 | 1 | 2,644,015 |
| Total assets | 25,185,843 | | 5,548,137 | 6,624,226 | 26,547,871 | 82,675,957 | 922,342 | 147,504,376 | | 5,631,440 |

(continued)

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

CITY OF MONROE

Monroe, Louisiana
Statement of Net Assets (Concluded)
Proprietary Funds
April 30, 2009

| | | | | Enterprise Funds | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|
| | Monroe Regional Airport | Monroe Transit System | Monroe Civic Center | Water Fund | Sewer Fund | Non-major Enterprise | Enterprise Total | Internal Service |
| LIABILITIES Current liabilities Accounts and retainage payable | \$ 24,535 | \$ 642,570 | \$ 63,777 | \$ 408,153 | \$ 211,484 | \$ 33,214 | \$ 1,383,733 | 31,602 |
| Accrued labilities Use to other funcis Deferred revenue Customer deposits, net | 38,619 | 14,553 | 50,344 | 1,346,787 | 3,438,394 | 30,662 | 3,491,566 81,006 1,346,787 | 1,049,122 |
| Total current liabilities | 63,154 | 657,123 | 114,121 | 1,754,940 | 3,649,878 | 63,876 | 6,303,092 | 1,876,810 |
| Noncurrent liabilities Notes payable - DEQ Compensated absences | 80,074 | 291,906 | 86,695 | 203,380 | 2,294,122 | 40,986 | 2,294,122 | |
| Total noncurrent liabilities | 80,074 | 906,192 | 86,895 | 203,380 | 2,620,829 | 40,986 | 3,324,070 | |
| Total liabilities | 143,228 | 949,029 | 201,016 | 1,958,320 | 6,270,707 | 104,862 | 9,627,162 | 1,876,810 |
| NET ASSETS Unremireted | 25,042,615 | 4,599,108 | 6,423,210 | 24,589,551 | 76,405,250 | 817,480 | 137,877,214 | 3,754,630 |
| Total net assets | \$ 25,042,615 | \$ 4,599,108 | \$ 6,423,210 | \$ 24,589,551 | \$ 76,405,250 | \$ 817,480 | \$ 137,877,214 | \$ 3,754,630 |

The notes to the financial statements are so integral part of this statement.

CITY OF MONROE Monroe, Louisians Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Nes Assets Proprietary Funds

24.2 (1) (2.2)

For the Year Ended April 30, 2009

| | | | Rusiness ty | re <u>Activities - Enter</u> | prise Funds | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Mooree Regional Airport | Menros Transk System | Monroe Civie Center | Water Fund | Sewer Fund | Non-major Enterprise | Enterprise Total | Internal Service |
| Operating revenues | | | | | | | | \$ 9,245,505 |
| Charges for services Rent and fees | 2 1,033,933 | | \$ 276,473 | | | \$ 8,035 | \$ 1,318,442 | 3 3,243,300 |
| Farca | ,, | \$ 525,720 | , | | | ,,,,, | \$25,720 | |
| Advertising | 11,384 | 26,126 | 30,450 | | | | 47,960 | |
| Ticket sales and other | | , | 1,152,335 | | | | 1,152,335 | |
| Admissions | | | | | | 219,682 | 219,682 | |
| Concessions and rides | | | 363,422 | | | 101,417 | 464,839 | |
| Water sales | | | | \$ 7,603,470 | | | 7,603,470 | |
| Sawaraga fees | | | | , | \$ 4,564,792 | | 4,564,792 | |
| Other operating revenue | 128.057 | 10,696 | 2,876 | | | 11,368 | 152,997 | |
| Air Industrial Park rent | 280,653 | | | | | | 280,653 | |
| Total operating revenues | 1,454,027 | 562,542 | 1,825,556 | 7,603,470 | 4,554,792 | 340,502 | 16,350,889 | 9,245,506 |
| Operating expenses | | | | | | | | |
| Benefits paid to perficipants | | | | | | | | 7,661,149 |
| Salaries, wages, and benefits | 615,026 | 2,102,840 | 1,002,410 | 2,504,282 | 1,959,630 | 913,386 | 9,097,874 | 771,960 |
| Materials, repairs, and supplies | 120,772 | 1,129,796 | 162,671 | 2,463,082 | 1,304,991 | 266,124 | 5,447,436 | 9,241 |
| Utilities and communications | 241,276 | 109,235 | 511,571 | 844,289 | 961,288 | 117,463 | 2,785,022 | 38,695 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 997,705 | 506,821 | 511,870 | 1,092,022 | 4,222,043 | 64,656 | 7,395,117 | 106,763 |
| Shop expenses | , | | | 1,072,020 | 1,22,210 | , | | 621,652 |
| Insurance | 11,370 | 194,552 | 128,849 | 3,233 | | 17,928 | 355,932 | |
| Promoter's expenses | | | \$45,616 | | | 17,916 | 863,532 | |
| Other operating expenses | 211,744 | 169,654 | 387,893 | 713,095 | 569,383 | 166,544 | 2,218,313 | 18,511 |
| Total operating exposures | 2,198,893 | 4,212,198 | 3,550,880 | 7,520,003 | 9,017,235 | 1,564,017 | 2K,163,226 | 9,227,971 |
| Operating income (loss) | (744,866) | (3,649,656) | (1,725,324) | (16,533) | (4,452,443) | (1,223,515) | (11,812,337) | 17,535 |
| Nonoperating revenues (expenses) | | | | | | | | |
| Operating grants | | 3,217,680 | 18,041 | | 115,577 | | 3,351,298 | |
| Interest incorne | | | ,- | | | | • • | 7,720 |
| interest expense | | | (2,340) | | (36,715) | | (39,055) | (6,009) |
| Property taxes | | | 1,037,630 | | 4-4 | 1,037,639 | 2,075,277 | |
| Total nonoperating revenues (expenses) | | 3,217,680 | 1,053,339 | | TB,862 | 1,037,639 | 5,387,520 | 1,711 |
| lanca di Alaba and San | | | | | | | | |
| income (loss) before contributions and transfers | (744,866) | (431,976) | (671,983) | (16,533) | (4,373,581) | (185,876) | (6,424,817) | 19,246 |
| Other financing sources (uses) | | | | • | | | | |
| Capital contributions | 2,421,060 | | 32,750 | 986,916 | 5,304,785 | | 8,745,511 | |
| Transfers in | | 2,193,324 | 277,473 | | | 125,713 | 2,596,510 | 1,533,895 |
| Transfers out | | (10,130) | | (\$28,268) | | | (\$38,398) | (408,447) |
| Insurance proceeds | | 141,232 | | | | | 341,232 | |
| Change in net assets | 1,676,194 | 1,892,450 | (361,762) | 142,115 | 931,204 | (60,153) | 4,220,038 | 1,144,694 |
| Total ner assets - beginning | 23,366,421 | 2,706,65% | 6,7\$4,972 | 24,447,436 | 75,474,046 | 377,643 | 133,657,176 | 2,609,936 |
| Total net assets - ending | \$ 25,042,615 | \$ 4,599,108 | \$ 6,423,210 | \$ 24,589,551 | \$ 76,405,250 | \$ 817,450 | \$ 137,877,214 | \$ 3,754,630 |
| - | | | | *** | | | | |

The notes to the financial statements are in integral part of this statement.

CIFY OF MONROE Monroe, Louistana Statement of Cash Flows Proprietary Funds For the Year Ended April 30, 2009

| | | | | Business Ty Enterpri | | | | | | | Gevernmental Activities |
|---|----|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|----|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | _ | Menroe Regional Airport | Monroe Transit System | Monroe Civic Center | D-C E | Water Fond | | Sewer Fund | Other Enterprise Funds | Total | Internal Service Fund |
| Cash flows from operating activities | ~ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cash received from customers Cash payments to suppliers for goods and services Cash payments to participants for insurance benefits | \$ | 1,315,917 \$ (543,656) | 353,054 (1,079,821) | \$ 1,861,181 {2,053,831} | 5 | 7,399,363 (4,707,035) | \$ | 4,458,339 \$ (2,306,946) | 334,637 \$ (590,341) | 15,722,491 : (11,281,630) | 9,269,317 (672,502) |
| Cash payments to employees for services and benefits | | (630,366) | (2,098,552) | (1,074,117) | | (2,528,584) | | (1,942,914) | (930,017) | (9,210,550) | (7,930,\$18) |
| Met cash provided by (used for) operating activities | - | 141,895 | (2,825,319) | (1,266,767) | _ | 163,744 | _ | 202,479 | (1,185,721) | (4,769,689) | 665,997 |
| Cash flows from noncapital financing activities | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Operating grants | | | 521,798 | | | | | | | 521,798 | |
| Ad velorem taxes | | | | 1,045,689 | | | | | 1,045,690 | 2,091,379 | |
| Transfers in | | | 2,193,324 | 277,473 | | | | | 125,713 | 2,596,510 | 1,533,895 |
| Transfers out | | | (10,130) | - | | (828,268) | | | • | (838,398) | (408,447) |
| Net cash provided by (used for) | - | | | | _ | | | | | | |
| noncepital financing activities | _ | | 2,704,992 | 1,323,162 | _ | (828,268) | _ | | 1,171,403 | 4,371,289 | 1,125,448 |
| Cash flows from capital and related financing activities | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cupitel Grants | | | 2,695,882 | | | | | | | 2,695,883 | |
| Acquisition of capital assets | | (2,448,200) | (2,716,787) | | | (1,284,533) | | (5,510,725) | 14,338 | (11,945,927) | (6,549) |
| Cush contributed by City of Monros | | 2,421,730 | | | | 986,916 | | 5,464,365 | | 8.873.011 | |
| Insuranco Proceedo | | | 141,232 | | | | | | | 141,232 | |
| Principal paid on debt | | | | (39,000) | | | | (97,980) | | (136,980) | (177,508) |
| Interest paid on debt | | | | (2,340) | | | | (36,715) | | (39,055) | (6,009) |
| Net cash provided by (used for) | - | | | | _ | | _ | | | | |
| financing activities | - | (26,470) | 120,327 | (41,340) | _ | (297,617) | _ | (181,055) | 14,318 | (411,837) | (190,066) |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest received on investments | | | | | | | | | | | 7,720 |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | | | | | _ | | _ | | | | 7,720 |
| Not increase (decrease) in each and each equivalents | | 115,425 | | 15,055 | | (962,141) | | 21,424 | | (810,237) | 1,609,099 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, May 1, 2008 | _ | 800 | 2,600 | 10,945 | _ | 4,014,588 | | 25,028 | 2,500 | 4,056,461 | 455,959 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, April 30, 2009 | 5 | 116,225 | 2,600 | 26,000 | \$ _ | 3,052,447 | 5 | 46,452 \$ | 2,500 | 3,246,224 | \$ 2,065,058 |
| Reconciliation of operating income to not cosh provided by (used for) operating activities | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Operating (loss) | \$ | (744,866) \$ | (3,649,656) | (1,725,324) | \$ | (16,533) | S | (4,452,443) \$ | (1,223,515) | (11,812,337) | s 17,535 |
| Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) to | | | | | | | | | | | |
| net cash provided by operating activities | | | | | | | | | | | 104.00 |
| Depreciation and assortization | | 997,705 | 506,821 | 511,870 | | 1,092,022 | | 4,222,041 | 64,656 | 7,395,117 | 106,763 |
| Change in easets and liabilities | | 4455 | 41.60.00.00 | | | (DDD 0.64) | | (204 540) | | /680 nTh | |
| Accounts receivable | | (47,362) | (159,700) | 26,520 | | (299,258) | | (106,552) 100 | 3,020 | (583,272) | 84,166 |
| Due from other funds | | (90,748) | (49,788) | (5,341) | | 65,305 61,478 | | 100 | (613) | (81,085) 59,516 | (60,355) |
| Inventories | | | (1,962) | (4 ATE) | | | | | (CET) | | 2,381 |
| Propaid expenses | | 2.710 | 510,625 | (4,938) | | (650) | | (42,115) | (657) (3,625) | (6,245) 596,970 | |
| Accounts payable | | 2,710 38,620 | 310,623 14,553 | (46,737) | | 175,912 | | (42,113) 576,835 | (3,623) (87) | (290,156) | (233.615) |
| Due to other funds | | 38,620 | 14,323 | | | (920,077) | | CL6,01C | | | |
| Deferred revenue | | | | (8,051) | | 70.04 | | | (8,332) | (16,383) | 749,122 |
| Customer deposits | | 114 144 | 2 500 | 18,496 | | 29,846 | | 4 5 1 1 | (15,628) | 48,342 (80,156) | |
| Compensated absences | - | (14,164) | 3,588 | (33,262) | - | (24,301) | _ | 4,611 | (10,046) | (80,130) | |
| Not cash provided by (used for) operating activities | \$ | 141,895 \$ | (2,825,319) | (1,266,767) | , 5_ | 163,744 | £ | 202,479 \$ | (1,185,721) | (4,769,689) | \$ 665,997 |

CITY OF MONROE Monroe, Louisiana Statement of Net Assets Fiduciary Funds April 30, 2009

| | | Police Pension | Bus Drivers' Pension | | | | | | | | nt Units Funds |
|----------------------------------|-----|--------------------|-------------------------|-----|-----------------|----|-----------|----|-------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | and Relief Fund | and Relief Fund | | Agency Funds | | Total | • | City Court of Monroe | ٠ | Monroe City Marshal |
| ASSETS | | <u> </u> | | | | _ | | • | | _ | |
| Current assets | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 118,460 | \$ 57,075 | \$ | 8,521,027 | \$ | 8,696,562 | \$ | 1,115,488 | \$ | 35,761 |
| Accounts receivable | | | | | 22,616 | | 22,616 | | 5,897 | | 360 |
| Due from other funds | - | | | _ | 17,380 | _ | 17,380 | | | | |
| Total assets | _ | 118,460 | 57,075 | | 8,561,023 | _ | 8,736,558 | | 1,121,385 | | 36,121 |
| LIABILITIES | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Current liabilities | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | | | | | | | | | 179,768 | | |
| Deposits due to others | _ | | | _ | 8,561,023 | - | 8,561,023 | | 941,617 | | 36,121 |
| Total liabilities | _ | | | _ | 8,561,023 | - | 8,561,023 | | 1,121,385 | | 36,121 |
| Net Assets | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unrestricted | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reserved for retirement benefits | _ | 118,460 | 57,075 | _ | | | 175,535 | | | | |
| Total net assets | \$_ | 118,460 | \$ 57,075 | \$_ | | s_ | 175,535 | \$ | | . \$. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

CITY OF MONROE Monroe, Louisiana Statement of Changes in Net Assets Fiduciary Funds April 30, 2009

| • | Police Pension and Relief Fund | | Bus Drivers' Pension and Relief Fund |
|---|---|----|---|
| ADDITIONS | | _ | |
| Investment income | | | |
| Interest | \$ 1,511 | \$ | 1,084 |
| Individual account transactions | | | |
| Contributions by the City | | | 7 |
| Total additions | 1,511 | | 1,091 |
| DEDUCTIONS | | | |
| Benefits paid | 1,673 | | 10,200 |
| Administrative expense | 67 | | 46 |
| Total deductions | 1,740 | | 10,246 |
| Changes in net assets held for pension benefits | (229) | | (9,155) |
| Net assets, beginning of year | 118,689 | | 66,230 |
| Net assets, end of year | \$ 118,460 | \$ | 57,075 |

Monroe, Louisiana Combining Statement of Net Assets All Discreetly Presented Component Units April 30, 2009

| | | City Court of Monroe | | Monroe City Marshal | | Total |
|------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------|-----------|------------------------|----|-----------|
| ASSETS | | | • | | | |
| Current assets | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 1,252,859 | \$ | 146,414 | \$ | 1,399,273 |
| Investments | | | | 38,201 | | 38,201 |
| Prepaid expenses | | 11,660 | | 1,075 | | 12,735 |
| Accounts receivable | | 41,095 | _ | 28,933 | | 70,028 |
| Total current assets | _ | 1,305,614 | • | 214,623 | | 1,520,237 |
| Capital assets, net of accumulated | | | | | | |
| depreciation | _ | 152,068 | _ | 83,878 | | 235,946 |
| Total assets | _ | 1,457,682 | _ | 298,501 | | 1,756,183 |
| LIABILITIES | | | | | | |
| Current liabilities | | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | | 7,285 | | 9,182 | | 16,467 |
| Noncurrent liabilities | | | | | | |
| Obligation under capital lease | | | | | | |
| Due within one year | | | | 3,591 | | 3,591 |
| Due in more than one year | _ | | - | 8,394 | - | 8,394 |
| Total liabilities | _ | 7,285 | _ | 21,167 | | 28,452 |
| Net Assets | | | | | | |
| Invested in capital assets, | | | | | | |
| net of related debt | | 152,068 | | 71,893 | | 223,961 |
| Unrestricted | _ | 1,298,329 | _ | 205,441 | | 1,503,770 |
| Total net assets | \$_ | 1,450,397 | \$ | 277,334 | \$ | 1,727,731 |

Monroe, Louisiana

Combining Statement of Activities All Discreetly Presented Component Units April 30, 2009

| | | City Court | Monroe City Marshal | Total |
|---------------------------------------|-----|-------------|------------------------|----------------|
| EXPENDITURES | | 013.100.00 | 1174111441 | 7041 |
| Judiciary | | | | |
| Personal services | \$ | (1,229,048) | \$ (854,319) | \$ (2,083,367) |
| Operating services | _ | (264,742) | (396,596) | (661,338) |
| Materials and supplies | | (29,142) | (57,334) | (86,476) |
| Travel and other | | (25,445) | (9,058) | (34,503) |
| Depreciation | | (34,914) | (18,775) | (53,689) |
| Other | | ` ' ' | (32,248) | (32,248) |
| Interest | | | (1,041) | (1,041) |
| Total expenditures | | (1,583,291) | (1,369,371) | (2,952,662) |
| PROGRAM REVENUES | | | | |
| Fees, charges, and court costs: | | | | |
| Marshal's fees | | | 370,246 | 370,246 |
| Court costs | | 265,309 | | 265,309 |
| Civil fees | | 35,950 | | 35,950 |
| Probation fees | | 68,750 | | 68,750 |
| Reinstatement fees | | 1,625 | | 1,625 |
| Bond forfeitures | | 11,325 | | 11,325 |
| Other charges for services | | 37,050 | 19,435 | 56,485 |
| Total program revenues | | 420,009 | 389,681 | 809,690 |
| Net program expense | | (1,163,282) | (979,690) | (2,142,972) |
| GENERAL REVENUES | | | | |
| Intergovernmental - City of Monroe | | 1,295,672 | 943,428 | 2,239,100 |
| Interest income | | 10,245 | | 10,245 |
| Investment earnings | | | 1,638 | 1,638 |
| Bond forfeitures | | | 12,325 | 12,325 |
| Other income | | | | |
| Loss on disposition of capital assets | | (3,007) | (113,513) | (116,520) |
| Total general revenues | | 1,302,910 | 843,878 | 2,146,788 |
| Changes in net assets | | 139,628 | (135,812) | 3,816 |
| NET ASSETS | | | | |
| Beginning of year | _ | 1,310,769 | 413,146 | 1,723,915 |
| End of year | \$_ | 1,450,397 | \$ 277,334 | \$ 1,727,731 |

Monroe, Louisiana Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The City of Monroe, Louisiana (the City) operates under a Home Rule Charter approved by the voters in 1979. This charter provides for an executive branch of government headed by a mayor and a legislative branch of government consisting of five council members. The City's combined balance sheet includes the accounts of all City operations. The City's major operations include police and fire protection, garbage and trash collection, economic development, parks and recreation, other cultural activities, and general administration services. In addition, the City owns and operates six major enterprise activities: airport, water distribution, sanitary sewerage systems, mass transit system, civic center, and gardens and zoo.

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) promulgates generally accepted accounting principles and reporting standards with respect to activities and transactions of state and local government entities. The GASB has issued a codification of governmental accounting and financial reporting standards. This codification and subsequent GASB pronouncements are recognized as generally accepted accounting principles for state and local government. The financial reporting practices of the City comply with the financial reporting standards established by the GASB.

A. FINANCIAL REPORTING ENTITY

As the governing authority of the City, for reporting purposes, the City of Monroe is considered a separate financial reporting entity. The financial reporting entity consists of (a) the primary government (the City), (b) organizations for which the primary government is financially accountable, and (c) other organizations for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the primary government are such that exclusion would cause the reporting entity's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete.

GASB Statement 14, *The Financial Reporting Entity*, sets forth criteria for determining which, if any, component units should be considered part of the City of Monroe for financial reporting purposes. The basic criterion for including a potential component unit within the reporting entity is financial accountability. The GASB has set forth criteria to be considered in determining financial accountability, which include:

- 1. Appointing a voting majority of an organization's governing body, and:
 - a. The ability of the City to impose its will on that organization and/or
 - b. The potential for the organization to provide specific financial benefits to or impose specific financial burdens on the City.
- 2. Organizations for which the City does not appoint a voting majority, but are fiscally dependent on the City.
- 3. Organizations for which the reporting entity financial statements would be misleading if data of the potential component unit were not included because of the nature or significance of the relationship.

Monroe, Louisiana Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

Based on the previous criteria, the City has determined that the following component units should be considered as part of the City reporting entity.

Discrete Component Units

City Court of Monroe - The City Court of Monroe is a legally separate entity. The City appoints none of the governing board (Judges) of the court. Judges of the court are independently elected officials. Although the City Council can, to a limited degree, impose its will on the court through City Council ordinances, the City provides office space, court facilities and some furnishings, and compensation to the court. Although the court functions entirely within the City of Monroe, it provides no direct services to the City or City Council. However, based on criteria 2 above, it has been determined that the court is a component unit of the City and should be included in the City's financial statements through discrete presentation.

Monroe City Marshal - The Monroe City Marshal's office is a legally separate entity. The City does not appoint the governing board (Marshal) of the marshal's office. The City Marshal is an independently elected official. Although the City cannot impose its will on the City Marshal, it does provide a substantial portion of his compensation, facilities, and furnishings. Although the City Marshal functions entirely within the City of Monroe, he provides no direct service to the City, but is rather an officer of the City Court. However, based on criteria 2 above, it has been determined that the City Marshal is a component unit of the City and should be included in the City's financial statements through discrete presentation.

Blended Component Units

Economic Development – Two not-for-profit entities perform administrative functions for the City's incremental sales tax economic development districts. These entities are the Tower Drive Economic Development Corporation and the Garrett Road Economic Development Corporation. There also exist two other special districts within the City - The Downtown Economic Development District and The Southside Economic Development District. These two districts are charged with planning and delivery of public improvements, facilities, and services in their respective districts. For financial reporting purposes, all of these entities are considered an integral part of the City, not component units. This decision is due to the City keeping the books and records for these entities, the City as a whole reaping the benefits from the use of the proceeds of the incremental tax bonds issued by the not-for-profits, and that the districts and the separate not-for-profits are ministerial and structural in nature, as disbursement of funds is subject to the control of the City through the plan of government. As such, they are presented as separate Special Revenue, Debt Service, and Capital Project funds within those categories of the City's general-purpose financial statements.

Included in the evaluation of potential component units of the City of Monroe were the Monroe City School Board, the Ouachita Council of Governments, the Monroe Housing Authority, the

Monroe, Louisiana Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

City of Monroe Employees Credit Union, the Monroe/West Monroe Public Trust Financing Authority, and the Ouachita Parish Homeland Security and Emergency Preparedness Agency. None of these entities were determined to be component units of the City of Monroe reporting entity.

B. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The City's basic financial statements consist of the government-wide statements on all of the non-fiduciary activities of the primary government and its component units and the fund financial statements (individual major fund and combined non-major fund). The statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as applied to governmental units. Private sector standards of accounting and financial reporting issued prior to December 1, 1989, generally are followed in both the government-wide financial statements and the proprietary fund financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with or contradict guidance of the GASB. Governments also have the option of following subsequent private-sector guidance for their business-type activities and enterprise funds, subject to the same limitation. The City has elected not to follow subsequent private-sector guidance.

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:

The government-wide financial statements include the statement of net assets and the statement of activities for all non-fiduciary activities of the primary government and the total for its component units. As a general rule, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements. Exceptions to the general rule are payments between the enterprise funds to other various functions of government for charges such as sewer fees and contributions between the primary government and its component units which are reported as external transactions. The government-wide presentation focuses primarily on the sustainability of the City as an entity and the change in aggregate financial position resulting from the activities of the fiscal period.

Governmental Activities represent programs which normally are supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues.

Business-Type Activities are financed in whole or in part by fees charged to external parties for goods and services.

The primary government is reported separately from the legally separate component units as detailed in section (A) of this note.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment is offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Indirect costs are not allocated by function for

Monroe, Louisiana Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

financial reporting in this statement; however, certain indirect costs have been directly allocated as administrative fees to grants and special fund programs. Program revenues include: (1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment, and (2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues. This includes internally dedicated resources such as a restricted property tax.

FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:

The City uses funds, both major and non-major, to report on its financial position and the results of its operations. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts that comprises its assets, liabilities, fund equity, revenues, and expenditures or expenses, as appropriate.

Emphasis of fund reporting is on the major fund level in either the governmental or business-type categories. Non-major funds (by category) or fund type are summarized into a single column in the basic financial statements.

Funds are classified into three categories; governmental, proprietary, and fiduciary. Each category, in turn, is divided into separate "fund types". Governmental funds are used to account for a government's general activities, where the focus of attention is on the provision of services to the public as opposed to proprietary funds where the focus of attention is on recovering the cost of providing services to the public or other agencies through service charges or user fees. Fiduciary funds are used to account for assets held for others. The City's current operations require the use of the governmental, proprietary, and fiduciary fund categories. The fund types used by the City are described as follows:

Governmental Activities Presented as Governmental Funds in the Fund Financial Statements:

General Fund - This fund is the general operating fund of the City. It is used to account for all financial resources of the City except for those required to be accounted for in another fund and is always a major fund.

Special Revenue Funds - These funds are used to account for the proceeds of specific revenue sources (other than capital projects) that are legally restricted to expenditures for specified purposes. The Capital Infrastructure fund is the only major Special Revenue Fund.

Debt Service Funds - These funds are used to account for the accumulation of resources for, and the payment of, general long-term debt principal, interest and related costs. All general

Monroe, Louisiana Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

obligation bonds are direct obligations of the City. Principal and interest are payable from ad valorem taxes levied on all taxable property and improvements within the City. Incremental Sales Tax Bonds are secured by a pledge of incremental state (40%) and City (60%) sales and use taxes within the boundaries of the respective districts and do not involve the pledge of the full faith and credit of the City. All long-term debt is reported in the Statement of Net Assets. The I-20 Economic District and the Sales Tax Bond Debt Service Fund are considered major funds.

Capital Project Funds - These funds are used to account for financial resources to be used for the acquisition or construction of major capital facilities, improvements and other major projects (other than those financed by Proprietary Funds). The I-20 Corridor and the Airport Terminal Capital Projects Funds are considered major funds.

Proprietary Funds:

Enterprise Funds - These funds are used to account for operations (a) that are financed and operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises - where the intent of the governing body is that the costs (expenses, including depreciation) of providing goods or services to the general public on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through user charges, or (b) where the governing body has decided that periodic determination of revenues earned, expenses incurred, and/or net income is appropriate for capital maintenance, public policy, management control, accountability or other purposes. Net cash losses of the Enterprise Funds are subsidized by the General Fund. Enterprise funds are presented in the business-type activities column in government-wide financial statements and the major funds section of the basic financial statements. The following Enterprise funds are considered major funds: Monroe Regional Airport, Monroe Transit System, Monroe Civic Center, Water and Sewer.

Internal Service Funds - Internal service funds are used to account for the financing of goods and/or services provided by one department or agency to other departments or agencies of the City, or to other governments, on a cost-reimbursement basis. The City operates a central shop and warehouse which provides inventory storage, repair and maintenance, and fueling services solely to other City user departments (with the exception of the Monroe Transit System) at rates designed to cover the costs of operations, including depreciation and debt service. Since the principal users of the internal service fund are the City's governmental activities, financial statements of the internal service fund are consolidated into the governmental activities column when presented at the government-wide level. To the extent possible, the costs of these services are reflected in the appropriate functional activity. During fiscal year 2006, the City began self-insuring its employees' group insurance which is handled through a third party administrator.

In accordance with the provisions of GASB Statement 20, the City has elected not to apply FASB statements and interpretations issued after November 30, 1989, to proprietary activities unless they are adopted by the GASB.

Monroe, Louisiana Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

Fiduciary Funds:

Trust and Agency Funds - These funds are used to account for assets held by the City in a trustee capacity or as an agent for individuals, private organizations, other governments, and/or other funds. These include Agency Funds and Pension Trust Funds. Agency funds are custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve measurement of results of operations. Pension trust funds account for pension funds established for classified employees of various departments and is accounted for in essentially the same manner as proprietary funds since capital maintenance is critical.

C. BASIS OF ACCOUNTING AND MEASUREMENT FOCUS

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized in the year for which they are levied.

FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:

The financial reporting treatment applied to a fund is determined by its measurement focus. All governmental funds are accounted for using a current financial resources measurement focus. With this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities generally are included on the balance sheet. Operating statements for these funds present increases (i.e., revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (i.e., expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

The modified accrual basis of accounting is used for reporting all governmental fund types and the fiduciary fund type agency funds. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized when susceptible to accrual (i.e. when they become both measurable and available). "Measurable" means the amount of the transaction can be determined and "available" means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Ad valorem taxes, grants, and fees, charges, and commissions for services have been treated as susceptible to accrual.

The City uses the following practices in recognizing and reporting revenues and expenditures in the governmental fund types:

Revenues:

Ad valorem taxes attach as an enforceable lien on property as of January 1 of each year. Taxes

Monroe, Louisiana Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

are levied by the City in October or November and are billed to taxpayers in December. Billed taxes become delinquent on January 1 of the following year; however, by precedent, this is normally extended until February 1. Revenues from ad valorem taxes are budgeted in the year billed to the extent collections are expected. The City bills and collects its own property taxes using the assessed values determined by the tax assessor of Ouachita Parish.

Sales taxes are considered "measurable" when in the hands of the City Sales Tax Collector and are recognized as revenue at that time.

Federal and state grants are normally "expenditure driven", which means that the City does not earn, or is not entitled to, the grant funds until a liability for the expenditure has been incurred. Amounts received in excess of actual expenditures at year end are reflected as deferred revenue on the fund's balance sheet.

Interest earnings on time deposits are recognized as revenue when the time deposits have matured and the interest is available. Substantially all other revenues are recognized when actually received by the City.

Expenditures:

Expenditures in the governmental funds are generally recognized under the modified accrual basis of accounting when the related fund liability is incurred.

Compensated absences are recognized as expenditures when leave is actually taken or when employees, or their heirs, are paid for accrued leave upon retirement or death. Compensated absences are reported in the Statement of Net Assets as a long-term liability and expensed in the Statement of Activities.

Principal and interest on long-term debt is recognized when due.

Proprietary and Pension Trust Funds

All proprietary funds and pension trust funds are accounted for using the accrual basis of accounting. Their revenues are recognized when they are earned, and their expenses are recognized when they are incurred.

Fiduciary Funds

Fiduciary Funds include Trust and Agency Funds. Trust and Agency Fund assets and liabilities are accounted for on the modified accrual basis of accounting, except for the pension trust funds, which are discussed above.

Monroe, Louisiana Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

Other Financing Sources (Uses)

Proceeds from issuing long-term debt, capital leases and transfers between funds that are not expected to be repaid are accounted for as other financing sources (uses) and are recognized when the underlying events occur.

D. BUDGET PRACTICES

The City follows these procedures in establishing the budgetary data reflected in these financial statements:

- 1. At least ninety days prior to the beginning of the fiscal year, the Mayor submits to the City Council an operating and capital budget for the succeeding year.
- 2. A public hearing is scheduled by the City Council after allowing for at least ten days notice to the public at the time the budget is initially submitted to the City Council. The budget for the succeeding year must be finally adopted by the Council no later than the second-to-last regular meeting of the fiscal year.
- 3. The Mayor may authorize transfers of budgetary amounts within departments. However, any revisions requiring alteration of levels of expenditures or transfers between departments must be approved by the City Council.
- 4. Operating appropriations, to the extent not expended, lapse at year end. Capital appropriations continue in force until the project is completed or deemed abandoned after three years of no activity.
- 5. All legally adopted budgets of the City are adopted on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).
- 6. For the year ended April 30, 2009, the City adopted a budget for the General Fund and all Special Revenue Funds.

E. ASSETS, LIABILITIES, AND FUND EQUITY

CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS AND INVESTMENTS:

The City's cash and cash equivalents are considered to be cash on hand, demand deposits and short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less from the date of acquisition. State law and the City's investment policy allow the City to invest in collateralized certificates of deposits, government backed securities, commercial paper, the state sponsored investment pool and mutual funds consisting solely of government backed securities.

Monroe, Louisiana Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

Deposits with original maturity dates exceeding 90 days are classified as investments and are reported at fair value. The state investment pool (LAMP) operates in accordance with state laws and regulations. The reported value of the pool is the same as the fair value of the pool shares.

ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE:

Accounts receivable are reported net of an allowance for uncollectibles in business-type activities. Uncollectible amounts for customers' utility fees receivable are recognized as bad debts through the establishment of an allowance account at the time information becomes available which would indicate the uncollectibility of the particular receivable.

INTERFUND RECEIVABLES AND PAYABLES:

Short-term cash loans between funds are considered temporary in nature. These amounts are reported as "due from/to other funds." Any residual balances outstanding between the governmental activities and business-type activities are reported in the government-wide financial statements as "internal balances."

INVENTORIES AND PREPAID ITEMS:

Inventories are valued at average cost less write-downs for obsolete items. Inventories in the General and Proprietary Funds consist of expendable supplies and repair and maintenance items held for consumption.

CAPITAL ASSETS:

Capital assets, which include land, buildings, other improvements, machinery and equipment, vehicles, furniture and fixtures, and infrastructure assets (streets, roads, bridges, drainage canals, and water and sewer systems) are reported in the applicable governmental or business-type activities columns in the government-wide financial statements. The capitalization threshold for all movable capital assets is \$3,000 per unit.

All purchased capital assets are valued at cost where historical records are available and at estimated cost where no historical records are available. Donated fixed assets are valued at their fair market value on the date received. The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add value to the asset or materially extend its useful life are not capitalized. Major outlays for capital assets and improvements are capitalized at completion of construction projects.

Prior to 1985, the City had not maintained capital asset records on a current basis. Subsequently, the City completed reconstructing its capital asset records. In those cases where it was not feasible to determine the original actual cost the assets were recorded at estimated cost derived by price level adjusting current replacement cost. It was not considered practicable to determine the source of investment in capital assets prior to April 28, 1972.

Monroe, Louisiana Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

Depreciation of all exhaustible fixed assets used by proprietary funds is charged as an expense against their operations. Accumulated depreciation is reported on enterprise fund balance sheets. Depreciation on all capital assets, excluding land and improvements, has been provided over the estimated useful lives using the straight-line method. The estimated useful lives are as follows:

| Type of Capital Assets | Number of Years |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| Buildings | 10-50 |
| Improvements | 7-50 |
| Furniture and Fixtures | 1-10 |
| Vehicles | 5 |
| Equipment | 2-20 |
| Infrastructure-Water System | 30-5 0 |
| Infrastructure-Sewer System | 10-30 |
| Infrastructure-Drainage Systems | 25 |
| Infrastructure-Streets and Roads | 20-50 |

LONG-TERM DEBT:

In the government-wide statement of net assets and in the proprietary fund types' financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities. Bond premiums, discounts, issuance costs, and gains (losses) on refunding are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using the effective interest method. Bonds payable costs are reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount. Bond issuance costs are reported as deferred charges and amortized over the term of the related debt.

In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize bond premiums, discounts, and bond issuance costs during the current financial period. The face amount of the debt issue is reported as "other financing sources." Premiums received on debt issuances are reported as "other financing sources" and discounts on debt are reported as "other financing uses."

ACCUMULATED VACATION, SICK PAY, AND OTHER EMPLOYEE BENEFITS:

In the government-wide financial statements and the proprietary fund type financial statements, the total compensated absences liability is recorded as an expense and a long-term obligation and allocated on a functional basis. In accordance with GASB Interpretation No. 6, Recognition and Measurement of Certain Liabilities and Expenditures in Governmental Fund Financial Statements, (issued in March 2000), no compensated absences liability is recorded at April 30, 2009, in the governmental fund-type financial statements.

Full time employees may earn up to 33 working days of vacation time per year, depending upon length of service. At the end of each year, employees may carry forward up to 120 days of vacation time. Subject to the above conditions, unused vacation is paid to an employee upon

Monroe, Louisiana Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

retirement, separation, or death at hourly rates being earned by that employee upon separation.

The City also maintains a disability compensation plan which allows employees to draw an additional 30 days paid disability leave, once all the available sick and vacation accruals have been utilized. Individuals with long-term disability status are then eligible for an additional 180 days of disability leave at 60 percent of their regular pay. Such amounts are not accrued in governmental funds, nor are they reflected in the General Long-Term Obligations Account Group due to the inability to estimate such liabilities, and the fact that any unused disability leave does not carry forward to the subsequent year.

Firemen and policemen may receive up to one year's sick leave per illness as prescribed under Louisiana law. For all other City employees, sick leave is accumulated at varying rates ranging up to 12 days per year. A maximum of 120 days of unused sick leave may be carried forward. Subject to the above limitations, employees shall be compensated in cash for any accumulated unused sick leave when they are permanently separated from employment as a result of voluntary resignation, discharge, retirement or death. In the event of death, payment is made to the estate of the employee. The amount of payment for all unused sick leave is calculated at the employee's rate of pay in effect on the payday immediately preceding the employee's separation.

RESERVES/DESIGNATIONS OF FUND EQUITY:

Some portions of fund balance in the governmental fund types are reserved to indicate that a portion of fund equity is legally restricted to a specific future use and/or are not available for appropriation or expenditure. In the General Fund a portion of the fund balance is reserved to indicate that it is not available for expenditure or appropriation as it consists of reservations for certain inventories, non-current receivables and prepaid items which are not considered available spendable resources. The reserve of fund balance in the General Fund at April 30, 2009 is \$339,921 and \$467,225 is designated for capital improvements.

NET ASSETS:

Net assets represent the difference between assets and liabilities. Net assets invested in capital assets, net of related debt consists of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, reduced by the outstanding balance of any debt proceeds used for the acquisition, construction, or improvements of those assets. Net assets are reported as restricted when there are limitations imposed on their use by external parties such as creditors, grantors, laws or regulations of other governments.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the government's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

Monroe, Louisiana Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

F. ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES:

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions. Those estimates affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. They may also affect the reported amounts of revenues and expenses of proprietary funds and the government-wide financial statements during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

G. DEDICATED REVENUES:

Sales taxes:

A one-half per cent city sales tax is dedicated to the General Fund for payment of salaries of city employees and capital improvements. The sales tax, which began on March 1, 1968, is for an indefinite period.

A one per cent city sales tax is dedicated to the General Fund for any lawful purpose of the City, including payment of operating expenses. The sales tax, which began on January 1, 1975, is for an indefinite period.

A one per cent city sales tax is dedicated to the General Fund for constructing, acquiring, extending, improving, maintaining, and operating capital improvements and facilities of the City and paying general operating expenses of the City. The sales tax, which began on February 1, 1983, is for an indefinite period.

A ten year one per cent sales tax passed by the voters on November 8, 1994 which was for the street program was extended by twenty-five years and its uses expanded by the voters on May 5, 2001. The proceeds can be utilized for all infrastructures including but not limited to streets, water, sewer and drainage and other related capital expenditures. The tax will expire in 2029.

A 0.49 per cent city sales tax is dedicated to the General Fund for payment of salary increases of city firemen and policemen. The sales tax, which began March 1, 2005, is for an indefinite period.

Property taxes:

Recreation (1.73 mills), public safety (.98 mills) and drainage (1.21 mills) millages were renewed by the voters in 2003, levied in 2004 and will expire with the 2013 tax roll.

For both the Civic Center and the Louisiana Purchase Gardens and Zoo, 2.50 mills was renewed by the voters in 2008, levied in fiscal 2009, and will expire with the 2017 tax roll.

Monroe, Louisiana Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

For both the police and fire departments, 1.50 mills was renewed by the voters in 2008, levied in fiscal 2009, and will expire with the 2017 tax roll.

For capital improvements, 3.25 mills was renewed by the voters in 2008, levied in fiscal 2009, and will expire with the 2017 tax roll.

For airport improvements, 1.00 mills was passed by the voters in 2009, levied in fiscal 2009, and will expire with the 2017 tax roll.

2. FUND DEFICITS

The Louisville Enhancement and the Airport Terminal Capital Projects Funds have deficits of \$23,800 and \$860,999 respectively. The deficit in the Louisville Enhancement Fund will be cleared by future grants and the Airport Terminal Fund deficit will be cleared by future grants and bond proceeds.

3. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Custodial credit risk – deposits. The custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the City's deposits may not be returned to it. The City's policy to ensure there is no exposure to this risk is to require each financial institution to pledge its' own securities to cover any amount in excess of Federal Depository Insurance Coverage. Louisiana Revised Statute 39:1229 imposes a statutory requirement on the custodial bank to advertise and sell the pledged securities within 10 days of being notified by the City that the fiscal agent bank has failed to pay deposited funds upon demand. Accordingly, the City had no custodial credit risk related to its deposits at April 30, 2009.

At April 30, 2009, the City has cash and cash equivalents, totaling \$81,279,943, (including \$8,696,562 in fiduciary funds) as follows:

| Petty cash | \$ 36,512 |
|--------------------|---------------|
| Demand deposits | 53,985,402 |
| Money market funds | 27,258,029 |
| Total | \$ 81,279,943 |

These deposits are stated at cost, which approximates market. These securities are held in the name of the pledging fiscal agent bank in a holding or custodial bank that is mutually acceptable to both parties. Cash and cash equivalents (bank balances other than these backed by the U.S. government) at April 30, 2009, are secured, as follows:

Monroe, Louisiana Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

| Bank balances | \$ 57,341,711 |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| Federal deposit insurance | \$ 756,856 |
| Pledged securities (uncollateralized) | 60,586,986 |
| Total | \$ 61,343,842 |

The money market accounts are utilized for the daily investment of idle cash of the City. The account is managed by the City's fiscal agent and consists of securities issued or guaranteed by the U.S. government. Investments are in accordance with LRS 33:2955(A)(1)(e) and are not required to be covered by the pledge of securities owned by the fiscal agent bank.

4. INVESTMENTS

Custodial credit risk – investments. The custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the government will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The City's investments in United States government securities are held by the City's agent in the City's name. Therefore, the City had no custodial credit risk related to its investments at April 30, 2009.

Under state law, the City may invest funds in obligations of the United States, in federally-insured investments, or in time deposits with state banks organized under Louisiana law and national banks having their principal offices in Louisiana. The City did not have investments at April 30, 2009.

Interest rate Risk. In accordance with its investment policy, the City manages its exposure to declines in fair market values by limiting investment portfolio to "money market investments," which are defined as creditworthy, highly liquid investments with maturities of one year or less. Although there may be certain circumstances in which longer-term securities are utilized, the general use of long-term securities shall be avoided.

Credit Risk The City's investment policy limits investments to fully insured and/or fully-collateralized certificates of deposits and direct and indirect obligations of U.S. government agencies.

Concentration of Credit Risk. The City's investment policy limits the City's investment instruments to: 1) certificates of deposit; 2) certain direct obligations of the U.S. Government; 3) bonds, debentures, notes, or other evidence of indebtedness issued or guaranteed by federal agencies and provided such obligations are backed by the full faith and credit of the United States of America; and 4) the Louisiana Asset Management Pool.

The City participates in the Louisiana Asset Management Pool (LAMP). The LAMP is an investment pool established as a cooperative endeavor to enable public entities of the State of Louisiana to aggregate funds for investment.

CITY OF MONROE Monroe, Louisiana Notes To The Financial Statements

As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

5. RECEIVABLES

Accounts receivable of \$9,709,706 at April 30, 2009, are comprised of the following:

| Fund Type MAJOR FUNDS | 4 | Taxes - Ad Valorem | ! _ | Taxes - Sales | Grants | | Accounts | Other | Total |
|--------------------------|----|-----------------------|-----|------------------|-----------------|----|--------------|------------|-----------------|
| General | \$ | 247,695 | \$ | 2,524,364 | \$ 243,617 | \$ | 670,343 \$ | 197,808 \$ | 3,883,827 |
| Capital Infrastructure | | - | | 1,057,730 | · - | | • | • | 1,057,730 |
| I-20 Economic District | | - | | 699,358 | _ | | - | - | 699,358 |
| Airport Terminal | | - | | - | 122,713 | | | - | 122,713 |
| Airport | | | | - | - | | 105,761 | - | 105,761 |
| Transit | | - | | - | 190,656 | | 25,728 | - | 216,384 |
| Civic Center | | 30,662 | | - | 18,041 | | _ | 10 | 4 8, 713 |
| Water | | - | | - | - | | 682,798 | 71,761 | 754,559 |
| Sewer | | _ | | - | 115,577 | | 459,767 | 281 | 575,625 |
| NONMAJOR FUNDS | | | | | | | | | |
| Special Revenues | | - | | 504,135 | 440,789 | | 20,826 | 307 | 966,057 |
| Debt Service | | - | | 263,471 | - | | _ | - | 263,471 |
| Capital Projects | | - | | - | 876,924 | | 80,288 | - | 957,212 |
| Enterprise | | 30,662 | | - | _ | | 70 | _ | 30,732 |
| Internal Service | | - | | - | - | | 135 | 4,813 | 4,948 |
| Agency | _ | | | - | | _ | 18,500 | 4,116 | 22,616 |
| TOTAL: | \$ | 309,019 | \$ | 5,049,058 | \$ 2,008,317 | \$ | 2,064,216 \$ | 279,096 \$ | 9,709,706 |

The allowance for doubtful accounts was \$130,279 as of April 30, 2009.

6. CHANGES IN CAPITAL ASSETS

The following schedule presents changes in capital assets for the year ended April 30, 2009.

Monroe, Louisiana

Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

| | Balance April 30, 2008 | | Additions | Retirements | Balance April 30, 2009 |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----|-------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Governmental Funds: | | _ | | | |
| Land | \$ 17,382,014 \$ | | 45,796 | \$ - | \$ 17,427,810 |
| Construction in progress | - | | 320,412 | (320,412) | - |
| CIP-Infrastructure | 15,378,052 | | 3,028,348 | (1,511,534) | 16,894,866 |
| Total capital assets | | _ | | | |
| not being depreciated | 32,760,066 | | 3,394,556 | (1,831,946) | 34,322,676 |
| Depreciable assets: | | _ | | | |
| Buildings and improvements | 29,138,997 | | 637,724 | (240,656) | 29,536,065 |
| Equipment and Furniture | 23,775,731 | | 2,442,952 | (184,814) | 26,033,869 |
| Infrastructure | 139,877,414 | | 1,451,224 | - | 141,328,638 |
| School buildings | 1,229,953 | | <u> </u> | | 1,229,953 |
| Total capital assets | | _ | | | |
| being depreciated | 194,022,095 | | 4,531,900 | (425,470) | 198,128,525 |
| Less: accumulated | | | | | |
| depreciation | (53,086,689) | | (9,041,445) | 183,770 | (61,944,364) |
| Total capital assets | | _ | | | |
| being depreciated, net | 140,935,406 | | (4,509,545) | (241,700) | 136,184,161 |
| Total governmental funds | | _ | | | |
| capital assets, net | 173,695,472 | _ | (1,114,989) | (2,073,646) | 170,506,837 |
| Internal Service Fund: | | | | | |
| Land | 45,000 | | w | | 45,000 |
| Depreciable assets: | | _ | | | |
| Buildings and improvements | 3,406,242 | | - | _ | 3,406,242 |
| Furniture and vehicles | 261,960 | | 6,549 | | 268,509 |
| Total capital assets | | _ | | | |
| being depreciated | 3,668,202 | | 6,549 | - | 3,674,751 |
| Less: accumulated | | | | | |
| depreciation | (968,971) | | (106,763) | | (1,075,734) |
| Total capital assets | | | | | |
| being depreciated, net | 2,699,231 | _ | (100,214) | | 2,599,017 |
| Total Internal Service Fund | - - | | | | |
| capital assets, net | 2,744,231 | _ | (100,214) | | 2,644,017 |
| Total governmental activities | | | | | |
| capital assets, net | \$ 176,439,703 \$ | · = | (1,215,203) | \$ (2,073,646) | \$ 173,150,854 |

Monroe, Louisiana

Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

| | | Balance April 30, 2008 | | Additions | | Retirements | Balance April 30, 2009 |
|--------------------------------|----|---------------------------|-----|-------------|----|--------------|---------------------------|
| Business-type activities: | • | <u> </u> | _ | | _ | | |
| Nondepreciable assets: | | | | | | | |
| Land | \$ | 5,542,940 | \$ | - | \$ | = | \$ 5,542,940 |
| Construction in progress | | 11,565,994 | | 8,580,466 | | (10,609,035) | 9,537,425 |
| Zoo animals | | 87,695 | _ | - | | (19,940) | 67,755 |
| Total capital assets | | | | | | | |
| not being depreciated | | 17,196,629 | | 8,580,466 | | (10,628,975) | 15,148,120 |
| Depreciable assets: | | | | | | | |
| Buildings/Improvements | | 34,614,908 | | 6,768,120 | | (2,361,289) | 39,021,739 |
| Infrastructure | | 161,837,020 | | 3,888,975 | | - | 165,725,995 |
| Equipment | | 15,061,478 | | 3,209,838 | | (59,348) | 18,211,968 |
| Total capital assets | | | | | | | |
| being depreciated | | 211,513,406 | | 13,866,933 | | (2,420,637) | 222,959,702 |
| Less: accumulated | | | | | | | |
| depreciation | | (91,210,240) | _ | (7,395,117) | _ | 2,420,637 | (96,184,720) |
| Total capital assets | | | _ | _ | | | |
| being depreciated, net | | 120,303,166 | _ | 6,471,816 | _ | • | 126,774,982 |
| Total business-type activities | | | | | _ | | |
| capital assets, net | \$ | 137,499,795 | \$_ | 15,052,282 | \$ | (10,628,975) | \$ 141,923,102 |

The beginning balance of capital assets has been adjusted by approximately (\$871,000) net for construction in progress retirements omitted in prior year.

Depreciation expense was charged to functions of the primary government as follows:

Governmental Activities:

| General Government | \$ 775,281 |
|---|-----------------|
| Public Safety | 1,344,487 |
| Public Works | 6,533,525 |
| Culture and Recreation | 388,152 |
| Internal Service Fund | 106,763 |
| Total depreciation expense - governmental activities | \$ 9,148,208 |
| Business-Type Activities: | |
| Airport | 997,705 |
| Transit | 506,821 |
| Civic Center | 511,870 |
| Water Fund | 1,092,022 |
| Sewer Fund | 4,222,043 |
| Non-major business-type activities | 64,656 |
| Total depreciation expense - business-type activities | \$ 7,395,117 |

Monroe, Louisiana Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

Included in equipment are energy management system assets under capital lease with costs of \$2,967,113 as further discussed in Note 10.

The Central Shop and Warehouse land is carried on the City's balance sheet within the Internal Service Fund. At April 30, 2009, only a portion of the cost of the assets are depreciated as only the shop facility is currently accounted for in the Internal Service Fund.

The Louisiana Purchase Gardens and Zoo enterprise fund carries its investment in animals on a separate line of its balance sheet. The cost shown on the balance sheet relates only to animals that were purchased, donated or traded. Animals born in the zoo have no cost attributed to them. Also, the zoo has a number of bird specimens which are covered by the Migratory Bird Treaty (MBT). Certain birds under the MBT have no cost associated with them because they may not be bought or sold.

7. ELECTRICAL SYSTEM OPERATING AGREEMENT

On July 9, 1977, the voters of the City of Monroe authorized a 50 year operating agreement between the City of Monroe and Entergy (formerly Louisiana Power & Light) for the operation by Entergy of the City's electric system. The agreement provided that Entergy would pay to the City a percentage of total revenue collected from the sale of electric service to residential and commercial customers within the City, such payments not to be less than \$700,000 annually. The aforementioned base increased by one per cent of sales of electric services to residential and commercial customers in excess of \$10,000,000 in a calendar year. Revenues under this agreement totaled \$2,995,259 for the year ended April 30, 2009.

8. PENSION AND RETIREMENT PLANS

City of Monroe Sponsored Pension Plans:

Effective September 1, 1983, the members of the Bus Drivers' Pension and Relief Fund agreed to merge with the Municipal Employees' Retirement System of Louisiana (MERS). Since the merger, the Bus Department administrative employees contribute 9.25% of their salary and the City contributes 7.25%. The City also reimburses 4% of the bus operators' contributions. For the year ended April 30, 2009, the City contributed \$7 to the Bus Drivers' Pension and Relief Fund.

The Monroe Policemen's Pension and Relief Fund covers those employees who were members of the fund at September 1, 1983, and who retire prior to the age of 50. Upon reaching their 50th birthday, they will no longer receive benefits under the Monroe Policemen's Pension and Relief Fund, but will begin receiving benefits under the Municipal Police Employees' Retirement System (MPERS). The City made no contributions to the Monroe Policemen's Pension and Relief Fund for the year ended April 30, 2009.

Monroe, Louisiana Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

Data concerning the actuarial status of the Policemen's and Bus Drivers' Pension and Relief Funds is not available. The City of Monroe has never required the services of an actuary for these plans nor felt the need to determine the actuarial liability of the plans because state law requires that the plans be funded at minimum reserve requirements rather than an actuarially computed reserve based on future benefits payable. The two funds had net assets at April 30, 2009, as follows: Policemen's, \$118,460 and Bus Drivers', \$57,075.

State Sponsored Plans:

Municipal Employees' Retirement System of Louisiana (MERS)

Substantially all city employees, except firemen and policemen, are members of the MERS, a multiple-employer, cost-sharing, public employee retirement system (PERS), controlled and administered by a separate board of trustees. The MERS is composed of two distinct plans, Plan A and Plan B, with separate assets and benefit provisions. All City members participate in Plan A.

All permanent employees working at least 35 hours per week and elected city officials are eligible to participate in the system. Under the plan provisions, a member who retires at or after age 60 with at least 10 years of creditable service, at or after age 55 with 25 years of creditable service, or at any age with at least 30 years of creditable service is entitled to a retirement benefit, payable monthly for life, equal to 3% of the member's final compensation multiplied by his years of creditable service.

However, for those members of the supplemental plan only prior to October 1, 1978, the benefit is equal to 1% of final compensation plus \$2 per month for each year of supplemental-plan-only service earned prior to October 1, 1978, plus 3% of final compensation for each year of service credited after October 1, 1979.

Funding Policy. Contributions to the system include one-fourth of one per cent of the taxes shown to be collectible by the tax rolls of the parish. These tax dollars are divided between Plan A and Plan B based proportionately on the salaries of members on which contributions were made for the previous fiscal year. State statute requires covered employees to contribute a percentage of their salaries to the system. As provided by Louisiana Revised Statute 11:103, the employer contributions are determined by actuarial valuation and are subject to change each year based on the results of the valuation for the prior fiscal year. The City's contributions to the MERS for the years ended April 30, 2009, 2008, and 2007 were \$2,277,554; \$2,546,969; and \$2,622,425 respectively, equal to the statutorily required contributions for each year.

The MERS issues an annual, publicly-available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the retirement system. The report may be obtained by writing the Municipal Employees' Retirement System, 6750 Van Gogh, Baton Rouge, LA 70806 or by calling (225) 925-4810.

Monroe, Louisiana Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

Firefighters' Retirement System (SFRS)

Effective May 7, 1981, the members of the Firemen's Pension and Relief Fund agreed to merge with the SFRS, a multiple-employer, cost-sharing PERS. Effective June 1, 1986, the retirees and dependents receiving benefits under the City plan as of May 7, 1981, were transferred to the SFRS. As further discussed below, the liability to the SFRS was advance refunded by the City during fiscal 1998.

The plan covers substantially all members of the City's Fire Department. All new employees of the department must join this plan with the exception of employees performing duties unrelated to fire fighting. Employees with 20 years or more of service who have attained age 50 or employees with 12 years of service who have attained age 55 or 25 years of service at any age are entitled to annual pension benefits equal to 3-1/3% of their average final compensation based on the 36 consecutive months of highest pay multiplied by their total years of service, not to exceed 100%. If employees terminate before rendering 12 years of service, they forfeit the right to receive the portion of their accumulated plan benefits attributable to employer contributions. Employees who terminate with at least the amount of creditable service stated above and do not withdraw their employee contributions may retire at the ages specified above and receive the benefit accrued to their date of termination. The system also provides death and disability benefits. Benefits are established by state statute.

Funding Policy. State statute requires covered employees to contribute a percentage of their salaries to the system. As provided by Louisiana Revised Statute 11:103, the employer contributions are determined by actuarial valuation and are subject to change each year based on the results of the valuation for the prior fiscal year.

The City's contributions to the SFRS for the years ended April 30, 2009, 2008, and 2007 were \$1,057,616; \$1,206,113; \$1,377,036 and, respectively, equal to the required contributions for each year.

The SFRS issues an annual, publicly-available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the retirement system. The report may be obtained by writing the Firefighters' Retirement System, 2051 Silverside Drive, Suite 10, Baton Rouge, LA 70808-4136 or by calling (225) 925-4060.

Municipal Police Employees' Retirement System (MPERS)

Effective September 1, 1983, the members of the City's Policemen's Pension and Relief Fund agreed to merge with the MPERS, a multiple-employer, cost-sharing PERS. The liability to the MPERS associated with the merger was advance refunded by the City during fiscal 1998 as further discussed below.

Monroe, Louisiana Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

All full-time police officers engaged in law enforcement and earning at least \$375 per month excluding state supplemental pay, the elected chief of police whose salary is at least \$100 per month, and secretaries to the chief of police are eligible to participate in the system. Members who retire at or after age 55 with 12 years of creditable service, at or after age 50 with 20 years of creditable service, or at any age with 25 years of creditable service are entitled to a retirement benefit, payable monthly for life, equal to 3-1/3% of the member's average final compensation multiplied by his years of creditable service, not to exceed 100 per cent of his average final compensation. The system also provides death and disability benefits. Benefits are established by state statute.

Funding Policy. State statutes require covered employees to contribute a percentage of their salaries to the system. As provided by Louisiana Revised Statute 11:103, the employer contributions are determined by actuarial valuation and are subject to change each year based on the results of the valuation for the prior fiscal year. The City's contributions to the MPERS for the years ended April 30, 2009, 2008, and 2007 were \$967,634; \$1,416,704; and \$1,399,294, respectively, equal to the statutorily required contributions for each year.

The MPERS issues an annual, publicly-available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the retirement system. The report may be obtained by writing the Municipal Police Employees' Retirement System, 8401 United Plaza Blvd., Room 305, Baton Rouge, LA 70808 or by calling (225) 929-7411.

9. HEALTH CARE BENEFITS

During the year ended April 30, 2009, the City paid \$7,118,939 in expenses in connection with providing healthcare benefits to a total of 1,069 participants. The cost is broken down as follows:

| Active Employees | \$ 5,152,024 |
|-------------------|-----------------|
| Retired Employees | 1,946,786 |
| COBRA | 20,129 |
| Total | \$ 7,118,939 |

As permitted by Louisiana Revised Statutes, the City provides certain continuing health care and life insurance benefits for certain retired employees through self insurance. Retired City employees are eligible for these benefits if they reached normal retirement age, as defined under the applicable retirement system while working for the City. The City recognizes the cost of providing these benefits (the City's portion of the premiums) as an expenditure when the monthly premiums are due. Approximately 214 retirees receive benefits under this plan. These retirees reimbursed the City for \$806,188 for fiscal year 2009 for their portion of health care benefits.

Monroe, Louisiana Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

10. OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

Plan Description. The City of Monroe's medical benefits are provided through a comprehensive medical plan and are made available to employees upon actual retirement.

The employees are covered by several retirement systems whose retirement eligibility (D.R.O.P. entry) provisions are similar. We have used the following as being representative of that eligibility: 30 years of service at any age; age 55 and 25 years of service; or, age 60 and 10 years of service. Complete plan provisions are included in the official plan documents.

Contribution Rates. Employees do not contribute to their post employment benefits costs until they become retirees and begin receiving those benefits. The City of Monroe paid 60% of the premium cost for retired employees and their families, and the retirees paid 40% of the premium cost. Monthly premium costs for retired employees are \$475 for retiree only and \$895 for retiree and spouse.

Fund Policy. Until 2008, the City of Monroe recognized the cost of providing post-employment medical benefits (the City of Monroe's portion of the retiree medical benefit premiums) as an expense when the benefit premiums were due and thus financed the cost of the post-employment benefits on a pay-as-you-go basis. In 2008, the City of Monroe's portion of health care funding cost for retired employees totaled \$1,946,786.

Effective with the Fiscal Year beginning May 1, 2008, the City of Monroe implemented Government Accounting Standards Board Statement Number 45, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Post employment Benefits Other than Pensions (GASB 45).

Annual Required Contribution. The City of Monroe's Annual Required Contribution (ARC) is an amount actuarially determined in accordance with GASB 45. The Annual Required Contribution (ARC) is the sum of the Normal Cost plus the contribution to amortize the Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL). A level dollar, closed amortization period of 30 years (the maximum amortization period allowed by GASB 43/45) has been used for the post-employment benefits. The total ARC for the fiscal year beginning May 1, 2008 is \$3,569,438, as set forth below:

| | <u>Medical</u> |
|------------------------------------|----------------|
| Normal Cost | \$ 1,105,163 |
| 30-year UAL amortization amount | 2,464,275 |
| Annual required contribution (ARC) | \$ 3,569,438 |

Net Post-employment Benefit Obligation. The table below shows the City of Monroe's Net Other Post-employment Benefit (OPEB) Obligation for fiscal year ending April 30, 2009:

Monroe, Louisiana

Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

| | Medical |
|---------------------------------|-------------|
| Beginning Net OPEB Obligation | |
| (Asset) 5/1/2008 | \$ - |
| Annual required contribution | 3,569,438 |
| Interest on Net OPEB Obligation | |
| (Asset) | ~ |
| ARC Adjustment | |
| OPEB Cost | 3,569,438 |
| Contribution | - |
| Current year retiree premium | (1,946,786) |
| Change in Net OPEB Obligation | 1,622,652 |
| Ending Net OPEB Obligation | |
| (Asset) 4/30/2009 | \$1,622,652 |

The following table shows the City of Monroe's annual post employment benefits (PEB) cost, percentage of the cost contributed, and the net unfunded post employment benefits (PEB) liability (asset):

| | | | Percentage | |
|------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Post | | | of Annual | Net OPEB |
| Employment | • | Ann ual | Cost | Obligation |
| Benefit | Fiscal Year Ended | OPEB Cost | Contributed | (Liability) |
| Medical | April 30, 2009 | \$3,569,438 | 45.46% | \$1,622,652 |

Funded Status and Funding Progress. In the fiscal year ending April 30, 2009, the City of Monroe made no contributions to its post employment benefits plan. The plan was not funded at all, has no assets, and hence has a funded ratio of zero. As of May 1, 2008, the first and most recent actuarial valuation, the Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) was \$42,612,377, which is defined as that portion, as determined by a particular actuarial cost method (the City of Monroe uses the Unit Credit Cost Method), of the actuarial present value of post employment plan benefits and expenses which is not provided by normal cost. Since the plan was not funded in fiscal year 2009, the entire actuarial accrued liability of \$42,612,377 was unfunded.

| Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) Actuarial Value of Plan Assets | <u>Medical</u> \$42,612,377 |
|---|--------------------------------|
| Unfunded Act. Accrued Liability (UAAL) Funded Ratio (Act. Val. Assets/AAL) | 42,612,377 0% |
| Covered Payroll (active plan members) UAAL as a percentage of covered payroll | \$31,849,427 134% |

Monroe, Louisiana Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions. Actuarial valuations involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of events far into the future. The actuarial valuation for post employment benefits includes estimates and assumptions regarding (1) turnover rate; (2) retirement rate; (3) health care cost trend rate; (4) mortality rate; (5) discount rate (investment return assumption); and (6) the period to which the costs apply (past, current, or future years of service by employees). Actuarially determined amounts are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared to past expectations and new estimates are made about the future.

The actuarial calculations are based on the types of benefits provided under the terms of the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the City of Monroe and its employee plan members) at the time of the valuation and on the pattern of sharing costs between the City of Monroe and its plan members to that point. The projection of benefits for financial reporting purposes does not explicitly incorporate the potential effects of legal or contractual funding limitations on the pattern of cost sharing between the City of Monroe and plan members in the future. Consistent with the long-term perspective of actuarial calculations, the actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce short-term volatility in actuarial liabilities and the actuarial value of assets.

Actuarial Cost Method. The actuarial cost method determines, in a systematic way, the incidence of plan sponsor contributions required to provide plan benefits. It also determines how actuarial gains and losses are recognized in OPEB costs. These gains and losses result from the difference between the actual experience under the plan and what was anticipated by the actuarial assumptions.

The ARC is determined using the Unit Credit Cost Method. The employer portion of the cost for retiree medical care in each future year is determined by projecting the current cost levels using the healthcare cost trend rate and discounting this projected amount to the valuation date using the other described pertinent actuarial assumptions, including the investment return assumption (discount rate), mortality, and turnover.

Actuarial liabilities and comparative costs were computed using the following cost components:

- 1. The normal cost is the actuarial present value of benefits allocated to the evaluation year.
- 2. The actuarial accrued liability is the actuarial present value of benefits accrued as of the valuation date.
- 3. Valuation assets are equal to the market value of assets as of the valuation date, if any.
- 4. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability is the difference between the actuarial accrued liability and the valuation assets. It is amortized over the maximum permissible period under GASB 45 of 30 years.

Monroe, Louisiana

Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

Actuarial Value of Plan Assets. Since this is the first actuarial valuation, there are not any assets. It is anticipated that in future valuations a smoothed market value consistent with Actuarial Standards Board ASOP 6, as provided in paragraph number 125 of GASB Statement 45.

Turnover Rate. An age-related turnover scale based on actual experience as described by administrative staff has been used. The rates, when applied to the active employee census, produce an annual turnover of approximately 13%. The rates for each age are below:

| • | Percent |
|---------|----------|
| Age | Turnover |
| 18 - 25 | 20.0% |
| 26 - 40 | 15.0% |
| 41 - 54 | 12.0% |
| 55+ | 8.0% |

Investment Return Assumption (Discount Rate). GASB Statement 45 states that the investment return assumption should be the estimated long-term investment yield on the investments that are expected to be used to finance the payment of benefits (that is, for a plan which is funded). Based on the assumption that the ARC will not be funded, a 4% annual investment return has been used in this valuation. This is a conservative estimate of the expected long term return of a balanced and conservative investment portfolio under professional management.

Health Care Cost Trend Rate. The expected rate of increase in medical cost is based on projections performed by the Office of the Actuary at the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services as published in National Health Care Expenditures Projections: 2003 to 2013, Table 3: National Health Expenditures, Aggregate and per Capita Amounts, Percent Distribution and Average Annual Percent Change by Source of Funds: Selected Calendar Years 1990-2013, released in January, 2004 by the Health Care Financing Administration (www.cms.hhs.gov). "State and Local" rates for 2008 through 2013 from this report were used, with rates beyond 2013 graduated down to an ultimate annual rate of 5.0% for 2016 and later.

Mortality Rate. The 1994 Group Annuity Reserving (94GAR) table, projected to 2002, based on a fixed blend of 50% of the unloaded male mortality rate and 50% of the unloaded female mortality rates, was used. This is the mortality table which the Internal Revenue Service requires to be used in determining the value of accrued benefits in defined benefit pension plans. Since GASB 45 requires the use of "unblended" rates, we have used the 94GAR mortality table described above to "unblend" the rates so as to reproduce the composite blended rate overall as the rate structure to calculate the actuarial valuation results for life insurance.

Method of Determining Value of Benefits. The "value of benefits" has been assumed to be the portion of the premium after retirement date expected to be paid by the employer for each retiree and has been used as the basis for calculating the actuarial present value of OPEB benefits to be paid.

Monroe, Louisiana Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

The City pays 60% of the retiree premiums. Those premiums are based on the blended active/retired rate before Medicare eligibility and an unblended rate after Medicare eligibility (age 65). Since GASB 45 mandates that "unblended" rates applicable to the coverage provided to retirees be used, we have estimated the "unblended" rates for retirees before Medicare eligibility. It has been assumed that the total retiree rate before Medicare eligibility is 130% of the blended active/retired rate, with the employer assumed to pay the difference between that unblended rate and the employee contribution of 40% of the blended rate.

11. LEASES

At April 30, 2009, the City was obligated under operating lease agreements covering computer equipment and various other items of equipment. The original lives of the leases range up to five years with options to renew some leases for one to five years. The City made lease payments of approximately \$611,000 during the year ended April 30, 2009. The following is a schedule of future minimum lease payments under operating leases as of April 30, 2009.

| | Years Ended April 30: | | Minimum see Payments |
|------|-----------------------|----------|----------------------|
| 2010 | | <u> </u> | 285,116 |
| 2011 | | | 230,077 |
| 2012 | | | 234,308 |
| | Total | \$ | 749,501 |

In fiscal 2006, the City entered into a capital lease obligation for the purchase of an energy system that is being utilized in over 40 City-owned buildings. The original amount of the obligation was \$2,967,113 and bears interest at 4.75% to 5.59%.

| | Fu | ture Minimum |
|-------------------------------------|----|--------------|
| Fiscal Years Ended April 30 | Le | ase Payments |
| 2010 | \$ | 285,274 |
| 2011 | | 289,359 |
| 2012 | | 284,806 |
| 2013 | | 261,815 |
| 2014 | | 243,318 |
| 2015-2019 | | 1,286,088 |
| 2020-2021 | | 493,888 |
| | | 3,144,548 |
| Less: Amounts representing Interest | | (835,249) |
| Present Value of Future Minimum | | |
| Lease Payments | \$ | 2,309,299 |

Monroe, Louisiana Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

12. LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS

The following is a summary of long-term obligation transactions of the City of Monroe for the year ended April 30, 2009:

| | | Long-Term | Proprietary | |
|---------------------------------------|----|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | _ | Obligations | Funds | Total |
| Long-term obligations, May 1, 2008 | \$ | 162,860,822 | \$ 3,718,716 | \$ 166,579,538 |
| Additions | | 32,717,378 | 584,494 | 33,301,872 |
| Retirements | | (26,798,970) | (987,659) | (27,786,629) |
| Long-term obligations, April 30, 2009 | \$ | 168,779,230 | \$ 3,315,551 | \$ 172,094,781 |

Long-term obligations outstanding at April 30, 2009, are comprised of the following:

| | | Maturity | Interest | Balance |
|---|---------|-----------|--------------|-------------------|
| | Issue | Date | Rate | Outstanding |
| General Long-Term Obligations | | | | |
| General Obligation Bonds Public improvements: | | - 14 44 - | | |
| City Court and Jail | 2003 | 3/1/10 | 2.75 - 3.10 | <u>\$ 170,000</u> |
| <u>Tax Increment Bonds</u> Tower Drive | 2007 | 3/1/25 | 4.125 – 5.00 | 10,645,000 |
| TOWER Drive | 2007 | J/ 1/23 | 4.125 - 5.00 | 10,043,000 |
| Certificates of Indebtedness Taxable Refunding Certificates | | | | |
| (Civic Center Roof) | 2004C | 10/01/14 | 3.75 | 1,525,000 |
| Sales Tax Bonds | | | | |
| Series 2002 (Streets/Sewer) | 2002 | 7/1/26 | 4.00-7.00 | 12,490,000 |
| Series 2002A (Fire/Drainage) | 2002A | 7/1/27 | 3.45-4.80 | 14,325,000 |
| Series 2003 (Streets) | 2003 | 7/1/27 | 3.25-5.50 | 19,500,000 |
| Series 2006 (DHH) (Water) | 2006 | 7/1/28 | 3.45 | 3,000,000 |
| Total sales tax bonds | | | | 49,315,000 |
| | | | | |
| Refunding Bonds | | | | |
| Series 1998A (Fire/Police Pension | i) 1998 | 3/1/13 | 4.80-5.05 | 2,060,000 |
| Series 2007A (Streets/Sewer) | 2007 | 7/1/26 | 4.002 | 28,180,000 |
| Deferred amount of refunding | 2007 | | | (860,418) |
| Series 2008 I-20 Development | 2008 | 3/1/25 | 4.00%-5.50% | 24,665,000 |

Monroe, Louisiana

Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

| Deferred amount of refunding Total refunding bonds | 2008 | | | (1,253,807) 52,790,775 |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| Utility Revenue Bonds Series 2001 (DEQ) (Sewer) Series 2003 (DEQ) (Sewer) Series 2005 (DEQ) (Sewer) Series 2008 (DEQ) (Sewer) Total utility revenue bonds | 2001 2003 2005 2008 | 6/30/23 6/1/23 7/1/27 7/1/30 | 3.45 3.45 3.45 .095 | 19,775,877 8,170,000 7,281,377 <u>98,630</u> 35,325,884 |
| Other Claims and Judgments Payable Capital Lease (See Note 11) Notes Payable Accrued vacation and sick pay Other post employment benefits Total other Total general long-term obligations | None 2000 2007 None None | None 4/30/21 11/15/12 None None | None 5.70 3.88 None None | 7,303,139 2,309,299 2,299,953 5,472,528 1,622,652 19,007,571 \$168,779,230 |
| Proprietary Funds | | | | |
| Utility Revenue Bonds- Series 2001(DEQ) (Sewer) Other Accrued vacation and sick pay | 2001 None | 6/01/22 None | 3.45 None | \$ 2,294,123 |
| Total proprietary funds | | | | \$ 3,324,071 |
| Long-Term Obligation | <u>P</u> . | aying Fund | | |
| Tax increment bonds: Tower Drive I-20 Development | | Fower Drive D -20 Debt Serv | | Fund |
| Certificates of indebtedness: Taxable Refunding Certificates (Civic Center Roof) | (| General Fund | | |
| Sales tax bonds: 2002 Series (Sewer and Streets) 2002A Series (Fire and Drainage) | | Sales Tax Bon Sales Tax Bon | | |

Monroe, Louisiana Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

| 2003 Series (Streets) | Sales Tax Bond Debt Service Fund |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| 2006 Series | Sales Tax Bond Debt Service Fund |

Utility revenue bonds:

| Series 2001 (DEQ) | Sales Tax Bond Debt Service Fund |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| Series 2003 (DEQ) | Sales Tax Bond Debt Service Fund |
| Series 2005 (DEQ) | Sales Tax Bond Debt Service Fund |
| Series 2008 (DEQ) | Sales Tax Bond Debt Service Fund |

Refunding Bonds:

Series 2007A Sales Tax Bond Debt Service Fund

Series 2208 (I-20 Development) I-20 Debt Service Fund

Capital Lease Obligations:

Equipment General Fund

Notes Payable

Equipment General Fund

Utility Revenue Bonds:

Series 2001 (DEQ Sewer) Sewer Enterprise Fund

The amount recorded as claims and judgments payable in the General Long-Term Obligations Account Group is the City's liability for claims that are not expected to be paid with available resources at year-end. Expenditures for claims and judgments payable are recognized in the General Fund when paid. Only those expenditures which are included in the City's legally adopted budget will be paid.

The debt service requirements to amortize all bonds, certificates and other long-term obligations (other than accrued vacation and sick pay, claims and judgments, other post employment benefits and capital lease obligations) outstanding at April 30, 2009, including interest payments of \$67,347,769 are as follows:

| Year Ended | | G.O. Bonds | | Revenue | Tax | | DEQ | | | | |
|------------|------|------------------|----|------------|------------------|----|----------------|----|------------|----|-------------|
| April 30, | _ | Cert. of Indebt. | | Bonds | Increment | | Revolving Loan | | Other | | Total |
| 2010 | _ s_ | 512,404 | \$ | 3,874,590 | \$ 1,195,441 | \$ | 3,463,766 | 5 | 6,037,601 | \$ | 15,083,802 |
| 2011 | | 341,688 | | 3,888,076 | 1,151,658 | | 3,527,625 | | 5,909,818 | | 14,818,865 |
| 2012 | | 340,625 | | 3,891,704 | 1,142,962 | | 3,432,527 | | 5,567,065 | | 14,374,883 |
| 2013 | | 339,188 | | 3,889,950 | 1,127,575 | | 3,422,799 | | 5,395,159 | | 14,174,671 |
| 2014 | | 342,375 | | 3,881,727 | 1,114,280 | | 3,419,714 | | 4,537,069 | | 13,295,165 |
| 2015-2019 | | - | | 19,509,545 | 5,294,441 | | 17,037,181 | | 22,732,965 | | 64,574,132 |
| 2020-2024 | | - | | 18,829,041 | 4,896,044 | | 16,553,802 | | 22,958,285 | | 63,237,172 |
| 2025-2029 | | • - | | 15,978,959 | 976,500 | _ | | | 7,313,580 | | 24,269,039 |
| Total | \$ | 1,876,280 | 5 | 73,743,592 | \$ 16,898,901 | \$ | 50,857,414 | \$ | 80,451,542 | 5 | 223,827,729 |

Monroe, Louisiana Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

General obligation bonds are direct obligations and are secured by the full faith and credit of the City. These bonds generally mature in 2010. Revenue bonds are secured by pledged income derived from the assets acquired or constructed with bond funds. Certificates of indebtedness are secured by a pledge of the general credit of the City. The Tax Increment bonds are secured solely from the incremental tax revenues from the respective economic development districts.

Sales Tax Increment Refunding and Improvement Revenue Bonds

In December 2008, the City issued \$26,365,000 of Sales Tax Increment Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series 2008 with interest rates ranging over the life of the bonds from 4.00% to 5.375%. The proceeds borrowed are being used as follows: \$657,741 in underwriting fees and other issuance costs, \$401,110 bond insurance premium, \$79,274 surety bond, \$240,265 original issue discount, and \$18,503,783 which was placed in an irrevocable trust for the purpose of generating resources for all future debt service payments of Series 2003 (\$12,245,000) and Series 2005 (\$4,370,000). As a result, this portion of the refunded bonds is considered to be defeased and the liability has been removed from the governmental activities column of the statement of net assets. The remaining proceeds of \$17,898,019 (including transfers from prior debt service reserve funds) will be used to provide funds for certain infrastructure improvement projects located within the Garrett Road Economic Development Area.

As a result of the refunding of the 2003 and 2005 Series, the City decreased its total debt service by \$1,836,262, which has resulted in an economic gain (difference between the present value of the debt service payments of the old debt and the new debt) of \$687,026.

Utility Revenue Bonds

On January 27, 2009, the City issued \$14,000,000 of Utility Revenue Bonds, Series 2008, the proceeds of which are to be used for the purpose of providing permanent financing for the cost of rehabilitating and expanding the sanitary sewerage treatment, collection and disposal facilities of the City and otherwise improving the Sewer Component System and paying the costs of issuance. The bonds will be paid from the income and revenues of the City's combined water and sewer system (the "System"), after provision has been made for payment there from of the reasonable and necessary costs and expenses of operating and maintaining the System (the "Net Revenues") as the same fall due. The bonds will bear interest at a rate of .95% and will mature on July 1, 2030.

CITY OF MONROE

Monroe, Louisiana

Notes To The Financial Statements
As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

13. INTERFUND RECEIVABLES AND PAYABLES

Individual fund balances due from/to other funds at April 30, 2009, are as follows:

| | | | | | | Interi | find | Interfund Receivable | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|-----------------|---------|----------|---------------------------------|---------------------|------|----------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|----------|---------------|-----------|
| | | | | | | | | Normajor | Nonmajor | Internal | | | |
| | | ₹, | Airport | | | Civic | Ŝ | Governmental | Enterprise | Service | Agency | | |
| | General | Ĭ | rminal | Airport | Terminal Airport Transit Center | Center | | Funds | Funds | Funds | Funds | | Total |
| Interfund Payable | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| General | , 6/3 | 64 3 | ı | , 64 | \$49,788 | \$49,788 \$5,341 \$ | 643 | 304,304 \$ | | 613 \$858,184 \$17,380 \$ 1,235,610 | \$17,380 | 69 | 1,235,610 |
| Capital Infrastructure | • | | • | | • | • | | 388,367 | • | • | 1 | | 388,367 |
| Airport Terminal | • | | ٠ | | , | • | | 685,987 | · | • | • | | 685,987 |
| Nonnajor Governmental Funds | 693,164 | | 7,988 | 90,748 | • | 4 | | 678,555 | • | • | • | | 1,470,455 |
| Sewer Fund | | | • | | • | • | | • | • | • | • | | 3,438,394 |
| Nonmajor Enterprise Funds | 14,553 | | • | | • | , | | 38,619 | • | • | • | | 53,172 |
| Internal Service Funds | 857,934 | | • | | - 1 | • | İ | 206,627 | | | ' | | 1,064,561 |
| Total | \$5,004,044 \$ 7,988 \$90,748 \$49,788 \$5,341 \$ 2,302,459 \$ 613 \$858,184 \$17,380 \$ 8,336,546 | ∽ | 7,988 | \$90,748 | \$49,788 | \$5,341 | ₩ | 2,302,459 | \$ 613 | \$858,184 | \$17,380 | 6-9 | 8,336,546 |

These balances result from interfund transactions for goods and services which result in reimbursable expenditures or cash transfers among funds made to cover negative cash balances.

14. INTERFUND TRANSFERS

The following transfers were made between the various funds of the City during the year ended April 30, 2009:

| | | | | | | Η | Transfers Out | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------|----------------|------------|----|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------|-------------------|----------|----|---|-----|------------|
| | | | | | | | Nonmajor | L | | | | Internal | | |
| | | | Capital | I-20 Debt | # | Airport | Governmental | itai | | Water | l. | Service | | |
| | General | In | Infrastructure | Service | | Terminal | Funds | | Transit | Fund | | Fund | | Total |
| Fransfers In | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ! |
| General | · •> | ₩, | 652,092 | ⊹ > | • | , 643 | \$ 157, | ,788 \$ | 157,788 \$ 10,130 | ∵ | 1 | \$ 217,259 \$ | 4 | 1,037,269 |
| 1-20 Corridor Improvements | • | | 8,383,615 | | , | • | | 1 | 1 | | | • | | 8,383,615 |
| Airrort Terminal | 1 | | | | | 1 | 62, | 62,567 | • | | ı | ı | | 62,567 |
| Nonmajor Governmental Funds | 5,276,201 | | 1,382,112 | 3,554,185 | 85 | 138,072 | 92, | 92,449 | • | 828,268 | 89 | 191,188 | | 11,462,475 |
| Transit | 2,193,324 | | , | • | | 1 | | • | • | | | 1 | | 2,193,324 |
| Civic Center | 277,473 | | • | | 1 | • | | | • | | • | • | | 277,473 |
| Nonmaior Enterprise Funds | 125,713 | | • | | | • | | | | | , | | | 125,713 |
| Internal Service Funds | 1,342,707 | | 1 | | | ı | 191, | 191,188 | | | , | , | | 1,533,895 |
| Total | \$ 9,215,418 \$ | S S | 10,417,819 | \$ 3,554,1 | 85 | 0,417,819 \$ 3,554,185 \$ 138,072 \$ | | 992 | \$ 10,130 | \$ 828,2 | 89 | 503,992 \$ 10,130 \$ 828,268 \$ 408,447 \$ 25,076,331 | 643 | 25,076,331 |

These transfers resulted from transactions for goods and services among funds, debt service transfers, amounts needed to cover deficits in various Enterprise Funds and for capital improvements.

15. LITIGATION AND CONTINGENCIES

Various lawsuits are pending against the City of Monroe. Except as noted below, attorneys of the City are of the opinion that any judgment rendered in favor of the plaintiff will not materially affect the financial position of the City at April 30, 2009.

The City is a defendant in two separate suits involving the death of a citizen during a confrontation with Monroe City Police. Both suits have been filed on behalf of the person's adult and minor children. Counsel for the City believes there will be no liability to the City as a result of these suits.

In addition, the City is a party to various suits involving use of excessive force by police officers, accidents involving City vehicles and/or property and workman's compensation and city employer/employee relations. The City is unable to make an estimate of the possible liability, if any, of these matters at the current time.

The City is also a party to a suit involving the Monroe Airport Project and the bids received on the project. The apparent low bidder whose bid was not accepted because the bid was non-responsive has sued the City and asked to be named as the lowest responsive bidder. The City believes there is no liability and if it were determined that the company should have been awarded the bid, the city's attorney is unsure what, if any, damages would be owed.

Lastly, the Director of the Taxation and Revenue Division of the Administration Department for the City of Monroe was arrested in May 2009 and is facing 30 counts of felony theft and one count of state racketeering relating to thefts from sales taxes. A joint investigation by the Monroe Police Department, Louisiana State Police, FBI, Legislative Auditor's Office and the 4th Judicial District Attorney's Office is currently in process and a report from the Legislative Auditor's Office is expected to be released shortly. Initial estimates from the investigation reflect \$200,000 to \$300,000 has been misappropriated over the current and previous year. However, most of the thefts occurred from vendors within the city limits of Monroe which reduces the City's liability to outside agencies to \$100,000 or less of which the City's insurance will cover most if not all of the liability.

16. DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLANS

The City of Monroe offers its employees deferred compensation plans created in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 457, as amended. The plans, available to all employees, permit them to defer a portion of their salaries until future years. Participation in the plans is optional. The City does not make any contributions to the plans. The deferred compensation is not available to employees until termination, retirement or unforeseeable emergency. Deferred compensation is available to employees' beneficiaries in case of death. In accordance with the amended provisions of Code Section 457, all amounts deferred under the plans, all property and rights purchased with those amounts, and all income attributable to those amounts, property or rights are solely the property and

rights of the participants and their beneficiaries. As required by the amended Code Section 457, the City established custodial accounts with a third party administrator who will hold the assets and income of the plans.

Since the assets of the plans are held in a custodial account with a third-party administrator, the assets and liabilities are not presented in the City's financial statements in accordance with GASB 32.

Assets with a fair market value of \$1,424,399 are held by Public Employees Benefit Services Corporation, a deferred compensation center, and assets with a fair market value of \$153,352 are held by ICMA under agreement with the City.

17. ON-BEHALF PAYMENTS

Certain City employees in the City Marshal's office, the Monroe Police Department and the Monroe Fire Department receive supplemental pay from the state of Louisiana. In accordance with GASB Statement No.24, the City has recorded revenues and expenditures for these payments in the General Fund. Revenues under this arrangement totaled \$1,871,882 and the related expenditures are as follows:

| Marshal/Deputy Marshal | \$ | 75,125 |
|--------------------------|------|----------|
| Monroe Police Department | | 880,884 |
| Monroe Fire Department | | 915,873 |
| Total | \$_1 | ,871,882 |

18. RISK FINANCING ACTIVITIES

The City is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; and natural disasters. There were no major changes in insurance coverage for the year ended April 30, 2009. Certain risks of loss, such as surety bonding, transit liability and indemnity, and activities relating to the operations of Chennault Park, Selman Field Golf Course, the Monroe Civic Center, Louisiana Purchase Gardens and Zoo and Monroe Regional Airport are insured through purchase of commercial insurance with deductibles from \$0 to \$25,000 and coverage limits from \$1,000 (surety bonds) to \$64,000,000 (buildings and contents). Other risks of loss, including fleet comprehensive and liability, long-term disability and comprehensive general liability, are entirely self-insured. Workers compensation losses are self insured up to \$250,000 per occurrence, with excess loss policies in force for claims in excess of the self insured retention. There were no settlements that exceeded insurance coverage for the past three years. All of the foregoing risk financing activities are accounted for in the governmental and proprietary fund types. Long-term liabilities that are covered by structured settlements which are not expected to be liquidated with

Monroe, Louisiana Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

expendable available financial resources in the governmental funds have been recorded in the General Long-term Obligations Account Group at estimated present value. Other long-term claims and judgments payable recorded in the General Long-term Obligations Account Group, primarily disability and workers' compensation claims, are recorded using actuarial methods. Changes in the claims liability for the year ended April 30, 2009, are as follows:

| Balance, April 30, 2008 | \$ 7,853,787 |
|--|--------------|
| Current year claims and changes in estimates | 148,130 |
| Claims paid | (698,778) |
| Balance, April 30, 2009 | \$ 7,303,139 |

Included in current year claims and changes in estimates are amounts related to workers' compensation claims for incurred but not reported claims (IBNR). IBNR claims include known loss events which are expected to be presented as claims, unknown loss events that are expected to become claims and expected future developments on claims already reported. Actuarial methods were employed to determine the IBNR reserve at April 30, 2009, which was calculated to be approximately \$2 million and covers claim years since April 30, 1979.

19. JACKSON STREET ANNEX FIRE LOSS (UPDATE)

On February 8, 2007, the City of Monroe incurred a loss by fire at the Jackson Street Annex. The City estimates the full amount of the loss to be \$1,047,050. Reimbursements received through April 30, 2009, are \$474,257.

20. RESTATEMENTS

Beginning net assets of the governmental activities are being restated by (\$567,273). This net decrease is due to the omission of prior year deletions of Construction in Progress (\$871,384); an adjustment to Bond Issue Costs for prior year error in the balance (\$771,411) and an increase of \$1,075,522 for the omission of the prior year balance of deferred amount of refund to bond escrow.

21. PASSENGER FACILITY CHARGE-MONROE REGIONAL AIRPORT

On January 23, 2003, the Monroe Regional Airport (Airport) received approval from the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to impose a \$4.50 passenger facility charge (PFC) in accordance with Section 158.29 of the FAA Regulations (Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 158). On December 20, 2005, the Airport received approval to continue collecting the charge through

Monroe, Louisiana Notes To The Financial Statements As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

September 1, 2008. On September 8, 2008, approval was given by the FAA to collect the charge commencing November 1, 2008 through June 1, 2036.

FAA regulations require that PFC revenues be recognized and reported as non-operating revenues in the year the fees are remitted by the air carriers (cash basis of accounting). Likewise, payments to vendors are also reported when disbursed, not when incurred. However, for financial reporting purposes, GAAP requires that these revenues and expenses be recorded on the modified accrual basis of accounting.

FAA regulations also require certain financial statement disclosures with regard to passenger facility charges. Any PFC revenue received, but not yet spent, along with interest income, is classified as restricted net assets on the Statement of Net Assets.

The FAA approved a total collection of \$1,134,672, from April 1, 2003 until January 31, 2006 for three approved projects. On December 20, 2005, the FAA approved collections of \$720,000 for one additional project. These projects as well as the amounts disbursed and the remaining dollars available are as follows: Two additional projects were approved September, 8 2008 totaling \$16,400,000.00

| | Project | | |
|---|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Description | Amount | Disbursements | Available |
| Aircraft loading equipment improvement | \$ 504,335 | \$ 504,335 | \$ - |
| PFC application professional fees | 40,700 | 40,700 | - |
| Rehabilitate airfield lighting | 401,025 | 401,025 | - |
| Passenger terminal scoping and planning study | 413,444 | 413,444 | - |
| New Passenger Terminal Building | 16,200,000 | 57,864 | 16,142,136 |
| Administrative Costs (Professional Fees) | 200,000 | 70,532 | 129,468 |
| Total | \$ 17,759,504 | \$ 1,487,899 | \$ 16,271,604 |

On September 15, 2009 the following changes occurred:

- Application 02-01-C-00-MLU was reduced from \$720,000.00 to \$413,444.00 due to completion of project on March 31, 2009.
- Application 03-01-C-01-MLU-001 was reduced from \$641,748 to \$504,335 due to completion of project on February 1, 2005.
- Application 03-01-C-01-MLU-003 was reduced from \$452,224 to \$401,025 due to completion of project on January 1, 2004.
- Application 01-01-C-00-MLU, 01-02-C-00-MLU, and 01-03-C-00-MLU were deemed completed.

- Application 03-01-C-01-MLU charge expiration date was changed to July, 2005.
- Application 06-02-C-00-MLU charge expiration date was changed to July 1, 2006.
- Application 08-03-C-00-MLU charge effective date was changed to July 1, 2006 and charge expiration date was changed to February 1, 2034.

Since the inception of the PFC, the Airport has recorded the following revenues / receipts and expenses / disbursements through fiscal year 2008 resulting in a restriction of net assets from passenger facility charges as follows:

| | _A | ccrual Basis | _ | Cash Basis |
|---|----|--------------|----|-------------|
| PFC revenues / receipts | \$ | 2,193,001 | \$ | 2,127,714 |
| Interest earnings | | 46,728 | _ | 46,728_ |
| Total revenues / receipts | | 2,239,729 | | 2,068,602 |
| Expenses / disbursements for PFC projects | | (1,495,887) | | (1,487,899) |
| Net PFC cash, April 30, 2009 | | | \$ | 686,543 |
| Net assets restricted for PFC, April 30, 2009 | \$ | 743,842 | - | |

Any remaining funds after the completion of the projects will require a plan for the use of this revenue be submitted to the FAA for review and concurrence.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION (PART B)

CITY OF MONROE Monroe, Louisiana Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes In Fund Balances-Budget and Actual General Fund For the Year Ended April 30, 2009 (Unaudited)

| General property leves General property leves General property leves 1974 Pachlic safety texes 1974 Pachlic safety texes 1974 Pachlic safety texes 1974 Pachlic safety texes 1974 Profinely leves Street Maintenance Text Capital improvement text Police department text Adjudicated Property General sales texes Liquor Licenses Video Bitago Libense Building permits Clevers permits Plumbing permits Electricel permits General permits General permits General permits General permits Only Premits Determine Hold deports Franchise foce Forberal grants Sales text commission - Police Pury Sales text commission - Police Pury Sales text commission - Police Pury Sales text commission - Police Sale | ### State of A Cristal 4,047,528 701,979 3395,791 489,138 1,253,028 577,109 577,109 577,109 77,100 90,783,863 77,766 2,354,387 2,000 84,000 47,000 52,009 32,000 11,000 15,000 600 2,425 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 379,775 51,000 37,800 2,180 379,775 51,000 37,800 2,180 386,285 21,970 21 | Fieal 4,047,524 \$ 703,612 396,719 490,248 \$ 1,302,520 602,699 602,699 602,699 72,190 20,007 72,706 2,354,387 2,2006 13,500 13,000 62,400 25 53 975 2,425 2,456 133,000 40,400 10,000 62,400 25 53 975 2,425 1,590 754,436 \$ 1,991,325 183,000 426,358 51,000 37,800 2,180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 | Arabasi Ampunis 4,127,560 \$ 727,893 410,402 507,198 623,353 623,352 76,555 20,036 32,542,857 777,111 2,673,303 1,341 90,465 23,951 32,100 12,502 7,690 23 55 973 2,175 2,175 2,175 1,872 1,872 1,871,882 182,000 1,871,882 182,000 1,900 37,800 2,199 1800 2,199 1800 2,199 1800 94,481 23,066 19,267 | Positive (Negative) 80,00 24,21 13,61 16,5 |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| General property lexes General property lexes 1974 Public safety texes 1976 department tex Police department tex Peer tex Adjudicated Property General safet texes Liquer Licenses Uideo Bingo Libense Publicing permits Phumbing permits Phumbing permits Phumbing permits Phumbing permits Phumbing permits Stete press Heat and air conditioning permits Sound Permits Heat and air conditioning permits Sound Permits Off Prem Sign Cultert/Deslarge Project, Permit Umerhanded ind deports Franchise fone Pederal greats State greats - Supplemental Pay Signal Light Reimbursement Keep Louisian Beautiful Sales tex commission - School Board Sales tex commission - Police Pay Sales tex commission - Burlington Sales tex commission - Burlington Sales tex commission - Publice Sales tex commission - Hotel/Motel City smatting service Reconation department revenue EIDTTA. Field Of Tacimbursement Field of Tacimbursement Sales Greats Sales Greats | 4,047,528 \$ 701,970 395,791 489,133 1,255,028 577,109 577,109 577,109 77,706 2,354,347 2,000 84,000 47,000 52,000 31,000 11,000 15,000 600 2,425 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21, | 4,042,528 \$ 703,612 396,719 490,238 1,302,520 602,609 622,609 72,106 20,027 32,000,000 73,550 18,300 23,650 30,400 11,000 6,240 25 53 975 2,425 1,50 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 426,258 51,000 37,300 37,800 2,180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,555 | 4,127,560 \$ 727,893 410,402 507,192 6 1,347,581 623,353 76,555 20,026 32,542,857 77,111 2,673,305 1,941 90,445 23,569 23,911 32,106 12,568 7,690 23 55 975 2,175 2,175 1,50 878,669 1,871,842 183,000 1,501 634,127 51,000 37,800 2,199 1851 94,481 23,066 19,267 | \$0,00 24,21 13,61 16,91 44,81 20,7- 20,7- 4,81 542,81 (14,9) 11,2- 2,7- 1,5- 1,4- (119,4- (119,4- 1,5- 7,7- 1,5- 1,1- 1,1- 1,1- 1,1- 1,1- 1,1- 1,1 |
| General property texts 1974 Period Representational maintenance topic 1974 Periodogo texts 1974 Periodogo texts Street Maintenance Text Capital improvement text Police department text Police department text Fire department text Best text Adjudicated Property General sales texts Liquor Lionases Deceptational Licenases Video Bitago Jiotanie Building permits Server permits Permits germits Server permits Fleat and air conditioning permits Server permits Fleat and air conditioning permits Off Prem Sign Culver/Denhange Project, Permit Unrefunded bid deporits Franchise foor Permits State grants - Supplemental Pay Signal Light Reimburgement Keep Louisians Bestudfid Sales tex commission - School Board Sales tex commission - School Board Sales tex commission - Richwood Sales tex commission - Richwood Sales tex commission - Police Sales tex commission - Doublin Parish Sheriff's Office Sales tex commission - Doublin Parish Sheriff's Office Sales tex commission - Police Sales tex Commission - Folice Sales tex Commis | 701,979 395,791 489,138 1,253,028 577,109 577,109 577,109 77,100 30,783,863 77,706 2,354,347 2,000 84,000 47,000 52,000 31,000 11,000 15,000 600 2,425 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 37,800 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 703,612 396,719 490,218 1,302,520 602,699 602,699 72,106 20,007 32,000,000 77,756 2,354,387 2,000 13,550 18,300 23,650 11,000 6,240 25 55 975 2,425 1,591,325 183,000 426,258 51,000 37,500 2,180 18,000 37,500 2,180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 | 727,893 410,403 507,198 6 1,347,381 623,355 623,352 76,555 20,036 32,542,857 77,111 2,673,305 1,981 90,445 23,513 32,100 12,508 7,690 23 2,175 1,500 1,871,882 182,000 1,501 634,127 51,000 37,800 2,199 1851 94,481 23,066 19,267 | 24,21 13,61 16,92 144,81 20,7 20,7 4,4,4 542,81 542,81 21,2 21,7 1,5 1,4 124,2 (119,4 1.5) 7,7 |
| 1974 Represtional maintenance taxes 1974 Public safety taxes 1974 Public safety taxes 1974 Public safety taxes 1974 Public safety taxes 1974 Public safety taxes 1974 Public safety taxes 1974 Public safety taxes 1974 Public safety tax Police department tax Police department tax Piec department tax Piec department tax Piec department tax Piec department tax Piec department tax Piec department tax Piec department tax Piec department tax Piec department tax Piec department tax Piec department tax Piec department Licenses Video Bitago I Jeense Publiding permits Plentinel permits Plentinel permits Plentinel permits Plentinel permits Plentinel permits Plentinel permits Plentinel permits Plentinel permits Out Prem Sign Culvert/Devilates Project Permit Unrefunded bid deports Pertachise fone Pederal grants State grants - Supplemental Pay Signal Light Reimbursement Keep Louisians Beautiful Sales tax commission - Peloc Pay Sales tax commission - Peloc Pay Sales tax commission - Peloc Pay Sales tax commission - Handist Sales tax commission - Handist Sales tax commission - Projec Sales tax commission - Projec Sales tax commission - Police Sal | 701,979 395,791 489,138 1,253,028 577,109 577,109 577,109 77,100 30,783,863 77,706 2,354,347 2,000 84,000 47,000 52,000 31,000 11,000 15,000 600 2,425 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 37,800 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 703,612 396,719 490,218 1,302,520 602,699 602,699 72,106 20,007 32,000,000 77,756 2,354,387 2,000 13,550 18,300 23,650 11,000 6,240 25 55 975 2,425 1,591,325 183,000 426,258 51,000 37,500 2,180 18,000 37,500 2,180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 | 727,893 410,403 507,198 6 1,347,381 623,355 623,352 76,555 20,036 32,542,857 77,111 2,673,305 1,981 90,445 23,513 32,100 12,508 7,690 23 2,175 1,500 1,871,882 182,000 1,501 634,127 51,000 37,800 2,199 1851 94,481 23,066 19,267 | 24,21 13,61 16,92 144,81 20,7 20,7 4,4,4 542,81 542,81 21,2 21,7 1,5 1,4 124,2 (119,4 1.5) 7,7 |
| 1974 Public safety texes 1974 Public safety texes 1974 Drainago taxes Street Maintenance Text Capital improvement text Police department text Police department text Beer tax Adjudicated Property General sales texes Liquor Licenses Docupational Licenses Dutking permits Pour permits Plumbing permits Plumbing permits Plumbing permits Plumbing permits Plumbing permits Plumbing permits Plumbing permits Plumbing permits Plumbing permits Plumbing permits Plumbing permits Plumbing permits Plumbing permits Plumbing permits Dit Prem Sign Culvert/Drainago Project, Permit Unrefunded bid depoets Franchise foos Pedieral geners State grunts - Supplemental Pay Signal Light Heimbursement Keep Louisians Beautiful Sales tex commission - School Board Sales tex commission - Police Pay Sales tex commission - Police Pay Sales tex commission - Heimburs Sales tex commission - Police Pay Sales tex commission - Police Pay Sales tex commission - Police Sales tex commission - Police Sales tex commission - Police Sales tex commission - Police Sales tex commission - Police Sales tex commission - Police Sales tex commission - Police Sales tex commission - Police Sales tex commission - Police Sales tex commission - Police Sales tex commission - Police Sales tex commission - Police Sales tex commission - Police Sales tex commission - Police Sales tex commission - Police Sales tex commission - Police Sales tex commission - Folice/Motel City sumation service Reconstituded - Police Sales tex commission - Folice/Motel City sumation service Reconstituded - Police Sales Grant Sales Grant Sales Grant | 395,791 489,138 1,255,028 577,109 577,109 577,109 72,100 30,783,863 77,706 2,354,387 2,000 47,000 52,000 31,000 11,000 15,000 2,425 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 21,970 21,970 21,970 21,975 51,000 | 396,719 490,248 1,302,520 602,609 602,609 77,100 20,000 77,706 2,354,387 2,000 13,300 23,650 30,400 11,000 6,240 25 53 975 2,425 1,50 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 37,500 37,500 37,500 37,500 37,500 37,500 37,500 37,500 37,500 37,500 37,500 37,500 37,500 37,500 1,180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 | 410,402 507,198 6 1,347,381 623,352 76,555 20,026 32,542,879 77,111 2,673,305 1,981 90,465 29,569 23,911 32,100 12,568 7,690 23 55 975 2,175 1,501 878,669 1,871,842 183,000 12,900 37,800 | 13,64 16,9° 44,8° 20,7° 20,7° 4,4° 542,8° (3,318,9° 11,2° 12,1,5° 1,4° 124,2° (119,4° 1,5° 7,7° 8,3° 1,0° 1,0° 1,0° 1,0° 1,0° 1,0° 1,0° 1,0 |
| 1974 Drainago taxes Strees Maintenenses Text Capital improvement text Police department sex Fire department sex Fire department sex Adjudicated Property General asies texts Liquor Licenses Decapational Licenses Decapational Licenses Decapational Licenses Didding permits Evert permits Phumbing permits Electriced permits General asia onodificating permits Stower permits Hotal and air conditioning permits Sound Penniss Mobible sign permits Diff Prem Sign Culver/Drakange Project, Permit Jumpfunded bid deporits Franchise foor Pedaral grants State grants - Supplemental Pay Signal Light Reimburgement Koep Louisiana Beautiful Sales tax commission - School Board Sales tax commission - Supplemental Soles tax commission - Richwood Sales tax commission - Richwood Sales tax commission - Pare Sales tax commission - Pare Sales tax commission - Pare Sales tax commission - Police Sales tax commission - Police Sales tax commission - Police Sales tax commission - Dechina Parish Sheriff's Office Sales tax commission - Police Sales tax commission - Folice Sales | 489,138 1,255,020 577,109 577,109 577,109 577,105 30,783,863 77,706 2,354,387 2,000 84,000 47,000 52,009 38,000 11,000 15,000 600 2,425 754,436 1,991,325 181,000 37,800 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 490,248 1,302,520 602,699 602,699 602,609 73,106 20,007 32,000,000 73,756 2,354,387 18,300 11,000 62,40 25 53 975 2,425 159 754,436 1,971,325 183,000 426,358 51,000 426,358 51,000 37,500 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,555 | 507,198 6 1,347,381 623,355 623,355 623,355 20,026 32,542,857 77,111 2,673,303 1,981 90,465 23,591 33,100 12,588 7,690 23 55 975 2,175 1,500 878,569 1,871,842 183,000 1,501 634,127 51,000 37,800 2,199 1,801 94,481 23,066 19,267 | 16,9: 44,8: 20,7: 20,7: 4,4: 542,8: (3) 318,9: (14,9: 11,2: 12,5: 1,4: (119,4: (119,4: 1,0: 1,0: 1,0: 1,0: 1,0: 1,0: 1,0: 1,0 |
| Street Mainteneme Text Capital improvement text Police department text Fire department text Beet tax Adjudicated Property General sales texts Liquor Licenses Decapational Licenses Decapational Licenses Decapational Licenses Decapational Licenses Decapational Licenses Decapational Licenses Decapational Licenses Decapational Licenses Decapational Licenses Decapational Licenses Decapational Licenses Decapational Licenses Decapational Licenses Decapational Licenses Decapational Licenses Decapational Licenses Decapational Licenses Decapational Decapation Decapation | 1,253,028 577,109 577,109 577,109 72,100 30,783,863 77,706 2,354,347 2,000 84,000 47,000 52,003 38,009 11,000 15,000 600 2,425 754,426 1,991,325 183,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 1,302,520 602,609 602,609 72,106 20,007 32,000,000 77,706 2,354,387 2,000 15,550 18,300 25,650 30,400 11,000 6,240 25 55 977 2,425 159 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 37,500 37,500 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,555 | 1,347,381 623,353 623,353 623,352 76,555 20,036 32,542,857 77,111 2,673,303 1,911 90,465 23,951 32,100 12,502 7,690 23 21,75 21,75 21,75 150 878,669 1,871,842 182,000 1,901 634,127 51,000 37,800 2,199 180 94,481 23,066 19,267 | 44,8 20,7 20,7 4,4 542,8 (318,9 11,2 2,1,7 1,5 1,4 (219,4 (119,4 (119,4 1,5) 7,7 |
| Capital improvement tex Police department tex Police department tex Beer tax Adjusticated Property Georeal sales texes Journal Licenses Journa | 577,109 577,109 577,109 72,100 30,783,863 77,706 2,354,387 2,000 84,000 47,000 52,009 32,009 11,000 15,000 600 2,425 754,436 1,991,325 182,000 37,800 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 21,970 21,970 | \$02,509 602,509 602,509 72,100 20,020 77,706 2,354,387 2,000 13,550 18,300 23,550 30,400 11,000 6,240 25 53 975 2,425 1,50 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 426,288 51,000 87,800 2,180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 | 623,355 623,355 623,355 20,026 32,542,857 76,555 20,026 32,542,857 1,911 2,673,305 1,941 190,445 29,559 23,911 33,106 12,502 7,690 23,55 975 2,175 150 878,650 878,650 1,871,842 183,090 1,901 634,127 51,000 37,800 2,199 180 94,481 23,066 23,066 19,267 | 20,7- 20,7- 4,4- 542,8- (3) 318,9- (14,9- 11,2- 1,7- 1,5- 1,4- (119,4- 1,7- 1,7- 1,5- 1,7- 1,7- 1,5- 1,7- 1,5- 1,7- 1,5- 1,7- 1,5- 1,7- 1,5- 1,7- 1,5- 1,0- 1,0- 1,0- 1,0- 1,0- 1,0- 1,0- 1,0 |
| Police department tex Fire department tex Beet tex A djudicated Property Georal sales texes Josephinal Licenses Josephinal Josephina Josephinal Josephina Josep | 577,109 577,109 577,109 72,100 30,783,863 77,706 2,354,387 2,000 84,000 47,000 52,009 32,009 11,000 15,000 600 2,425 754,436 1,991,325 182,000 37,800 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 21,970 21,970 | \$02,509 602,509 602,509 72,100 20,020 77,706 2,354,387 2,000 13,550 18,300 23,550 30,400 11,000 6,240 25 53 975 2,425 1,50 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 426,288 51,000 87,800 2,180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 | 623,355 623,355 623,355 20,026 32,542,857 76,555 20,026 32,542,857 1,911 2,673,305 1,941 190,445 29,559 23,911 33,106 12,502 7,690 23,55 975 2,175 150 878,650 878,650 1,871,842 183,090 1,901 634,127 51,000 37,800 2,199 180 94,481 23,066 23,066 19,267 | 20,7- 20,7- 4,4- 542,8- (3) 318,9- (14,9- 11,2- 1,7- 1,5- 1,4- (119,4- 1,7- 1,7- 1,5- 1,7- 1,7- 1,5- 1,7- 1,5- 1,7- 1,5- 1,7- 1,5- 1,7- 1,5- 1,7- 1,5- 1,0- 1,0- 1,0- 1,0- 1,0- 1,0- 1,0- 1,0 |
| Fire department tace Deer tax Adjusticated Property General sales texes Josephinan Licenses Josephinan Josephina Josephinan Josephina Josephinan Jose | 577,109 72,100 30,783,863 77,706 2,354,387 2,000 84,000 84,000 47,000 52,009 31,000 11,000 15,000 600 2,425 754,436 1,991,325 183,009 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 607,509 72,106 20,007 32,000,000 77,706 2,354,387 2,000 75,559 18,300 23,650 30,400 11,000 6,240 25 55 977 2,425 159 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 426,358 51,000 37,500 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,555 | 623,552 76,555 20,026 32,542,857 77,111 2,673,305 1,981 90,465 29,569 23,911 32,106 12,568 7,690 23 55 973 2,175 150 878,669 1,871,882 182,000 1,900 37,800 2,199 180 94,481 23,066 19,267 | 20,7- 4,4: 542,8: (3318,9 11,2: 12,5: 1,4: (2: 124,2: (119,4: 1,5: 7,7: 1,0: 1,0: 1,0: 1,0: 1,0: 1,0: 1,0: 1,0 |
| Beet tax Adjaticated Property General sales teres injuor Xi.comese Decempational Licenses indeo Bitgo Licenses indeo Bitgo Licenses indeo Bitgo Licenses indeo Bitgo Licenses indeo Bitgo Licenses indeo Bitgo Licenses indeo Bitgo Licenses indeo Bitgo Licenses indeo Bitgo Licenses indeo Bitgo Licenses indeo Bermits frambing permits interpretation of the Control of the Control interpretation of th | 72,100 30,783,863 77,706 2,354,347 2,800 84,000 47,000 52,000 31,000 11,000 15,000 2,425 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 72,100 20,027 32,000,000 77,706 2,354,387 2,000 13,550 18,300 23,650 30,400 11,000 6,240 25 53 975 2,425 159 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 426,358 51,000 37,800 21,800 18,000 85,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 | 76,555 20,026 32,542,857 77,111 2,673,305 1,pe1 90,465 29,569 23,911 32,100 12,508 7,690 23 55 975 2,175 150 878,669 1,871,842 183,000 1,800 1,900 1,900 1,900 2,199 1800 94,481 23,066 19,267 | 4,4: 542,8: (3) 318,9 11,2: 1,7: 1,5: 1,4: (2) 124,2: (119,4: 1,5: 7,7: 1,5: 1,0: 1,0: 1,0: 1,0: 1,0: 1,0: 1,0: 1,0 |
| A djudicated Property Georeal sales terces joucy Licenses place and Licenses jideo Bingo License uitding permits cover permits furnhing pormits learned are conditioning permits touth on a conditioning permits learned are conditioning permits touth remits for the most of the permits for the permits | 30,783,863 77,706 2,354,347 2,000 84,000 47,000 52,000 38,000 11,000 15,000 600 2,425 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 20,027 32,000,000 77,706 2,354,387 2,000 13,550 18,300 23,550 30,400 11,000 62,40 25 53 975 2,425 1,50 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 426,288 51,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,555 | 20,026 32,542,857 77,111 2,673,305 1,941 90,445 29,559 23,911 32,100 12,502 7,690 23 55 975 2,175 1,50 878,560 1,871,842 183,090 1,501 434,127 51,000 37,800 2,199 180 94,481 23,066 19,267 | \$42,8 (5) 318,9 (14,9 11,2 2,7 1,5 1,4 (19,4 (119,4 1,5 7,7 |
| General sales terres inque Licenses inque Licenses inque Bisso Licenses indeo Bisso Licenses uildings permits cerer permits humbing permits lectrical permits lectrical permits internation lectrical permits internation cast and art conditioning permits ont and art conditioning permits ont permits for the sign where Verhalage Project Permit interfunded bid deposits tranchine fore otheral greats the greats - Supplemental Pay ignal Light Reimbersement deral praits the greats - Supplemental Pay ignal Light Reimbersement exp Louisiana Bespitful ales tax commission - School Board ales tax commission - Police Pary ales tax commission - Police Pary ales tax commission - Reinroud ales tax commission - Reinroud ales tax commission - Reinroud ales tax commission - Bolice ales tax commission - Police | 77,706 2,34,347 2,800 84,000 47,000 52,009 31,009 11,000 15,000 600 2,425 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 37,800 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 32,000,000 77,706 2,354,387 2,000 75,559 18,300 23,650 30,400 11,000 6,240 25 55 975 2,425 1,59 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 426,358 51,000 37,900 2,180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,555 | 32,542,857 77,111 2,673,305 1,921 90,465 22,9569 23,911 32,100 12,502 7,690 23 55 973 2,175 150 878,669 1,871,842 182,000 1,501 (34,127 51,000 37,800 2,199 1851 94,481 23,066 19,267 | 542,8 (5) 318,9 11,2 2, 17,7 1,5 1,4 (119,4 (119,4 1,5 1,7,7 |
| isport Licenses storpational Licenses storpational Licenses storpational Licenses storpational Licenses storpational Licenses there Brand Licenses there Brand Licenses there permits there are a service storpation permits for an experiment for permits for Prem Sign storpational permits fif Prem Sign storpational did deports ranchine fore there protes Supplemental Pay signal Light Riconbersement offeral grants Lite grants Supplemental Pay signal Light Riconbersement offeral protes the protes Supplemental Pay signal Light Riconbersement offeral openation ales tex commission - School Board ales tex commission - Storp Supplemental ales tex commission - Storp Supplemental ales tex commission - Storp Supplemental ales tex commission - Findadat ales tex commission - Findadat ales tex commission - Pro- ale | 77,706 2,34,347 2,800 84,000 47,000 52,009 31,009 11,000 15,000 600 2,425 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 37,800 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 71,706 2,354,287 2,000 75,550 18,300 23,650 30,400 11,000 6,240 25 55 975 2,425 150 754,436 1,971,325 183,000 426,358 51,000 37,500 37,500 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,555 | 77,111 2,673,305 1,981 90,465 29,569 23,911 32,100 12,508 7,690 23 555 975 2,175 150 878,569 1,871,842 183,000 1,501 434,127 51,000 37,800 2,199 189 1,871,944 23,066 19,267 | (5 318,9 11,2 1,7 1,5 1,4 (119,4 (119,4 1,5 7,7 |
| Compational Lineanes ideo Bingo Lineane uidding permits cover permits furnishing permits flexibied permits ins permits can and air conditioning permits total and air conditioning permits total and air conditioning permits foother sign permits for Prem Sign uivert/Ordinage Project Permit implanted bid depopits ranchine foos total grants - Supplemental Pay ignal Light Reimburseement cop Louisiana Benutiful ales tax commission - School Board ales tax commission - Police Pury ales tax commission - Police Pury ales tax commission - Police Pury ales tax commission - Herbarood ales tax commission - Herbarood ales tax commission - Herbarood ales tax commission - Police | 2,354,347 2,000 84,000 47,000 52,000 38,000 11,000 15,000 600 2,425 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 2,354,387 2,000 13,550 18,300 23,550 30,400 11,000 6,240 25 53 975 2,425 1,50 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 426,288 51,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,555 | 2,673,305 1,901 90,465 29,569 23,911 32,100 12,502 7,690 23 55 975 2,175 1,871,842 182,090 1,871,842 1,871,842 1,871,842 1,871,842 1,871,842 1,871,842 1,871,842 1,900 37,600 2,199 1,800 2,199 1,800 2,199 1,800 2,199 1,800 1,900 1 | 318,9 (14,9 11,2 2,7,7 1,5 1,4 (2,2) (119,4 1,5 7,7 |
| sideo Bitago Literane uliditag permits tumbing permits tumbing permits tumbing permits tumbing permits tecrnical permits tecrnical permits text and art nonolitioning permits total permits total permits total permits total permits total permits total permits total permits tir Prem Sign turerDeviatage Project Permit interhanded bid deposits tanchine fone toteral grants tate grants - Supplemental Pay tignal Light Reimbersement total pratts tate grants - Supplemental Pay tignal Light Reimbersement total position and Benefifial siles tax commission - School Board ales tax commission - Pointe Pary siles tax commission - Pointe Pary siles tax commission - Reimrood slate tax commission - Hendits ales tax commission - Hendits ales tax commission - Pointe slate tax commission - Pointe slate tax commission - Detective Parich Sheriff's Office ales tax commission - Detective Parich Sheriff's Office ales tax commission - Detective Parich Sheriff's Office ales tax commission - Detective Parich Sheriff's Office ales tax commission - Detective Parich Sheriff's Office ales tax commission - Detective Parich Sheriff's Office ales tax commission - Pointe ales Tax commission depertment revenue DITA A DITA A DITA A STATIL TAXING T | 2,000 84,000 47,000 52,000 31,000 11,000 15,000 600 2,425 754,426 1,991,325 183,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 2,000 75,550 18,300 25,650 30,400 11,000 6,240 25 55 975 2,425 159 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 406,358 51,000 37,500 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 | 1,941 90,465 29,569 23,911 32,100 12,502 7,590 23 55 973 2,175 150 878,569 1,871,842 182,000 1,801 634,127 51,000 37,800 2,199 180 94,481 23,066 19,267 | (14,9) 11,2 12,2 1,7 1,5 1,4 (119,4 1,5 7,7 |
| uliding permits over permits thermost permits lectrical permits lectrical permits lectrical permits lectrical permits lectrical permits to lect and air conditioning permits band Permits for Prem Sign oliveryDrakarge Project Permit merfunded bind deposits anchise fone teleral grants tits grants - Supplemental Pay ignal Light Reimbursement rep Louisiana Benetiful ales tax commission - School Board ales tax commission - School Board ales tax commission - Police Pary ales tax commission - Folice Pary ales tax commission - Richrowod ales tax commission - Richrowod ales tax commission - Pro ales tax commission - Ouechin Parish Sheriff's Office ales tax commission - Douechin Parish Sheriff's Office ales tax commission - Bit Coll Porish ales tax commission - Douechin Parish Sheriff's Office ales tax commission - Bit Coll Porish ales tax commission | 84,000 47,000 52,009 38,009 11,000 11,000 15,000 600 2,425 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 379,075 51,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 75,550 18,300 23,650 30,400 11,000 6,240 25 53 975 2,425 1,90 754,436 1,971,325 1,83,000 426,258 51,000 37,500 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,355 | 90,465 23,569 23,911 32,100 12,568 7,690 23 55 975 2,175 150 878,569 1,871,842 183,000 1,501 434,127 51,000 37,800 2,199 180 94,481 23,066 19,267 | 14,9 11,2 1,7 1,5 1,4 (119,4 (119,4 1,5 1,7,3 |
| over permits thrathing permits the factrical permits the factrical permits the factrical permits the permits total original conditioning permits total original conditioning permits for the fact of the factric permits fact permits fact permits fact permits fact permits fact permits fact permits fact permits factric permits factr | 47,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$1,000 \$11,000 \$15,000 600 2,425 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 37,890 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 18,300 23,650 30,400 11,000 62,40 25 53 97,52 1,50 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 426,258 51,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,595 | 29,569 23,911 32,100 12,502 7,690 25 55 975 2,175 150 878,569 1,871,852 183,090 1,501 634,127 51,000 37,800 2,199 180 94,481 23,066 19,267 | 11,2 2,1,5 1,5 1,4 0 124,2 (119,4 1,5 1,5 1,1 |
| tumbring permits tecrnical permits set and air conditioning permits outs and air conditioning permits totallo sign permits totallo sign permits ff Prem Sign where Vorkings Project Permit mechanical tild deposits nanchine fone where I praise tate grants - Supplemental Pay grant Light Reimberszenet tect grants - Supplemental Pay grant Light Reimberszenet tect permits - Supplemental Pay span Light Reimberszenet tect permits - Supplemental Pay span Light Reimberszenet tect permitsion - School Board ales tax commission - School Board ales tax commission - Folice Ray siner tax commission - Folice Ray siner tax commission - Reimrood sket tax commission - Reimrood sket tax commission - Reimrood sket tax commission - Folice sket tax commiss | \$2,000 31,000 11,000 15,000 600 2,425 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 25,650 30,400 11,000 6,240 25 55 975 2,425 159 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 406,358 51,000 37,500 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 | 23,911 32,100 12,502 7,590 23 55 975 2,175 150 878,569 1,871,882 182,000 1,501 (34,127 51,000 37,800 2,199 180 94,481 23,066 19,267 | 2 1,7 1,5 1,4 (2 124,2 (119,4 1,5 7,3 1,1 1,1 |
| lectrical permits as permuts cat and air conditioning permits bound Permits for the conditioning permits for the conditioning permits for the conditioning permits for the conditioning permits for the conditioning Project Permit furchanded had deposits farachies fore deteral grants to grants - Supplemental Psy ignal Light Reimbrestment sep Louisiana Benetiful alor tax commission - School Board alor tax commission - School Board alor tax commission - Police Pary alor tax commission - Police Pary alor tax commission - Flathered alor tax commission - Richrowed alor tax commission - Richrowed alor tax commission - Pro- alor tax commission - Pro- alor tax commission - Police alor | 38,000 11,000 15,000 600 2,425 754,436 1,991,325 182,000 37,800 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 30,400 11,000 6,240 25 55 57 2,425 1,991,325 1,891,325 1,891,325 1,991,320 426,258 51,000 37,500 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 | 32,100 12,502 7,590 23 55 975 2,175 1,50 878,569 1,571,832 1,501 (34,127 51,000 37,800 2,199 180 94,481 23,066 19,267 | 1.7 1.3 1.4 (124,2 (119,4 1.5 7.3 11,1 11,1 |
| ns permuts out and air conditioning permits touth Pennits foldie sign permits foldie sign permits for Prem Sign utvert/Drakings Project Permit inchanded bid depocits ranchise four observations to grants - Supplemental Psy ignal Light Reinhousement for Louisians Benutrini alors tax commission - School Board alors tax commission - School Board alors tax commission - Police Pury alors tax commission - Police Pury alors tax commission - Police Pury alors tax commission - Hearder alors tax commission - Hearder alors tax commission - Hearder alors tax commission - Police alors tax commission - Doice alors tax commission - Douchita Parish Sheriff's Office alors tax | 11,000 15,000 600 2,425 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 11,000 6,240 25 55 975 2,425 1,59 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 426,258 51,000 37,800 2,180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,595 | 12,502 7,690 23 55 975 975 2,175 150 878,669 1,871,842 183,090 1,501 634,127 51,000 37,800 2,199 180 94,481 23,066 19,267 | 1,5 1,4 (119,6 1,5 7,3 1,6 8,3 1,6 1,6 1,6 |
| cast and air conditioning permits touch Pennits folido sign permits fit Prem Sign where/Deviluings Project Permit interfunded bid deposits translate four deteral grants tets grants - Supplemental Pay ignal Light Reunbersement deter Louisians Benetiful ales tax commission - School Board ales tax commission - School Board ales tax commission - School Board ales tax commission - School Board ales tax commission - Starlington thes tax commission - Starlington thes tax commission - Handart ales tax commission - Handart ales tax commission - Pite- ales tax commission - Pite- files tax commission - Pite- files tax commission - Files ales tax commission - Pite- ity scantilion service contained deportment revenue DDTA ADTA STOT Reimbursement format late Grants late Grants | 15,000 600 2,425 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 379,775 51,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 6,240 25 53 975 2,425 1,59 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 426,358 51,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 | 7,690 23 55 975 2,175 150 878,669 1,871,882 183,000 1,501 G34,127 51,000 37,800 2,199 180 94,481 23,066 19,267 | 1,4 (119,4 (119,4 1,5 7,3 1,1 1,1 1,6 |
| ound Pennits for Prem Sign where Unitaries Project, Permit interfunded bid deposits ranchise fone reachise fone return Supplemental Pay tignal Light Reimbrasement cop Louisiana Bennitful ales tax commission - School Board ales tax commission - School Board ales tax commission - School Board ales tax commission - Follor Pay ales tax commission - Follor Pay ales tax commission - Bearlington thes tax commission - Richrowod ales tax commission - Handlet ales tax commission - Handlet ales tax commission - Outchin Parish Sheriff's Office ales tax commission - Police ales tax commission - Outchin Parish Sheriff's Office ales tax commission - Hotol/biotet ity sustation service commission deportment reveaue IDDTA. BI O/T Reimbarrement otheral Direct Non Category after Grant late Grants | 500 2,425 754,436 1,991,325 182,000 379,775 51,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 25 53 975 2,425 1,90 754,436 1,901,325 183,000 426,258 51,000 37,500 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 | 25 55 973 2,175 150 878,669 1,871,882 183,000 1,501 (34,127 51,000 37,800 2,199 180 94,481 23,066 19,267 | 24,2 (119,4 1.5 7,3 1,1 1,1 1,1 |
| floride sign permits fif Prem Sign where Derknings Project, Permit impelanted bid deposits Franchine fors etheral grants state grants - Supplemental Pay ignal Light Reimborsement ten grants - Supplemental Pay ignal Light Reimborsement ten plants and Remitful ales tax commission - School Board ales tax commission - School Board ales tax commission - Politee Pay ales tax commission - Politee Pay ales tax commission - Politer ales tax commission - Herborrood ales tax commission - Herborrood ales tax commission - Herborrood ales tax commission - Polite ales tax commission - Diechita Parish Sheriff's Office ales tax commission - Diechita Parish Sheriff's Office ales tax commission - Diechita Parish Sheriff's Office ales tax commission - Polite ales tax commission - | 2,425 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 379,775 51,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 55 975 2.425 1,59 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 426,258 51,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 | 55 975 2,175 150 878,569 1,871,882 183,090 1,501 634,127 51,090 37,800 2,199 180 94,481 23,066 19,267 | 124,2 (119,4 1,5 7,3 1,1 1,0 |
| fit Prem Sign ulverr/Urslange Project Permit merfunded ind deposits nachine fone bettersl greats - Supplemental Psy grant Light Reimbursement rep Loudstrant Bernetful ales tax commission - School Board ales tax commission - School Board ales tax commission - School Board ales tax commission - School Board ales tax commission - Faller Property ales tax commission - Reimwood ales tax commission - Reimwood ales tax commission - Reimwood ales tax commission - Hundar ales tax commission - Hundar ales tax commission - Pute ales tax commission - Pute ales tax commission - Out-this Pariah Sheriff's Office ales tax co | 2,425 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 379,775 51,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 975 2,425 159 754,436 1,971,325 183,000 426,358 51,000 37,900 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 | 975 2,175 150 878,569 1,871,842 183,000 1,501 434,127 51,000 37,800 2,199 180 94,481 23,066 19,267 | 124,5 (119,7 1,5 7,7 8.3 1,1 |
| ulveryDrakarge Project Permit inrefunded hid depoetus ranchise fone referral greats kto grunts - Supplemental Pay ignal Light Reimbrascament cep Louisiana Benutiful alen tan commission - School Board alen tan commission - School Board alen tan commission - Potter Mouron ales tan commission - Potter Pary ales tan commission - Futherwood ales tan commission - Riefravood ales tan commission - Riefravood ales tan commission - Hundlat ales tan commission - Pro ales tan commission - Pro ales tan commission - Potter ales tan commission - Potter ales tan commission - Potter ales tan commission - Ouechin Parich Sheriff's Office ales tan commis | 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 379,775 51,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 2,425 1,90 754,436 1,991,325 1,83,000 426,258 51,000 37,500 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 | 2,175 150 878,669 1,871,882 183,000 1,501 (34,127 51,000 37,800 2,199 180 94,481 23,066 19,267 | 124,2 (119,4 1,5 7,3 1,1 1,0 |
| mechanical bid deposits anchine fora reducts fora reducts grants - Supplemental Pay ignal Light Reimbursement ignal Direct Non Category ignal Connt late Grants late Grants late Grants | 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 379,775 51,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 1,59 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 426,258 51,000 37,800 2,180 180 85,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 | 1,671,842 1,871,842 183,000 1,501 (34,127 51,000 37,800 2,199 180 94,481 23,066 23,066 19,267 | 124,5 (119,7 1,5 7,7 8.3 1,1 |
| ranchise four others prome that grants - Supplemental Pay ignal Light Reimborsement cop Louisiana Besudifia ales ax cummission - School Board ales tax commission - West Mource ales inc commission - West Mource ales inc commission - Richwood ales tax commission - Richwood ales tax commission - Richwood ales tax commission - Richwood ales tax commission - Pictor ales inc commission - Pictor a | 1,991,325 183,000 379,775 51,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 754,436 1,991,325 183,000 426,258 51,000 37,500 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 | 878,569 1,871,842 183,000 1,501 (34,127 51,000 37,800 2,199 180 94,481 23,066 23,066 19,267 | (119,4 1.5 7,3 1,1 1,1 |
| oderal grants Litz grants - Supplemental Pay ignal Light Reimbrassement cep Louisiana Beautiful alex sax commission - School Board alex sax commission - School Board alex sax commission - Police Pary alex sax commission - Police Pary alex sax commission - Starlington sles sax commission - Starlington alex sax commission - Hendlat alex sax commission - Hendlat alex sax commission - Police alex sax commission - Outchin Parich Sheriff's Office alex sax commission - Outchin Parich Sheriff's Office alex sax commission - Field/Motel injuration department reveaue DDTA BI OVT Reimbursement oderal Direct Non Category alex Grant late Grant late Grant late Grant | 1,991,325 183,000 379,775 51,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 1,971,925 183,000 426,258 51,000 37,900 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,355 | 1,871,842 183,000 1,501 (34,127 51,000 37,800 2,199 180 94,481 23,066 19,267 | (119,4 1.5 7,3 1,1 1,1 |
| Lete grunts - Supplemental Pay ignal Light Reimborsement (exp Louisiran Bengifful ales tax commission - School Board ales tax commission - School Board ales tax commission - Poilee Ray ales tax commission - Poilee Ray ales tax commission - Reimmond ales tax commission - Reimmond ales tax commission - Blartington ales tax commission - Poilee ales tax commission - | 183,000 379,775 51,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 183,000 426,358 51,000 37,500 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 | 183,000 1,501 (34,127 51,000 37,800 2,199 180 94,481 23,066 19,267 | 1.5 7,3 1,1 1,1 |
| ignal Light Reimbursement cep Louisiana Bezuffini alest sax commission - School Board alest sax commission - West Mouroe alest sax commission - Folice Pary alest sax commission - Folice Pary alest sax commission - Richwood alest sax commission - Richwood alest sax commission - Richwood alest sax commission - Hundhet alest sax commission - Police alest sax commission - Police alest sax commission - Police alest sax commission - Police alest sax commission - Police alest sax commission - Police alest sax commission - Folice/Notel injustical sax commission - Folice Injustical sax commission - Folice Injustical sax commission - Folice Injustical sax commission - Folice Injustical sax commission - Folice Injustical sax commission - Folice Injustical sax commission - Folice Injustical sax commission - Folice Injustical sax commission - Folice Injustical sax commission - Folice Injustical sax commission - Folice Injustical sax commission - Folice Injustical sax commission - Folice Injustical sax commission - Folice Injustical sax commission - Folice Injustical sax commission - Folice Injustical sax commission - Folice Injustical sax commission - Folice Injustical sax commis | 183,000 379,775 51,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 183,000 426,358 51,000 37,500 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 | 183,000 1,501 (34,127 51,000 37,800 2,199 180 94,481 23,066 19,267 | 1.5 7,3 1,1 1,1 |
| rep Louisiana Beautiful alter tar commission - School Board alter tar commission - School Board alter tar commission - Poilee Pary alter tar commission - Poilee Pary alter tar commission - Poilee Pary alter tar commission - Startington thes tar commission - Handlat alter tar commission - Poilee alter tar commission - Poilee alter tar commission - Dutechin Parith Sheriff's Office alter tar commission - Dutechin Parith Sheriff's Office alter tar commission - Heidel/Motel ing standards service commission department revenue IDTA. BI O/T Reimbursement otheral Direct Non Category after Grant late Grants | 379,775 51,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 426,358 53,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 | 1,50) 634,127 51,000 37,800 2,199 180 94,481 23,066 29,066 19,267 | 7,7 8.3 1,4 1,4 |
| eles tax commission - School Board ales tax commission - West Mouroe ales tax commission - West Mouroe ales tax commission - Starlington ales tax commission - Starlington ales tax commission - Richwood ales tax commission - Handart ales tax commission - Pure ales tax commission - Pure ales tax commission - Outchin Parich Sheriff's Office ales tax commission - Outchin Parich Sheriff's Office ales tax commission - Outchin Parich Sheriff's Office ales tax commission - Potice ales tax commission - Potice ales tax commission - Outchin Parich Sheriff's Office ales tax commission - Potice ales tax commission - Outchin Parich Sheriff's Office ales tax commission - Potice ales t | 51,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 51,000 37,809 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 | (34,127 \$1,000 37,800 2,199 180 94,481 23,066 29,066 19,267 | 7,7 8.3 1,4 1,4 |
| ales tar commission - West Mentre ales tar commission - Police Pary ales tar commission - Police Pary ales tar commission - Richtwood ales tar commission - Richtwood ales tar commission - Police ales tar commission - Police ales tar commission - Police ales tar commission - Police ales tar commission - Police ales tar commission - Ouechin Parith Sheriff's Office ales tar commission - Hotol/Motel ity summitten service commission deportment revenue IDOTA BI O/T Reimbursement oderal Direct Non Category ales Grant late Grants | 51,000 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 51,000 37,809 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 | 51,000 37,500 2,199 180 94,481 23,066 23,066 19,267 | 8.3 1,4 1,4 |
| ales tor commission - Police Pary ales tor commission - Starlington ales tor commission - Starlington ales tor commission - Helmoter ales tor commission - Police ales tor commission - Police ales tor commission - Ouechita Parish Sheriff's Office ales tor commission - Ouechita Parish Sheriff's Office ales tor commission - Ouechita Parish Sheriff's Office ales tor commission - Hotel/Motel injuration accommission - Hotel/Motel injuration department reveaue IDOTA BI O/T Reimbursement oderal Direct Non Category after Grant late Grants | 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 37,800 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 | 37,800 2,199 180 94,481 23,066 23,066 19,267 | 1,1 1,1 |
| nies toe commission - Starlington thes tax commission - Richwood alse tax commission - Hendlet ales tax commission - Pro ales tax commission - Pro ales tax commission - Police nies tax commission - Douchite Parish Sheriff's Office ales tax commission - Hotel/Motel iny sustation service commission deportuned revenue IDOTA BI O/T Reimbursement oderal Direct Non Category after Grant late Grants | 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,090 | 2,180 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 | 2,199 180 94,481 23,066 23,066 19,267 | 1,1 1,1 |
| other tax communission - Richaroucd alors for communission - Hundhet alors for communission - Prote alors for communission - Police alors for communission - Police alors for communission - Folice alors for communission - Folice/Protei alors for communission - Folice/Protei alors for communission - Folice/Protei alors for communission - Folice/Protei alors for communission - Folice/Protei alors for communission - Folice/Protei alors for for for for for for for for for for | 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,600 | 180 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 | 180 94,481 23,066 29,066 19,267 | 1,1 1,1 |
| nice tex commission - Handlet ales tex commission - Proc ales tex commission - Poite ales tex commission - Outechits Parish Sherid's Office ales tex commission - Outechits Parish Sherid's Office ales tex commission - Hotel/Motel iny summine service commission department revenue DDTA. BI O/T Reimbursement oteral Direct Non Category after Grant late Grant | 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 86,285 21,970 21,970 18,535 | 94,481 23,066 29,066 19,267 | 1,1 1,1 |
| ales tax commission - Pino ales tax commission - Poite ales tax commission - Outchin Parish Sheriff's Office ales tax commission - Hotel/Motel iny summission - Hotel/Motel iny summission service commission department revenue IDOTA BY O/T Reinsburgement otheral Direct Non-Category RRF Cytent late Grants late Grants | 21,970 21,970 18,535 4,000 | 21,970 21,970 18,535 | 23,066 23,066 19,267 | 1,1 1,1 |
| ales tax commission - Poitee ules tax commission - Duschin Parish Sheriff's Office ales un commission - Hotel/Motel Gry santulien service commisso deportanced revenue BDTA BI O/T Reimbursement oderal Direct Non Category aler Grant tate Grant | 21,970 18,535 4,680 | 21,970 18,535 | 29,066 19,267 | 1,0 |
| nles tax commission - Ouechin Parish Sherid's Office ales nax commission - Hotel/Motel iny sumution service commission department revenue IDOTA. BY O/T Reimbursement oderal Direct Non Estegosy after Grant take Grant take Grant | 18,535 4,000 | 18,535 | 19,267 | |
| ales tax commission - Hotel/Motel ing smutulin service contains deportance revenue IDOTA IN O/T Reimbursement oberal Direct Non Criegory after Grant tale Grants | 4,000 | | | |
| Sey stantation service commiss department revenue BDTA BI O/T Reimbursement oderal Direct Non Criegory able tale Gents tale Gents | | | | |
| oconacion deportances revenue IDA BTO/T Reimbursement oderal Direct Non Estegory after Grant late Grant | | 4,000 | 4,000 | |
| NOTA Reimbursement oderal Direct Non Criegory ster Grant lake Grants | 2,993,000 | 2,993,000 | 3,035,155 | 42, |
| BY O/T Reimburrement oderal Direct Nos Criegory after Grant late Grants | 40,794 | 44,895 | 34,223 | (10, |
| oderal Direct Non Category after Grant tale Grants | | 44,616 | 50,054 | 5,4 |
| affer Grants take Grants | | 15,912 | | (15, |
| tale Grants | | 517,121 | 466,909 | (5),(|
| | | 337,135 | 333,551 | (3, |
| | | 5,521 | 21,880 | 16, |
| SF Foot | 17,400 | 34,500 | 15,258 | |
| itees cetting fleet | 61,425 | 123,704 | 130,547 | 6.7 |
| emolitica. | | 300,000 | 76,489 | (223, |
| ideo Bingo | 800,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,281,600 | 281,0 |
| ity Court styll focu | 162,000 | 162,000 | 190,952 | 28,9 |
| community policing the | 143,815 | 143,315 | 341,878 | (1,5 |
| ppearance & succesder Ges | 60,000 | 60,000 | 63,437 | 3,4 |
| ceday issume | 60,000 | 44,436 | 46,041 | 1, |
| ntergy franchise fees | 1,400,000 | 2,353,000 | 2,829,129 | 476, |
| exceleny lots | 21,700 | 12,000 | 12,190 | _ |
| esal seruos lio | 509,060 | 387,000 | 396,207 | € |
| merican Past System Fees (BaliSouth commissions) | 23,520 | 14,000 | 14,133 | _ |
| liserilaneous Imonius | | | 3,141 | 3, |
| petalis | 8,8 15 | \$,815 | 12,819 | 4. |
| ugzi di ciber Profussional | \$5,475 | 144,000 | 191,494 | 47, |
| also alarma fints | 20,000 | 20,006 | 20,725 | ' |
| ire reports | | 240 | 270 | |
| ору Смире | | 173 | 173 | |
| by Court flace | 725,664 | 725,664 | 686,058 | (39, |
| oriconspensal Court | | 750 | 1,200 | |
| uriding flaves | 75,820 | 66,530 | 71,435 | 4, |
| inhet review foe | 1,745 | 775 | 770 | |
| eridag permit sales | | 250 | 250 | |
| Wt Prob. Fin | | 2,575 | 3,333 | |
| ental income | 150,411 | 165,672 | 175,710 | 10, |
| castai land louse | 15,447 | • | 14,400 | 14, |
| confluxions & Donations | 500 | 2,213 | 89,267 | 87, |
| desert income | 220,725 | 125,000 | 127,903 | 2, |
| cyalty income | 7,620 | 5,500 | 5,445 | - |
| omenission | 24000 | 531 | 1,501 | |
| 9 Donations | | 50 | 1,374 | 1, |
| Openium designated MPD | | 706 | 734 | 1, |
| | 19 666 | | 7.40 | |
| famicipal griff course rest | 13,200 | 14,490 | 1 641 | |
| ash over/short | | | 1,051 | L |
| maliy and interes, | 41.00 | 91 685 | | • |
| 'office miscellescous Total rovennes | 31,600 63,500 | 31,600 83,508 | 40,854 78,440 | 9, |

CITY OF MONROE Mozroe, Louisiana Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes In Fund Balances-Budget and Actual General Fund For the Year Ended April 30, 2009 (Unaudited)

| | Budgeted An | | Actual | Variance with Fizz) Budget- Positive |
|---|----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|
| | Original | Fish | Amquata | (Negative) |
| EXPENDITURES | | | | |
| Cerent | | | | |
| Legislative division - council and staff | 302,065 | 307,958 | 253,436 | 54,522 |
| ladicial division | 2,090,248 | 2,198,843 | 2,244,440 | (45,597) |
| Legal division | 650,256 | 694,283 | 666,624 | 27,657 |
| Executive division - chief executive and staff | 799,312 | 825,736 | \$55,467 | (29,731) |
| Administration division | 6,714,582 | 6,958,614 | 7,023,295 | (65,281) |
| Police division . | 11,653,113 | 12,113,526 | 12,175,369 | (61,843) |
| Fire division | 11,332,104 | 12,167,878 | 11,975,426 | 192,452 |
| Public works division | 8,918,733 | 9,669,071 | 9,583,227 | 15,144 |
| Culture & recreption | 2,951,058 | 3,077,547 | 3,061,904 | 15,643 |
| Planning and urban development division | 905,811 | 911,738 | 826,500 | E5,23 E |
| Debt Service | | | | |
| General interest expense | 170,370 | 170,370 | 72,071 | 98,299 |
| Capital lensos | 281,353 | 281,355 | 281,355 | |
| Civic Center Roof | 270,000 | 270,000 | 270,000 | |
| Swimming pool resovations | 136,840 | 136,840 | 133,492 | 3,348 |
| Cleim esd judgments | 200,000 | 200,000 | 183,022 | 16,978 |
| Capital Expenditures | 1,279,560 | 1,009,946 | 768,899 | 241,047 |
| Total expenditures | 48,662,007 | 50,993,703 | 50,375,127 | 618,578 |
| excess of revenues over expenditures | 4,614,431 | 5,418,503 | 7,863,688 | 2,461,581 |
| OTHER FONANCING SOURCES (USES) | | | | |
| Sale of assets | E9,006 | 208,420 | 208,420 | |
| luturanca proceeds | 85,000 | 570,481 | 561,448 | (9,033 |
| Total | 89,000 | 778,901 | 769,868 | (9,033) |
| Transfers in: | 85,000 | 770,301 | ,00 ₇ ,000 | (99033) |
| Capical Infrastructure | | 710,000 | 652,092 | (57,908) |
| Morroe Regional Airport | | 15,000 | 385,177 | 370,177 |
| Total transfers in | | 725,000 | 1.037.269 | 312.269 |
| Transfers out | | 140,000 | 2,007,203 | 912,247 |
| Prisoner bousing | (433,411) | (433,411) | (446,958) | (13.547 |
| Police and Fire pension | (825,418) | (\$25,418) | (617,137) | \$.281 |
| Louisieus Purchase Gardens and Zoo | (47,287) | (47,287) | (117,435) | (70,548 |
| Menege Transit System | (2,035,131) | (1,939,002) | (2,193,324) | (254,322 |
| Civic Center | (- | والمعادد باء | (277,473) | (277,473 |
| Livestock Arena | (2,500) | (2,500) | (7,878) | (5,378 |
| Central Shop and Warehouse | (423,376) | (423,37¢) | (484,640) | (61,270 |
| hosenace find | () | (-23,514) | (217,259) | (217,259 |
| DehaFoot | (50,000) | (1\$3,\$3\$) | (131,175) | 52.663 |
| Demolition | (50,040) | (145,434) | (250,000) | (250,000 |
| Capital | (400,000) | (1,100,000) | (1,447,264) | (347,264 |
| Transfer to special revenue funds | (400,000) | (1,100,000) | (7,048) | (7,048 |
| Transfer to capital project finds | | | (6,629) | (6,629 |
| Mertin Lether Kins | | (1.804) | (3,304) | (4,444) |
| Community Development Block Grant | (73,366) | (11,614) | (2,004) | 11,614 |
| Video bingo revenue to capital | (400,000) | (413,548) | (640,800) | (227,252 |
| Video bingo sevenue to insuranco | (100,000) | (500,008) | (640,800) | (140,100 |
| Economic Development | | (1,000,000) | (1,525,386) | (525,386 |
| Total transfers out | (4,690,431) | (6,\$83,800) | (9,215,418) | (2,331,619 |
| | | | • | |
| Total other financing sources (uses) | (4,601,631) | (5,379,899) | (7,408,281) | (2,02) 312 |
| EXCESS OF REVENUES AND OTHER FINANCING SOURCES OVER EXPENDITURES AND | | | | |
| OTHER FINANCING USES | | 38,604 | 457,407 | 433,198 |
| Fund Balance, Beginning of Year | 2,922,913 | 13,419,119 | 11,819,119 | |
| | | | | |

Monroe, Louisiana

Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual Capital Infrastructure Special Revenue Fund

For the Year Ended April 30, 2009 (Unaudited)

| | | Budgete Original | d <u>A</u> | mounts Final | _ | Actual Amounts | | Variance with Final Budget- Positive (Negative) |
|---------------------------------------|------|---------------------|------------|-----------------|-----|-------------------|-----|--|
| REVENUES | | | _ | | _ | | | |
| Sales Tax -Street Improvement | \$ | 12,056,582 | \$ | 12,056,582 | 2 | 13,695,167 | 5 | 1,638,585 |
| Interest income | _ | 150,000 | _ | 150,000 | _ | 164,094 | _ | 14,094 |
| Total revenues | | 12,206,582 | _ | 12,206,582 | _ | 13,859,261 | _ | 1,652,679 |
| EXPENDITURES Current: | | | | | | | | |
| Public works | | 982,135 | | 1,567,194 | | 775,143 | | 792,051 |
| Total expenditures | - | 982,135 | · - | 1,567,194 | - | 775,143 | _ | 792,051 |
| EXCESS OF REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES | | 11,224,447 | | 10,639,388 | | 13,084,118 | | 2,444,730 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) | | | | | | | | |
| Transfers Out | | (11,851,693) | | (11,851,693) | | (10,417,819) | | 1,433,874 |
| Total other financing sources (uses) | - | (11,851,693) | | (11,851,693) | - | (10,417,819) | - | 1,433,874 |
| EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES OVER | | | | | | | | |
| EXPENDITURES AND OTHER FINANCING USES | | (562,305) | | (562,305) | | 2,666,299 | | 3,878,604 |
| FUND BALANCE, BEGINNING OF YEAR | _ | 10,131,059 | | 9,460,960 | _ | 11,935,052 | - | |
| FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR | \$_ | 9,568,754 | S _ | 8,898,655 | \$_ | 14,601,351 | \$_ | 5,702,696 |

OTHER SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

CITY OF MONROE Monroe, Louisiana Combining Balance Sheet Nonmajor Governmental Funds April 30, 2009

| | Spe | ecial Revenue Totals | D | ebt Service Totals | Ca | pital Projects Totals | tal Nonmajor romental Funds |
|--|-----|---|----|-----------------------|----|------------------------------------|---|
| ASSETS Cash and cash equivalents Receivables Due from other funds Prepaid expenses & other assets, net | \$ | 10,941,357 966,058 871,334 768,027 | \$ | 1,628,163 263,471 | \$ | 14,392,864 957,212 1,431,124 | \$ 26,962,384 2,186,741 2,302,458 768,027 |
| Total Assets | \$ | 13,546,776 | \$ | 1,891,634 | \$ | 16,781,200 | \$ 32,219,610 |
| LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES Liabilities | | , | | | | | |
| Accounts and retainage payable | | 228,688 | | | | 1,089,126 | 1,317,814 |
| Due to other funds | | 1,053,571 | | | | 416,884 | 1,470,455 |
| Deferred revenue | | 518,929 | | | | | 518,929 |
| Other current liabilities | | 47,318 | | | | | 47,318 |
| Total Liabilities | | 1,848,506 | _ | | | 1,506,010 | 3,354,516 |
| Fund Balances | | | | | | | |
| Reserved for | | | | | | | |
| Debt service | | | | 1,891,634 | | | 1,891,634 |
| Capital improvements | | | | | | 15,275,190 | 15,275,190 |
| Unreserved | | 11,698,270 | _ | | | | 11,698,270 |
| Total Fund Balances | | 11,698,270 | _ | 1,891,634 | | 15,275,190 | 28,865,094 |
| Total Liabilities and Fund Balances | \$ | 13,546,776 | \$ | 1,891,634 | \$ | 16,781,200 | \$ 32,219,610 |

Monroe, Louisiana Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances

Nonmajor Governmental Funds For the Year Ended April 30, 2009

| | Special Revenue Funds | Debt Service Funds | Capital Projects Funds | Total Nonmajor Governmental Funds |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| REVENUES | | | | |
| Taxes | | | | |
| Ad valorem | | \$ 5,969 | | \$ 5,969 |
| Sales | \$ 6,687,094 | 1,042,371 | | 7,729,465 |
| Fees, charges and commissions for services | 206,340 | • • | \$ 166,028 | 372,368 |
| Use of money and property | 119,932 | 35,612 | 278,999 | 434,543 |
| Other revenues | 329,796 | · | · | 329,796 |
| Fines and forfeitures | 103,041 | | | 103,041 |
| Intergovernmental | • | | | - |
| Federal grants | 1,925,662 | | 399,043 | 2,324,705 |
| State grants | 225,729 | | 784,446 | 1,010,175 |
| Local grants | 9,745 | | 65,000 | 74,745 |
| Total revenues | 9,607,339 | 1,083,952 | 1,693,516 | 12,384,807 |
| EXPENDITURES | | | | |
| Current: | | | | |
| General government | | | | |
| Judicial | 123,961 | | | 123,961 |
| Financial administration | 178,372 | | 169,511 | 347,883 |
| Public safety | | | | |
| Police | 4,107,254 | | | 4,107,254 |
| Fire | 3,150,114 | | | 3,150,114 |
| Public works | 430,538 | | 535,166 | 965,704 |
| Culture and recreation | 406,668 | | 207,950 | 614,618 |
| Planning and urban development | 1,550,302 | | | 1,550,302 |
| Economic development and assistance | 1,028,854 | | | 1,028,854 |
| Capital outlay | 2,201,702 | | 8,671,537 | 10,873,239 |
| Debt service | | | | |
| Debt service principle | 559,124 | 1,300,000 | | 1,859,124 |
| Debt service interest | 102,862 | 686,436 | | 789,298 |
| Total expenditures | 13,839,751 | 1,986,436 | 9,584,164 | 25,410,351 |
| Excess (deficiency) of revenues | | | | |
| over expenditures | (4,232,412) | (902,484) | (7,890,648) | (13,025,544) |
| Other Financing Sources (Uses) | | | | |
| Bond proceeds | | | 4,086,568 | 4,086,568 |
| Transfers in | 4,645,123 | 817,137 | 2,445,500 | 7,907,760 |
| Transfers out | (245,688) | | (258,304) | (503,992) |
| Total other financing sources (uses) | 4,399,435 | 817,137 | 6,273,764 | 11,490,336 |
| Net changes in fund balances | 167,023 | (85,347) | (1,616,884) | (1,535,208) |
| Fund balances - beginning | 11,531,247 | 1,976,981 | 16,892,074 | 30,400,302 |
| Fund balances - ending | \$ 11,698,270 | \$ 1,891,634 | \$ 15,275,190 | \$ 28,865,094 |

NONMAJOR SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS

CITY OF MONROE

Monroe, Louisiana
Combining Balance Sheet
Nonnajor Governmental Funds
Special Revenue Funds
April 30, 2009

| | Fire Department Insurance | Community Development Block Grant | | Urban Development Action | | Rental Rebab | Economic Development | ا ا ا | Emergency Shelter | ן פ | CDBG Home | 8 | CDBG Loan | 7 × | City Prosecuting Attorney |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|----------|--------------|-------------------------|----------|----------------------|----------|-----------|---|-----------|----------|---------------------------------|
| ASSETTS Cash and cash equivalents Receivables | \$ 551,738 | | 302 \$ | 18,190 | 9 | 59,298 | \$ | 10,835 | 5,469 | 49 | 154,810 | • | 27,400 | ∽ | \$4,609 |
| Due from other tunds Prepaid expenses & other assets, net | 15,216 | | 20,745 | | 1 | 198,380 | | | | ١ | 31,072 | | 105,025 | | |
| Total Assets | \$ 566,954 \$ | | 162,243 | 18,190 | ارم ا | 378,053 | \$ 10, | 10,835 | 5,469 | <u>ب</u> | 186,114 | S | 132,425 | ~ | 84,609 |
| LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES Liabilities | ě | • | • | | | | | | 7 | | 0.00 | | | | |
| Accounts and retainage payable Due to other funds | 831 | 6 ₹. | 45,327 75,141 | | | 100 502 | | | λς / | | 106,503 | | 7,610 | | |
| Deterred revenue Other current liabilities | | 14 | 41,775 | | 1 | 2,821 | | | } | | 1,153 | ł | 154 | | |
| Total Liabilities | 831 | 162 | 162,243 | | 1 | 207,892 | | i | 739 | l | 186,114 | | 40,719 | | |
| Fund Balances Unreserved | 566,123 | | | 18,190 | | 170,161 | 10, | 10,835 | 4,730 | | | | 91,706 | | 84,609 |
| Total Fund Balances | 566,123 | } | | 18,190 | | 170,161 | 10, | 10,835 | 4,730 | - | | | 91,706 | | 84,609 |
| Total Liabilities and Fund Balances | \$ 566,954 \$ | 1 | 162,243 | 18,190 | S S | 378,053 | \$ 10, | 10,835 | 5,469 | ۰. ا | 186,114 | w | 132,425 | <u></u> | 84,600 |

CITY OF MONROE
Monroe, Louisiana
Combining Bajance Sheet
Noumajor Governmental Funds
Special Revenue Funds
April 30, 2009

| | MPD Youth | eth. | Q P | DARE Program | 2 | Delta Fest | Pris | Prisoner Housing | ų į | Diversion Program | Drug & Forfe | Drug Seizure Forfeiture | riends City | r riends of City | Peer N | Peer Mediation | Pol | Police Salary Sales Tax |
|---|-----------|------|----------|-------------------------|----------|--------------------|----------|---------------------|-----|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------|----------------|----------|-------------------------------|
| ASSETS Cash and cash equivalents Receivables Due from other funds Prepaid expenses & other assets, net | 5 | 854 | 5 | 102,795 7,570 213 | 69 | 60 1,812 122 | 44 | 39,331 | | 66,820 3,500 127,315 | us, | 53,536 | 4 | 589 | , | 10,529 | » | 628,195 252,068 251,800 |
| Total Assets | \$ | 854 | | 110,578 | S) | 1,994 | ~ | 39,331 | ٠, | 197,635 | \$ | 54,134 | × | 589 | ~ | 10,529 | \$ | 1,132,063 |
| LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES Liabilities Accounts and retainage payable Due to other funds Deferred revenue Other current liabilities | | ĺ | ł | 29,006 |] | 1,850 | | 39,331 | } | 70,15,216 | | 4,249 | | | | 6,654 | 1 | |
| Total Liabilities | | 1 | | 29,006 | | 1.994 | | 39,331 | | 15,286 | | 4,461 | | | | 6,654 | | |
| Fund Balances Unreserved | | \$2 | | 81,572 | | | | | 1 | 182,349 | | 49,673 | | 589 | | 3,875 | | 1,132,063 |
| Total Fund Balances | | 854 | | 81,572 | | | | | | 182,349 | | 49,673 | | 589 | | 3,875 | | 1,132,063 |
| Total Liabilities and Fund Balances | \$ | 854 | ~ | 110,578 | <u>~</u> | 1,994 | \$ | 39,331 | 8 | 197,635 | S | 54,134 | ٠ | 589 | * | 10,529 | ~ | 1,132,063 |

CITY OF MONROE

Moarroe, Louisiana
Combining Balance Sheet
Noamajor Governmental Funds
Special Revenue Funds
April 30, 2009

| | <u> </u> | Fire Salary Sales Tax | | PTFA | ∢ ; | Justice Assistance Grant | - | LETPP | Hurricane Katrina Relief | ac lelief | P S Q | Downtown Economic Develop | ₩. | Admin Economic Develop | - E | HOME Program Loans | A P | Repairs & Maintenance |
|--|-------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|----------|--------------------------------|----------|--------|-----------------------------|--------------|-------|---------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|-----|-----------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|
| ASSETS Cash and cash equivalents Receivables Due from other funds Prepaid expenses & other assets, net | 44 | 1,017,126 252,068 210,953 | _{\$\sigma\} | 1,017,274 | ₩ | 20,978 | ∞ | 32,036 | ∞ | 280 | ı, | 27,386 | . | 2,863,895 | ۰, | 1,109 81,813 267,931 | ا بد ا | 462,807 |
| Total Assets | 64 | \$ 1,480,147 \$ | ۵, | 1,017,274 | " | 20,978 | " | 32,036 | 50 | 280 | ٠, | 27,386 | ~ | 2,863,895 | 8 | 350,853 | ~ | 462,807 |
| LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES Liabilities Accounts and retainage payable Due to other funds Deferred revenue Other current liabilities | | | 1 | | | 15,000 | | 23,760 | | | | | | | | 888 28,618 231,657 1,415 | | 48,553 |
| Total Liabilities |] | | | | | 20,978 | | 32.036 | | | - | | | | | 262.578 | 1 | 48,553 |
| Fund Balances Unrescryed | | 1,480,147 | 1 | 1,017,274 | | | | | | 280 | | 27,386 | | 2,863,895 | | 88,275 | | 414,254 |
| Total Fund Balances | - | 1,480,147 | ١ | 1,017,274 | | | | | | 280 | - | 27,386 | | 2,863,895 | | 88,275 | ŀ | 414,254 |
| Total Liabilities and Fund Balances | <u>~</u> | \$ 1,480,147 \$ | ∽ l | 1,017,274 | 44 | 20,978 | × | 32,036 | \$ | 280 | ٠, | 27,386 | ν, | \$ 2,863,895 | ه. | 350,853 | ب | 462,807 |

CITY OF MONROE
Monroe, Louistana
Combining Balance Sheet
Nonmajor Governmental Funds
Special Revenue Funds
April 30, 2009

| | - ! | Capital | IA Pi | LA Pilot Grant | Airport Marketing Grant | O I | GOHSEP- Code Enforcement | Demolition | CLG Historic Preserve | Special Revenue Total |
|---|-----|-----------------------------|-------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----|--------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|--|
| ASSETS Cash and cash equivalents Receivables Due from other fands Prepaid expenses & other assets, net | 5 | 3,572,738 125 165,497 | n | 12,575 | 4 | S | 110,695 | \$ 304,565 | \$ 30,918 | \$ 10,941,357 966,058 871,334 768,027 |
| Total Assets | | 3,738,360 | ٠, | 12,575 | 4 | \$ | 112,099 | \$ 304,565 | \$ 30,918 | \$ 13,546,776 |
| LIABILATIES AND FUND BALANCES Liabilities Accounts and retainage payable Due to other funds Deferred revenue Other current habilities | | 5,884 | } | 12,575 | 4 | 1 | 112,099 | | 14,702 15,216 1000,1 | 228,688 1,053,571 518,929 47,318 |
| Total Limbilities | - | 633,495 | } | 12,575 | 4 | | 112 099 | | 30,918 | 1,848,506 |
| Fund Balances Unreserved | İ | 3,104,865 | | | | 1 | | 304,565 | | 11,698,270 |
| Total Fund Balances | | 3,104,865 | - | | | Ì | | 304,565 | | 11,698,270 |
| Total Liabilities and Fund Balances | * | \$ 3,738,360 | * | 12,575 | \$ | S | 112,099 | \$ 304,565 | \$ 30,918 | \$ 13,546,776 |

CITY OF MONROE

Morres, Louisinus
Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditurus,
and Changes in Fund Balences
Normajor Governmental Funds
Special Revenue Funds
For the Year Knded April 39, 2009

| | Fire Department Insurance | Community Development Block | Urban Development Action | Rental Rettob | Economic Development | Emergency Shelter | Martin Lather King Day | CDBG Home | CDBG Loan |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| REVENUES Taxes Sales Sales Use of money and commissens for services Other revenues Other revenues | | | | \$ 230 | | | - - | \$ 232 | \$ 2,519 |
| Fines and Forteinves itaregovarumental Federal gramts Sinte gramts Local grants | \$ 206,479 | 870,018 | | | | \$ 109,124 | | 340,300 | |
| Total Revenues | 206,479 | 870,018 | | 230 | | 109,124 | | 540,532 | 9,291 |
| RAPENDYTURES Current Central government Indicial Indicial Financial administration Public safety Policie | | | | | | | | | |
| Fire Public works Culturo and recreation | 38,093 | | | | | | \$ 3,804 | Š | |
| Planning and urban development Economic development and assistance Captial outlay Debt service Debt service principle Debt service miterest | | 870,018 | | | | 106,273 | | 766*046 | |
| Total Expenditures | 38,093 | 870,018 | | | | 106,273 | 3,804 | 540,532 | |
| Excess (dediciancy) of revenues over expenditures | 168,386 | | | 230 | | 2,851 | (3,804) | | 162,4 |
| Other Francing Sources (Uses) Transfers in Transfers out | | | | | | | 3,804 | | |
| Total other financing sources (uses) | | | | | | | 3,804 | | |
| Net changes in fund balances | 168,386 | | | 230 | | 2,851 | | | 162,8 |
| Fund befances - beginning | 197,737 | | \$ 18,190 | 166,931 | \$ 10,835 | 1,879 | | | 82.415 |
| Fund bulances - ending | \$ 566,123 | | \$ 18,190 | 170,151 | \$ 10,835 | \$ 4,730 | | | \$ 91,706 |

CITY OF MONROE

Mozro, Louisina
Combining Schedule of Revenue, Expenditures,
and Changes in Fund Baisances
Nonnajor Governmental Funds
Special Revenue Runds
For the Year Ended April 30, 1009

| | City Presecuting Attorney | MPD Youth | DARE Program | Delta Fest | Prisoner Housing | Diversion Program | Drug Seizure Forfeiture | Friends of City Employees | China Delegation Trip |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| REVENUES Tences Subses Subses Tence, changes and commissions for services Use of money and property Other revenues Fitnes and Forfeitures Intergovernmental | \$ 11,238 | \$ 498 | \$ 32,999 | | \$ 80,741 | \$ 99,499 | \$ 8,000 11,062 | | |
| Soure grants Local grants Total Revenues | 11.238 | 498 | 32,999 | 120.684 | 80.741 | 99.499 | 790'61 | į. | |
| EXPENDITURES Curtant General government Juffers Francial administration | | | | | | | | | į |
| Public safety Police Fire | | 550 | 37,999 | | 534,599 | | 37,105 | | |
| Public words Calture and recreation Planning and urban development Economic development and assistance | | | | 304,434 | | | | | 3 1,000 |
| Capital outlay Dobt service Dobt service principle Dobt service interest | | | | | | | | | ļ |
| Total Expenditures | | 550 | 32,999 | 304 434 | 534,599 | 123,961 | 37,105 | | 1,000 |
| Excess (definiency) of revenues over expenditures | 11,238 | (52) | | (183,750) | (453,838) | (24,462) | (18,043) | | (1,000) |
| Other Financing Sources (Uses) Transfers in Transfers out | | | | 131,175 | 446,958 | | | | 318 |
| Total other financing sources (uses) | | | 41-1-1-1 | 131,175 | 446,958 | | | | 318 |
| Net changes in fund balances | 11,238 | (52) | | (82,575) | (6,900) | (24,462) | (18,043) | | (682) |
| Fund belances - beginning | 73,371 | 906 | 81,572 | 52,575 | 906'9 | 206,811 | 67,716 | \$ 589 | 682 |
| Fund balances - ending | \$ 84,609 | \$ 834 | \$ 81,572 | | The second second | \$ 182,349 | \$ 49,673 | \$ 589 | |

CITY OF MONROB

Monroe, Loudinas

Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures,
and Changes in Fund Balances
Naumajor Governmental Funds
Special Revenue Funds
For the Year Ended April 30, 2009

| | Peer Mediation | Police Salary Sales Tax | Fire Salary Sales Tax | PIBA | Justice Authfance Grant | LETPP | Kuricane Katrina Relief | Downtown Economic Develop | Admin Economic Develop |
|---|----------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| REVENUES Taxos Sales | | \$ 3,343,547 | \$ 3,343,547 | | | ı | | | |
| Fors. charges and communitions for services Use of money and property Other revenues | | 21,719 | 16,069 \$ | 12,840 | \$ 1,018 | | \$ 2,050 | \$20 \$30 | \$ 14,529 85,757 |
| Fines and Forfeitures integovernmental Federal graute State grants | | | | | 87,613 | \$ 121,703 | | | |
| Total Revenues | | 3,365,266 | 3,359,616 | 12,840 | 189,83 | 121,703 | 2,050 | 1,520 | 100,286 |
| EXPENDITURES Current General government Jodicial Jendicial administration Public sefery | | | | | | | | | |
| Police Fire Public works | | 3,291,667 | 3,112,021 | | 88,631 | 121,703 | | | |
| Planting and urban development Economic development and assistance Capital outlay Deix service Debt service | | | | | | | 2,500 | 36,363 | 991,491 135,000 |
| Debt previoe interest Total Expenditures | | 1,291,667 | 3,112,021 | | 88,631 | 121,703 | 2,500 | 36,363 | 1,126,491 |
| Exocus (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures | | 73,599 | 247,595 | 12,840 | | | (450) | (34,843) | (1,026,205) |
| Other Flancing Sources (Uses) Transfers in Transfers out | | 99,939 (98,99) | 91,249 | | | | 730 | (1,500) | (525,386 |
| Total other financing sources (uses) | | | | | | | 730 | (1,500) | 1,472,386 |
| Net changes in fund balances | | 73,599 | 247,595 | 12,840 | | | 280 | (36,343) | 446,181 |
| Fund balances - beginning | \$ 3,875 | 1,058,464 | 1,232,552 | 1,004,434 | | | | 63,729 | 2,417,714 |
| Fund badances - cading | 5 3,875 | \$ 1,132,063 | \$ 1,480,147 | \$ 1,017,274 | | | \$ 280 | \$ 27,386 | \$ 2,863,895 |

CATY OF MONROE

Monroe, Louisians
Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures,
and Changes in Fund Balances
Noumajor Governmental Funds
Special Revenue Fands
For the Year Ended April 39, 2009

| | KOME Program Loams | Repairs & Matatenance | Capital | LA Pibet Grant | Airport Marketing Grant | GOHSEP. Code Eaforcement | Demoliton | CLG Historic Preserve | Special Revenue Total |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|--------------------------|---|
| REVENUES Taxes Sales Sales res, charges and commissions for survices Use of money and property Other revenues Fines and Forfeitnes | \$58,6 21,478 | \$ 6,799 | \$ 31,475 83,065 | | | | \$ 13,768 | 899 ¹ 06 \$ | 6,687,094 206,340 119,932 329,796 103,041 |
| Linergovernanental Fectoral grants State grants Local grants | | | | \$ 23,560 | \$ 44,372 | 979,979 | | 64,994 | 1,923,662 125,729 9,745 |
| Total Revenues | 41 363 | 6.793 | 114,540 | 23,560 | 54,117 | 30,979 | 13,768 | 169,912 | 9,607,339 |
| EXPENDITURES Current Central government Judicial Francial administration | | 178,372 | | | | | | | 123,961 |
| Public salety Politze Fire Public works | | • | 330,535 | 23,560 | 39,452 | | 36,991 | | 4,107,254 3,150,114 430,538 |
| Culture and recreation Planning and urban development Deconomic development and essistence Familia Andre | | | 83,430 | | 14,663 | 30,979 | | 15,000 | 406,668 1,550,302 1,028,854 2,201,702 |
| Ocht service Debt service principle Debt service interest | | | 559,124 | | | | | | 559,124 102,862 |
| Total Expenditures | | 178.372 | 2,965,576 | 23,560 | 54,117 | 30,979 | 36,991 | 177,412 | 13,839,751 |
| Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures | 41,363 | (171,579) | (2,851,036) | | | | (627.62) | (2,500) | (4,232,412) |
| Other Floancing Sources (Uses) Transfers in Transfers out | | | 2,088,064 | | | | 250,000 | 7,500 | 4,645,123 (245,688) |
| Total other financing sources (uses) | | | 2,088,064 | | | | 250,000 | 7,500 | 4,399,435 |
| Net changes in fund balances | 41,363 | (875,171) | (762,972) | | | | 771,922 | | 167,023 |
| Fund balances - beginning | 46,912 | 585,833 | 3,867,837 | | | | 77,788 | | 11,531,247 |
| Fund balances - ending | \$ 88,275 | \$ 414,254 | \$ 3,104,865 | | | | \$ 304,565 | | \$ 11,698,270 |

NONMAJOR DEBT SERVICE FUNDS

CITY OF MONROE Monroe, Louisiana Combining Balance Sheet Nonmajor Governmental Funds Debt Service Funds For the Year Ended April 30, 2009

| | | ity Court & Jail provement | Fower Dr Economic District | lice and e Pension | De | ebt Service Total |
|---|----|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|----|----------------------|
| ASSETS Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 151,659 | \$ 1,429,417 | \$ 47,087 | \$ | 1,628,163 |
| Receivables | | | 263,471 | | | · 263,471 |
| Total Assets | \$ | 151,659 | \$ 1,692,888 | \$ 47,087 | \$ | 1,891,634 |
| LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES Liabilities | _ | | | | | |
| Fund Balances | | | | | | |
| Reserved for Debt service | • | 151,659 | 1,692,888 | 47,087 | | 1,891,634 |
| Total Fund Balances | | 151,659 | 1,692,888 | 47,087 | | 1,891,634 |
| Total Liabilities and Fund Balances | \$ | 151,659 | \$ 1,692,888 | \$ 47,087 | \$ | 1,891,634 |

Monroe, Louisiana

Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Nonmajor Governmental Funds **Debt Service Funds**

For the Year Ended April 30, 2009

| | City Court & Jail Improvement | Tower Dr Economic District | Police and Fire Pension | Debt Service Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| REVENUES | | | | |
| Taxes | | | | |
| Ad valorem | \$ 5,969 | | | \$ 5,969 |
| Sales | | \$ 1,042,371 | | 1,042,371 |
| Use of money and property | | 33,231 | \$ 2,381 | 35,612 |
| Total Revenues | 5,969 | 1,075,602 | 2,381 | 1,083,952 |
| EXPENDITURES | | | | |
| Debt service | | | | |
| Debt service principle | 165,000 | 445,000 | 690,000 | 1,300,000 |
| Debt service interest | 9,807 | 534,212 | 142,417 | 686,436 |
| Total Expenditures | 174,807 | 979,212 | 832,417 | 1,986,436 |
| Excess (deficiency) of revenues | | | | |
| over expenditures | (168,838) | 96,390 | (830,036) | (902,484) |
| Other Financing Sources (Uses) | | | | |
| Transfers in | | | 817,137 | 817,137 |
| Total other financing sources (uses) | | | 817,137 | 817,137 |
| Net changes in fund balances | (168,838) | 96,390 | (12,899) | (85,347) |
| Fund balances - beginning | 320,497 | 1,596,498 | 59,986 | 1,976,981 |
| Fund balances - ending | \$ 151,659 | \$ 1,692,888 | \$ 47,087 | \$ 1,891,634 |

NONMAJOR CAPITAL PROJECTS FUNDS

CITY OF MONROE

Monore, Louisians
Coubluing Balance Sheet
Nonusajor Governmental Fands
Capital Projects Funds
April 30, 2009

| | | N. 18TH Street Extension | Ė | Tower Drive | Indu | Alrport Industrial Park | Street Construction | Collection System Improve | ion III iye | Fire/Drainage Improvement | ¥γ [m] | Water System Improvements | Pacifi Facili | Passenger Facility Charge | Reha | Rehab Airfield Lighting |
|--|---------------|--------------------------------|----------|-------------|------|----------------------------|------------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------|
| ASSETS Cash and cash equivalents Receivables Due from other finds | n | 104,714 | 6 | 6,527,875 | | 184,804 | \$ 5,171,549 | * # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # | 349,343 236,114 288,367 | 115,061 | , s, j | 3,811 | 5 | 686,542 65,2 88 37,949 | v, | 86,298 38,619 |
| Total Assets | ₅₀ | \$ 104,714 \$ | w | 6,527,875 | | 184,804 | \$ 5,171,549 | \$ 87 | 873,824 | \$ 715,061 | ام | 955,000 | 5 | 789,779 | <u>م</u> | 124,917 |
| LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES Liabilities Accounts and retainage payable Due to other funds | | | | 6,874 | | | 355,774 | 61 | 618,808 | | | ļ | | 45,937 | | 124,917 |
| Total Liabilities | | | | 6,874 | | | 355,774 | 19 | 618,808 | | | | | 45,937 | | 124,917 |
| Fund Belances Reserved for Capital improvements | ł | 104,714 | | 6,521,001 | | 184,804 | 4,815,775 | 25 | 255,016 | 715,061 | | 955,000 | | 743,842 | | |
| Total Fund Balances | ł | 104,714 | | 6,521,001 | | 184,804 | 4,815,775 | 25 | 255,016 | 715,061 | | 955,000 | | 743,842 | | |
| Total Liabilities and Fund Balances | | \$ 104,714 \$ | ٠, | 6,527,875 | \$ | 184,804 | \$ 5,171,549 | \$ 87 | 873,824 | \$ 715,061 | ام | 955,000 | 4 | 789,779 | <u>ب</u> | 124,917 |

CITY OF MONROE
Monore, Louisiana
Combining Balance Sheet
Nonmajor Governmental Funds
Capital Projects Funds
April 39, 2009

| | E E | Civic Center Improvements | - m O | US 165 Business Counector | R ¥ | Water Meter Replacement | Loui | Louisville Enhancement | ã c | Kansas Lane Connector | Blac | Black Bayon Pler | Capital Projects Total |
|--|-----|------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|----------|----------------------------|------|---------------------------|-----|--------------------------|----------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| ASSETS Cash and cash equivalents | w | 488,854 | , n | 16,0311 | | | | | | | | 1 2 | \$ 14,392,864 |
| receivables Due from other funds | | | | 15,000 \$ | ~ | 100,000 | | | A | 215,955 | , | 13,000 | 1,431,124 |
| Total Assets | 'n | 488,854 | 54 | 175,311 | 4 | 100,000 | | | u | 554,512 | ~ | 15,000 | \$ 16,781,200 |
| LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES Liabilities Accounts and relainage payable Due to other finds | | 1,700 | | 4,968 | | 13,824 | ي | 23.800 | | 87,178 | | 000 \$1 | 1,089,126 |
| Total Liabilities | | 1,700 | | 4,968 | | 26,480 | | 23,800 | | 281,752 | | 15,000 | 1,506,010 |
| Fund Baiances Reserved for Capital improvements | Ī | 487,154 | | 170,343 | | 73,520 | | (23,800) | į | 272,760 | 1 | | 15,275,190 |
| Total Fund Balances | | 487,154 | | 170 343 | | 73,520 | | (23,800) | - | 272,760 | | | 15,275,190 |
| Total Liabilities and Fund Balances | s, | 488,854 | S. | 175,311 | S | 000'001 | | | 8 | 554,512 | ~ | 15,000 | \$ 16,781,200 |

CITY OF MONROE

Monroe, Louisiana
Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures,
and Changes in Fand Balances
Noumajor Governmental Funds
Capital Projects Funds
For the Year Ended April 30, 2009

| | N. 18TH Street Extension | Taxiway C Reconstruction | Pb III Air Industrial Park | Tower Drive | Airport Industrial Park | Street Construction | Collection System Improve | Asset Management System | Fire/Drainage Improvement |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| REVENUES Fees, charges and commissions for services Use of money and property Intergovernmental Feeteral grants State grants | | | | \$ 146,020 | \$ 4,655 | \$ 97,357 | \$ 5,927 236,114 | | 9,484 |
| Local Brains Total Revenues | | | | 146,020 | 4,655 | 97,357 | 242,041 | | 9,484 |
| EXPENDITURES Current General government Financial administration Public works | | | | 31,075 | 20,175 12,630 | 6,955 | 111,306 312,797 | \$ 32,112 | 174,910 |
| Culture and recreation Capital outlay | | | | 37,430 | 48,060 | 1,512,672 | 5,235,215 | | |
| Total Expenditures | | | | 68,505 | 80,865 | 1,519,627 | 5,659,318 | 32,112 | 174,910 |
| Excass (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures | | | | 77,515 | (76,210) | (1,422,270) | (5,417,277) | (32,112) | (165,426) |
| Other Financing Sources (Uses) Bond proceeds Transfers in Transfers out | | \$ (660'9) | \$ (140,664) | | 138,072 | | 4,086,568 | 32,112 | |
| Total other financing sources (uses) | | | (140,664) | | 138,072 | | 5,086,568 | 32,112 | |
| Not changes in fund balances | | | (140,664) | 77,515 | 61,862 | (1,422,270) | (330,709) | | (165,426) |
| Fund balances - beginning | \$ 104,714 | | 140,664 | 6,443,486 | 122,942 | 6,238,045 | 585,725 | | 880,487 |
| Fund balances - ending | \$ 104,714 | | | \$ 6,521,001 | \$ 184,804 | \$ 4,815,775 | \$ 255,016 | 5 | \$ 715,061 |

CITY OF MONROE

Monroe, Louishan
Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditares,
and Changes in Fund Balances
Nounajor Governmental Funds
Capital Projects Funds
For the Year Ended April 39, 2009

| | Water System Improvements | Passenger Facility Charge | Rebab Airfield Lighting | Masur Museum Renovations | Civic Center Improvements | _ | US 165 Business Connector | Water Repla | Water Meter Replacement | Louisville Kabancement | Kausus Lane Connector | Lane |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| REVENUES Fees, charges and commissions for services Use of money and property | | \$ 166,028 12,303 | | | \$ 3,253 | _ | | | | | | |
| integoverintensa Federal grants State grants Local grants | | | \$ 122,929 | | 45,570 | _ | į | , | | | \$ 73 | 738,876 |
| Total Revenues | | 178,331 | 926 221 | | 48,823 | | | | | | | 738,876 |
| EXPENDITURES Current General government Financial administration Public works Culture and recreation Capital outlay | \$ 710,436 | 2,717 | 160,878 | | 207,950 32,750 | ام | 9,700 | 50 | 276,480 \$ | 23,800 | 4 | 466,116 |
| Total Expenditures | 710,436 | 2,71,7 | 828,091 | | 240,700 | | 9,700 | | 276,480 | 23,800 | ¥ | 466,116 |
| Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures | (7)0,436) | 175,614 | (37,949) | | (728,161) | a | (9,700) | 1 | (276,480) | (23,800) | X | 272,760 |
| Other Financing Sources (Uses) Bond proceeds Transfers in Transfers out | 828,268 | (100,516) | 37,949 | (11,025) | | 1 | | İ | 350,000 | | | |
| Total other financing sources (uses) | 828,268 | (100,516) | 37,949 | (11,025) | | | | | 350,000 | | | |
| Net changes in fund balances | 117,832 | 75,098 | | (11,025) | (191,877) | 5 | (9,700) | | 73,520 | (23,800) | 1.7 | 272,760 |
| Fund balances - beginning | 837,168 | 668,744 | | 11.025 | 679,031 | | 180,043 | | | | | |
| Fund balances - ending | \$ 955,000 | \$ 743,842 | | | \$ 487,154 | ام | 170,343 | \$ | 73,520 | \$ (23,800) | <u>ب</u> | 272,760 |

CTTY OF MONROE

Monroe, Louisians
Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures,
and Changes in Fund Balances
Nommajor Governmental Funds
Capital Projects Funds
For the Year Ended April 30, 2009

| | Black Bayou Pier | Capital Projects Total |
|--|---------------------|---------------------------|
| REVENUES | | |
| Fees, charges and commissions for services | | \$ 166,028 |
| Use of money and property | | 278,999 |
| Intergovernmental | | |
| Federal grants | \$ 40,000 | 399,043 |
| State grants | | 784,446 |
| Local grants | 65,000 | 65,000 |
| \$ - - | 300 40 | 7.9 007 1 |
| Total Kevenues | 000,001 | 1,035,516 |
| EXPENDITURES | | |
| Current | | |
| General government | | |
| Financial administration | | 169,511 |
| Public works | | 535,166 |
| Culture and recreation | | 207,950 |
| Capital outlay | 000'851 | 8,671,537 |
| | | |
| Total Expenditures | 158,000 | 9,584,164 |
| Excess (deficiency) of revenues | | |
| over expenditures | (53,000) | (7,890,648) |
| Other Financine Sources (Ilses) | | |
| Bond proceeds | | 4,086,568 |
| Transfers in | 53,000 | 2,445,500 |
| Transfers out | | (258,304) |
| | 1 | |
| Total other financing sources (uses) | 23,080 | 6273.164 |
| Net changes in fund balances | | (1,616,884) |
| Fund balances - beginning | | 16,892,074 |
| Fund balances - ending | : | \$ 15,275,190 |
| | | |

NONMAJOR ENTERPRISE FUNDS

CITY OF MONROE Monroe, Louisiana Combining Schedule of Net Assets Nonmajor Enterprise Funds April 30, 2009

| | LA Purchase Gardens & Zoo | Livestock Arena | Total |
|--|------------------------------|---|-------------|
| ASSETS | | | |
| Current assets | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 2,500 | | \$ 2,500 |
| Receivables | 30,732 | | 30,732 |
| Due from other funds | | \$ 613 | 613 |
| Inventories | | | |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets, net | 5,172 | | 5,172 |
| Total current assets | 38.404 | 613 | 39.017 |
| Noncurrent assets | | | |
| Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation: | | | |
| Land | | | |
| Buildings and improvements | 2,162,068 | 495,977 | 2,658,045 |
| Other improvements | 1,006,716 | 44,382 | 1,051,098 |
| Furniture & Equipment Vehicles | 144,980 | 32,936 | 177,916 |
| Infrastructure | • | | |
| Construction in progress | | | |
| Zoo animals | 67,755 | | 67,755 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (2,498,194) | (573,295) | (3,071,489) |
| | | (0.13,227 | |
| Total noncurrent assets | 883.325 | | 883.325 |
| Total Assets | 921,729 | 613 | 922,342 |
| LIABILITIES | | | |
| Current liabilities | | | |
| Accounts and retainage payable | 32,601 | 613 | 33,214 |
| Due to other funds | | | |
| Deferred revenue | 30,662 | | 30,662 |
| Total current liabilities | 63,263 | 613 | 63,876 |
| Noncurrent liabilities | | | |
| Notes payable - DEQ | | | |
| Compensated absences | 40,98 <u>6</u> _ | *************************************** | 40,986 |
| Total noncurrent liabilities | 40,986 | | 40,986 |
| Total Liabilities | 104,249 | 613 | 104,862 |
| NET ASSETS: | | | |
| Unrestricted | 817,480 | | 817,480 |
| Total Net Assets | \$ 817,480 | | \$ 817,480 |

Monroe, Louisiana

Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenses,

and Changes in Fund Net Assets Nonmajor Enterprise

For the Year Ended April 30, 2009

| | LA Purchase Gardens & Zoo | Livestock Arena | Total |
|---|------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Operating revenues | | | |
| Charges for services | | | |
| Rent and fees | \$ 6,230 | \$ 1,805 | \$ 8,035 |
| Admissions | 219,682 | | 219,682 |
| Concessions and rides | 101,417 | | 101,417 |
| Other operating revenue | 11,368 | | 11,368 |
| Total operating revenues | 338,697 | 1,805 | 340,502 |
| Operating expenses | | | |
| Salaries, wages, and benefits | 913,385 | | 913,385 |
| Materials, repairs, and supplies | 265,374 | 750 | 266,124 |
| Utilities and communications | 106,369 | 11,094 | 117,463 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 64,656 | | 64,656 |
| Insurance | 17,928 | | 17,928 |
| Promoter's expenses | 17,916 | | 17,916 |
| Other operating expenses | 166,544 | | 166,544 |
| Total operating expenses | 1,552,172 | 11,844 | 1,564,016 |
| Operating income (loss) | (1,213,475) | (10,039) | (1,223,514) |
| Nonoperating revenues (expenses) | | | |
| Property taxes | 1,037,639 | | 1,037,639 |
| Total nonoperating revenues (expenses) | 1,037,639 | | 1,037,639 |
| Income (loss) before contributions and transfer | (175,836) | (10,039) | (185,875) |
| Other Financing Sources (Uses) | | | |
| Transfers in | 117,835 | 7,878 | 125,713 |
| Change in net assets | (58,001) | (2,161) | (60,162) |
| Total net assets - beginning | 875,482 | 2,161 | 877,643 |
| Total net assets - ending | \$ 817,481 | | <u>\$ 817,481</u> |

Monroe, Louisiana

Combining Schedule of Cash Flows Nonmajor Enterprise Funds For the Year Ended April 30, 2009

| | _ | Louisiana Purchase Gardens and Zoo | Livestock Arena | Total |
|--|------|---|--------------------|-------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities | | | | |
| Cash received from (returned to) customers | \$ | 330,771 \$ | 3,866 \$ | 334,637 |
| Cash payments to suppliers for goods and services | | (578,597) | (11,744) | (590,341) |
| Cash payments to employees for services and benefits | _ | (930,017) | | (930,017) |
| Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities | - | (1,177,843) | (7,878) | (1,185,721) |
| Cash flows from noncapital financing activities | | | | |
| Ad valorem taxes | | 1,045,690 | | 1,045,690 |
| Transfers In | | 117,835 | 7,878 | 125,713 |
| Net cash provided by (used for) noncapital financing activities | _ | 1,163,525 | 7,878 | 1,171,403 |
| Cash flows from capital and related financing activities | | | | |
| Acquisition of capital assets | _ | 14,318 | <u> </u> | 14,318 |
| Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities | _ | 14,318 | | 14,318 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents, May 1, 2008 | _ | 2,500 | <u> </u> | 2,500 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, April 30, 2009 | \$ _ | 2,500 | \$\$ | 2,500 |
| Reconciliation of operating income to net cash provided by (used for) operating activities | | | | |
| Operating Income (loss) | \$_ | (1,213,476) \$ | (10,039) \$ | (1,223,515) |
| Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) to | | | • | |
| net cash provided by operating activities | | | | |
| Depreciation | | 64,656 | | 64,656 |
| Change in assets and liabilities | | | | |
| Accounts receivable | | 125 | 2,955 | 3,080 |
| Due from other funds | | | (613) | (613) |
| Prepaid expenses | | (657) | | (657) |
| Accounts payable | | (3,725) | 100 | (3,625) |
| Due to other funds | | (87) | | (87) |
| Deferred revenue | | (8,051) | (281) | (8,332) |
| Compensated absences | | (16,628) | | (16,628) |
| Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities | \$. | (1,177,843) \$ | (7,878) \$ | (1,185,721) |

PROPRIETARY FUND TYPE - INTERNAL SERVICE FUNDS

Monroe, Louisiana Combining Schedule of Net Assets Internal Service Funds April 30, 2009

| | Employees' Group Insurance | Central Shop | Total |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| ASSETS: | | | |
| Current assets | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 3 2,065,058 | | \$ 2,065,058 |
| Receivables | 4,813 | S 135 | 4,941 |
| Due from other funds | B58,184 | | 258,184 |
| Inventories | | 59,235 | 59,235 |
| Total Current assets | 2,928,055 | 59,370 | 2,987,425 |
| Noncurrent assets | | | |
| Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation | | | |
| Land | | 45,000 | 45,000 |
| Buildings and improvements | | 3,404,262 | 3 404,262 |
| Other improvements | | 1,980 | 1,980 |
| Furniture & Equipment | | 141,551 | 341,551 |
| Vehicles | | 126,958 | 126,958 |
| Accomulated depreciation | | (1,075,736) | (1,075,736) |
| Total Noncurrent assets | | 2,644,015 | 2,644,015 |
| Total Assets | 2,928,055 | 2,703,385 | 5,631,440 |
| LIABILITIES: | | | |
| Current liabilities | | | |
| Accounts and retainage payable | | 31,602 | 31,602 |
| Accrued liabilities | 796,086 | | 796,086 |
| Due to other funds | 1,049,122 | | 1,049,122 |
| Total Current liabilities | 1,845,208 | 31,602 | 1,876,810 |
| Total Liabilities | £,845,208 | 31,602 | 1,876,810 |
| NET ASSETS: | | | |
| Unrestricted | 1,082,847 | 2,671,783 | 3,754,630 |
| Total Net Assets | S 1,082,347 | \$ 2,671,783 | \$ 3,754,630 |

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

Monroe, Louisiana

Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures,

and Changes in Net Assets Internal Service Funds

For the Year Ended April 30, 2009

| | Employees' Group Insurance | Central Shop | Total |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Operating revenues | • | | |
| Charges for Services | \$ 8,098,396 | \$ 1,147,110 | \$ 9,245,506 |
| Total operating revenues | 8,098,396 | 1,147,110 | 9,245,506 |
| Operating expenses | | | |
| Benefits paid to participants | 7,661,149 | | 7,661,149 |
| Salaries, wages, and benefits | | 771,960 | 771,960 |
| Materials, repairs, and supplies | | 9,241 | 9,241 |
| Utilities and communications | | 38,695 | 38,695 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 106,763 | 106,763 |
| Shop expenses | | 621,652 | 621,652 |
| Other operating expenses | 2,920 | 15,591 | 18,511 |
| Total operating expenses | 7,664,069 | 1,563,902 | 9,227,971 |
| Operating income (loss) | 434,327 | (416,792) | 17,535 |
| Nonoperating revenues (expenses) | | | |
| Interest income | 7,720 | | 7,720 |
| Interest expense | | (6,009) | (6,009) |
| Total nonoperating revenues (expenses) | 7,720 | (6,009) | 1,711 |
| Income (loss) before contributions and transfer | 442,047 | (422,801) | 19,246 |
| Other Financing Sources (Uses) | | | |
| Transfers in | 1,049,247 | 484,648 | 1,533,895 |
| Transfers out | (408,447) | | (408,447) |
| Change in net assets | 1,082,847 | 61,847 | 1,144,694 |
| Total net assets - Beginning | | 2,609,936 | 2,609,936 |
| Total net assets - Ending | <u>\$ 1,082,847</u> | \$ 2,671,783 | \$ 3,754,630 |

Monroe, Louisiana

Proprietary Fund Type - Internal Service Funds Combining Schedule of Cash Flows For the Year Ended April 30, 2009

| Coch flows from apprenting nativities | _ | Employees' Group Insurance | Central Shop | Total |
|--|-----|----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities Cash received from customers | \$ | 8,122,147 \$ | 1 147 170 C | 9,269,317 |
| | J | (2,920) | 1,147,170 \$ | |
| Cash payments to suppliers for goods and services | | | (669,582) | (672,502) |
| Cash payments to employees for services and benefits Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities | _ | (7,158,648) | (772,170) | (7,930,818) |
| ivet cash provided by (used for) operating activities | _ | 960,579 | (294,582) | 665,997 |
| Cash flows from noncapital financing activities | | | | |
| Transfers in | | 1,049,247 | 484,648 | 1,533,895 |
| Transfers out | | (408,447) | • | (408,447) |
| Net cash provided by noncapital financing activities | _ | 640,800 | 484,648 | 1,125,448 |
| ,, | _ | | | -,, |
| Cash flows from capital and related financing activities | | | | |
| Acquisition of capital assets | | | (6,549) | (6,549) |
| Interest income | | 7,720 | | 7,720 |
| Principal paid on debt | | | (177,508) | (177,508) |
| Interest paid on debt | _ | | (6,009) | (6,009) |
| Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities | _ | 7,720 | (190,066) | (182,346) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | | 1,609,099 | | 1,609,099 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, May 1, 2008 | _ | 455,959 | | 455,959 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, April 30, 2009 | \$_ | 2,065,058 | \$ | 2,065,058 |
| Reconciliation of operating income to net cash provided by (used for) operating activities | | | , | |
| Operating Income (loss) | \$ | 434,327 \$ | (416,792) \$ | 17,535 |
| Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) to | | | | |
| net cash provided by operating activities | | | | |
| Depreciation | | | 106,763 | 106,763 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities | | | -, | , |
| Accounts receivable | | 84,106 | 60 | 84,166 |
| Due from other funds | | (60,355) | | (60,355) |
| Inventories | | V. Maria | 2,381 | 2,381 |
| Accounts payable | | (246,621) | 13,006 | (233,615) |
| Due to other funds | | 749,122 | - - | 749,122 |
| Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities | | | | |

OTHER MISCELLANEOUS SCHEDULES

Monroe, Louisiana

Schedule of Assessed Valuation and Ad Valorem Property Tax Levy

for the 2008 Tax Roll

| Assessed Valuation | | \$ 382,837,525 |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| Tax Rate per thousand dollars (Mills) | | 27.01 |
| Gross Tax Levy | | \$ 10,340,442 |
| Plus: Adjustments | | 109,406 |
| Adjusted Tax Levy | | \$ 10,449,848 |
| Tax Collected | | |
| 2008 Tax Roll | | \$ 10,225,569 |
| Prior Years Tax Rolls | | 278,068 |
| Total | | \$ 10,503,637 |
| ALLOCATION OF TAXES COLLECTED | MILLS | |
| General Fund | 10.70 | \$ 4,144,249 |
| Recreation Center Maintenance | 1.88 | 727,893 |
| Public Safety | 1.06 | 410,402 |
| Drainage Maintenance | 1.31 | 507,198 |
| City Court Bonds | | 5,970 |
| Civic Center Complex | 2.68 | 1,037,638 |
| Louisiana Purchase Gardens & Zoo | 2.68 | 1,037,639 |
| Capital Improvements | 3.48 | 1,347,381 |
| Police Department | 1.61 | 623,355 |
| Fire Department | 1.61 | 623,352 |
| Grasscutting Collections | - | 32,454 |
| Demolition Collections | - | 6,106 |
| Total | 27.01 | \$ 10,503,637 |
| GENERAL BONDED DEBT SUPPORTED BY T | AX LEVY | |
| City Court and Jail | · · · · · · | 170,000 |
| PERCENT OF BONDED DEBT TO ASSESSED | VALUATION | 0.04% |

CITY OF MONROE
Monroe, Louisiana
Schedule of Ad Valorem Taxes Receivable
As of April 30, 2009

| Estimated Collectible Taxes at 4/30/09 | | | | | | | | | | 1,480 | 23,023 | | 7,064 | 86,815 | 190,637 | 309,019 |
|--|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------------|-----------|---------|---------|-----------|--------------|-----------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | 649 | | | | | | 8 |
| Allowance For Uncollectible Taxes | | | | | | | | | | (28,116) | (207,212) | | (7,065) | (28,938) | (33,642) | (304,973) |
| " ā | | | | | | | | | | 69 | | | | | | |
| Balance at 4/30/09 | | | | | | | | | | 29,596 | 230,235 | | 14,129 | 115,753 | 224,279 | 613,992 |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | 69 | | | | | | ~ |
| Collections | (74) | (81) | (81) | (210) | (210) | (240) | (233) | (259) | (674) | (932) | (3,600) | (1,053) | (3,462) | (266,959) | (10,225,569) | \$ (10,503,637) |
| 7 | ₩, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 49 |
| Cancellations And Other Adjustments | 74 | 83 | 81 | 210 | 210 | 240 | 233 | 259 | 674 | | | 1,053 | | (2,696) | (33,553) | (33,134) |
| Adj Adj | ₩) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | S) |
| Supplemental Assessments | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | 1,701 | 142,959 | 144,660 |
| Sup | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 69 | | ~ |
| 2008 Tax Roll | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 10,340,442 | 10,340,442 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 64 | ~ |
| Balance at April 30, 2008 | | | | | | | | | | 30,528 | 233,835 | | 165,71 | 383,707 | | 199'599 |
| m < | | | | | | | | | | \$ | | | | | | w. |
| Tax Year | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | Totals |

| LLOCATION OF FUNDS | 4 | Balance at April 30, 2008 | Balance at April 30, 2009 | Applicable Millages at 4/30/09 | Millages as % of Total Millages |
|---------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| General Fund | ** | 314,762 | \$ 247,695 | 5 21.65 | 80.16% |
| Debt Service Funds: | | • | | | 78000 |
| Pub. Imp. Bonds - Court | | 8,667 | | | 0.00% |
| iterprise Funds: | | | | | /900 0 |
| Civic Center | | 38,713 | 30,00 | | 9.35% |
| La Purchase Gardens & Zoo | | 38,713 | 30,662 | 2 2.68 | 9.92% |
| Totals | (A | 400,855 | \$ 309,019 | 9 27.01 | 100.00% |

CITY OF MONROE
Monroe, Louisiana
Schedule of Insurance
April 30, 2009
(unaudited)

| | | | LIMITS OF | | EXPIRATION |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|---|-------------------|
| INSURER | ASSETS COVERED | RISK COVERED | COVERAGE | DEDUCTIBLE | DATE |
| Ace Property & Casualty | Monroe Munkspal Airport | General Aggregate Property damage lability Single limit bodily injury Personal & advertising injury Medical expense limit (any one person) Fire damage Hangarkeeper's liability Each airtraft Each cocurrence | \$10,000,000 10,000,000 10,000,000 5,000,000 5,000 100,000 10,000,000 | N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1,000 | 4 <i>717</i> 2010 |
| James Rivers Insurance Co | Black Bayou | General Aggregate Products Personal Injury Bach Occurrence Fire Dannage | 2,000,000 included 1,000,000 1,000,600 50,000 | 6,000 | 7730/2009 |
| Continental Casualty | Communication Tower | Fire, Vandalism, Explosion, & Collapse | 975,111 | 1,000 5000/lightning | 10/28/2009 |
| Scottsdale Insurance Company | Chemisult Park & Golf Course | General liability Personal & advertising injury Each occurrence Medical Expense-Each | 4,000,000 1,000,000 1,100,000 3,000 | 500 500 500 | 5/23/2009 |
| Great Amer, Insurance Co. | Golf Carts | General Liability | 318,179 | 500 | 6/12/2009 |
| St. Paul's Travelers | Employees @various locations | Dishonesty Bond | 000,001 | | 12/15/2009 |
| Travelers Property Casually | David Barnes | Surety bond coverage as secretary- tressurer and tax collector of the City of Monroe & Cuachita Parish Police Jury, respectively | 80,000 | NIA | 1/10/2010 |
| Travelers Property Casually | David Barnes | Surety band as collector of sales and use tax of the city school board of Moture & Ouschita Parish School Board | 000'05 | Z.A.A. | 0102010 |
| Travelers Property Cesualty | David Barnes | Surery bond as collector for the City of West Monroe | 000'05 | NA | 1/10/2010 |
| Travelera Property Casualty | David Barnes | Surety bond as secretary-treasurer of City of Monroe | 100,000 | N.A. | 1/10/2010 |

CITY OF MONROE
Mouroe, Louisiana
Schedule of Insurance
April 30, 2009
(unaudired)

| NSURER | ASSETS COVERED | RISK COVERED | LIMITS OF COVERAGE | DEDUCTIBLE | EXPIRATION DATE |
|-------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--------------------|
| | David Barnes | Surety band as tax collector for Town of Riahwood & Town of Sterlingson | 100,000 | N/A | 3/15/2010 |
| Scottsdale Insurance Company | General Liability & Employers Liability | Beil South Payment Drop-off Listbildy Each Accident Aggregate Linit | 1,100,000 1,000,000 4,000,000 | ٧ ٧ | 7/9/2009 |
| Southern Insurance Company | Various Buiklings EDP | Blanket Bldg & Contents Wind & Hail | 64,055,902 | 2,500 25,000 1,000 | 1/15/2010 |
| | Monroe Civis Center | Blanket Building Coverage | 36,275,200 | | 6002/91/8 |
| James River Insurance Company | Mouroe Civio Center | General Listality: General-Aggregate Products-completed operations aggregate Parsonal and advertising injury limit Each occurrence Fire Damage Limit Medical Expense Limit Liquor Lisbility Bach Common Cause Liquor Lisbility Bach Common Cause | 2,000,000 2,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 5,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 | 5,000 | <i>3</i> 412010 |
| James River Insurance Company | Mouroe Civic Center Tenants Policy | Personal & Advertising Injury General Aggregate Products & Completed Operations Aggregate Back Occurrence Limit Damage to Premises Rented | 1,000,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 1,000,000 50,000 | | 3/1/2010 |
| Great American Insurance | Marquee Sign | | 000'951 | | 6/3/2010 |
| Scottodale Instrance Company | La Puroinse Gardens & Zoo | Lisblity General Aggregate Operations Aggregate . Property Damage | 1,000,000 1,050,000 1,050,000 | \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 | 8/20/2009 |
| St Paul's Travelers | Boiler &Machinery @pump stations &various locations | Comprehensive | 23,060,000 | 2,500 | 4/1/2010 |
| St. Paul's Travelets | Monroe Transit System | General Rability Personal & advertising injury Each Occurrence Limit | 5,000,000 5,000,000 5,000,000 | 2,500 | 10/1/2009 |

CITY OF MONROE
Monroe, Louisiana
Schedule of Insurance
April 30, 2009
(unaudited)

| INSURER | ASSETS COVERED | RISK COVERED | LIMITS OF COVERAGE | DEDUCTIBLE | EXPIRATION DATE |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|------------|--------------------|
| Safety National | Workers Comp & Employers Liability | Employer's Liability Maximum Limit of Indemnity per Occurrence Maximum Limit of Indemnity per Occurrence Self-insured Retention per occurrence | 1,000,000 | 1,250,000 | 5/1/2009 |
| Republic Vanguard Insurance Co. | Pire Department Mobile Und | Combined Liability | 000*000*1 | | 8/21/2009 |
| Essen ins Co. | Fire Dept. Mobile Unit | Lability General Aggregato Fire Damage Each Occurrence Personal Itijury | 000'000'1 000'000'1 000'05 000'000'1 | | 8/21/2009 |
| Great American Insurance | Fire Department Mobile Unit | Liabdity | 33,574 | 200 | 8/21/2009 |
| Capitol Specialty Insurance Corp | Welcome to Monroe Sign | General Liability General Aggregate Limit Each Occurrence Limit Medical Expense Limit | 2,090,000 1,090,000 5,000 | | 1/24/2010 |
| Lloyd's, London | Cooley House | Building | 200,000 | | 8/15/2009 |

CITY OF MONROE
Monroe, Louisiana
Schedule of Long-twan Obligations
For the Year Ended April 30, 2089

| | | | | • | ! | | | |
|---|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|---|--|
| | fistile Dates | Interest | Original | Balance April 30, 2008 | Issued | Rettred | Balance April 30, 2009 | Principal Maturity Schedule For Future Years |
| GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES General Obligation Bonds: | | | | | | | | |
| Public Improvements Refunding Bonds, 2003 (Court and Jail) Total General Obligation Bonds | 2003 | 2,75% - 3.10% | 1,060,000 | 335,000 | | 165,000 | \$ 170,000 | \$165,000 - \$170,000 to March 1, 2010 |
| Tax Increment Bonds: Traine Dates | 2002 | 4 125% 5 00% | 11 720 000 | 11,090,000 | | 445 000 | 10.645.000 | \$445,000 - \$930,000 to March 1, 2023 |
| 1.20 Development | 2003 | 3.10%-4.75% | 16,000,000 | 12,245,000 | | 12,245,900 | • | \$820,000 - \$1,280,800 to March 1, 2021 |
| 1-20 Development Total Tax Increment Bonds | 5002 | 4.25% - 4.60% | 32,770,000 | 27,705,000 | , | 17,060,000 | 10,643,000 | ************************************** |
| Certificates of Indebteduess: Certificates of Indebteduese-Civic Center Roof | 2004C | 3.73% | 2,550,000 | 1,795,000 | | 270,000 | 1,525,000 | \$270,000 - \$330,000 to April 2014 |
| Refunding Cert of Indebt (Swimming Pool) Total Certificates of Indebtodness | 2004A | 3.45% | 3,185,532 | 133,492 | | 133,492 | 1,525,000 | B \$311,000 to April L, 2009 |
| Sale: Tax Bonds: | 000 | 7000 | 000 000 36 | 946 600 | | 744 | 17 490 000 | 2755 GDD - 51 735 GBG to July 1, 2020 |
| Series 2002 A (Fire-Drainage) | 700Z | 3,45% - 4.80% | (5,000,000 | 14,450,000 | | 125,000 | 14,325,000 | \$125,000 - \$1,835,000 to July 1, 2027 |
| Sories 2003 (Streets) | 2003 | 3,25% - 5,50% | 22,400,000 1 per per | 20,125,000 | | 623,000 | 3 000,000 | \$625,000 - \$1,725,000 to July 1, 2027 \$105,000 - \$205,000 to July 1, 2028 |
| Sensa Lovo Total Sakes Tax Bonds | 0000 | ecetic | 66,400,000 | 50,820,000 | . | 1,505,000 | 49,315,000 | |
| Refunding Bonds: | į | | 1 | | | 000 000 | 000 000 | essento esse como March 1 2013 |
| Series 1998A (Fire and Police Presson) Series 2007A | 2007 | 4.80% - 5.05% 4.002% | 29,340,000 | 29,340,000 | | 6,160,000 1,160,000 | 28,180,000 | \$1,140,000 - \$3,280,000 to July 2026 |
| Doleared Amount of Refunding | 2007 | 7000 7 | (1,290,626) | (1,075,522) | duo son on | 215,104 | (860,418) | \$1 104 000 - \$2 145 000 to March 1 2025 |
| Series 2008 1-20 Developings Deferred Amount of Refunding | 2008 | 4,047% - 2,507% | (1,462,775) | , , | (1,462,775) | 208.968 | (1,253,807) | |
| Total Refunding Bonds | | | 60,516,599 | 31,014,478 | 24,902,225 | 3,974,072 | 52,790,775 | |
| Utility Revenue Bondst Series 2001 - DRO (Seven) | 2001 | 3.45% | 24.500.030 | 20.742.897 | | 967,020 | , 778,877,61 | A \$1,105,000 - \$1,905,000 to June 30, 2023 |
| Series 2003 - DEQ (Sewer) | 2003 | 3,45% | 10,000,000 | 8,565,000 | | 395,000 | | \$395,000 - \$710,000 to June 1, 2023 |
| Series 2005 DEQ | 2003 | 3.45% | 11,000,000 | 3,663,439 | 3,987,938 | 370,000 | 7,281,377 | \$370,000 - \$775,000 to July 1, 2027 \$640,000 - \$765,00 to July 1, 2030 |
| Total Utility Revenue Bonds | 3 | * P. C. | 29,500,000 | 32,971,336 | 4,086,568 | 1,732,020 | 35,325,884 | |
| Other: Cleims and !udgments Comits!! sees (Theorem Performent) | | 7605 3 7687 9 | | 7,853,787 | 148,130 | 698,778 | 7,303,139 | \$149.131 - \$249.492 to March 1, 2021 |
| Notes payable | 2007 | 3,88% | • | 2,859,077 | | 559,124 | 2,299,953 | \$139,100 - \$163,907 to November [5, 2012 |
| Acoused Vocation and Sick Pay Other post employment benefits Take 1 Oct. | | | | 4,915,222 | 1,957,803 | 1,400,497 | 1,622,652 | |
| log One | | | , | 010'000'01 | 5,(20,00) | 000110017 | V 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | |
| TOTAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES | | | 170,480,532 | 162,860,822 | 32,717,378 | 27,647,114 | 168,779,230 | |

CUTY OF MONROE
Monree, Louisians
Schedule of Long-term Obligations
For the Year Ended April 39, 2009

| | Issue Dates | laterest Rates | Original Amount | Balance April 36, 2008 | Lauca | Rethred | Balance April 30, 2009 | Principal Maturity Schedule For Future Years |
|--|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|------------|-----------|------------------------------|---|
| INTERNAL SERVICE FUND Rounding COI (Central Warehouse and Shop) Total internal service fund debt | 2004A | 3.45% | 816,468 | 177,508 | | 177,508 | | B See Amortization above 2004A |
| BUSINESS TYPE ACTIVITIES CIVIC CENTER Tatable Refunding Certificates of Indebtedness Total Civic Center | 2004B | 6.00% | 080 (£1,1 | 39,000 | , | 39,000 | | \$39,000 in April 2009 |
| SEWER DEPARTMENT Utility Revenue Bonds: Swies 2001 - DEQ Total Sales Tax Bonds | 2001 A | 3.45% | 2,500,000 | 2,392,103 | | 086,79 | 2,294,123 | 2.294.123 A See Amortization above 2001- DEQ 2.294.123 |
| ALL DEPARTMENTS Other: Accured Vacation and Sick Pay | | | , | 1,110,105 | 584,494 | 664,651 | 896,950,1 | |
| TOTAL BUSINESS TYPE ACTIVITIES | | us. | 3,489,468 \$ | 3,718,716 \$ | 584,494 \$ | S 8E1,879 | 3,324,071 | |

(A) These two are the same 327,000,000 issue. The 57,500,000 is recorded directly on the Sever Departments books and the 324,509,000 is recorded as general government debt. All are payable from Sales Tax.

(B) These two are the same \$1,452,000 issue. The \$316,468 is recorded directly on the laternal Service Fund books and the \$635,532 is recorded as general government debt. All are payable from general revenues.

CITY OF MONROE Monroe, Louisiana

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULES

As of and For the Year Ended April 30, 2009

COMPENSATION PAID TO COUNCIL MEMBERS

The schedule of compensation paid to council members is presented in compliance with House Concurrent Resolution No. 54 of the 1979 Session of the Louisiana Legislature. Compensation of the council members is included in the legislative expenditures of the General Fund. In accordance with Section 2-05 of the Home Rule Charter of the City of Monroe, the council, through Ordinance 10312, has set compensation of council members at \$1,000 per month. In addition to the compensation paid all council members, the chairperson of the council receives an additional \$500 per month to perform the duties of that office.

Monroe, Louisiana

Schedule of Compensation Paid to Council Members For the Year Ended April 30, 2009

| Jay Marx | District 1 | \$ 12,000 |
|--------------------------|------------|--------------|
| Ben Katz | District 2 | 12,000 |
| Arthur Gilmore | District 3 | 12,000 |
| Robert Stevens, Chairman | District 4 | 18,000 |
| Robert Johnson | District 5 | 7,846 |
| Ruben L. Oliver, Sr. | District 5 | 3,692 |
| Total | | \$ 65,538 |

REPORTS REQUIRED BY GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS
AND OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Luffey, Huffman, Ragsdale & Soignier

(A Professional Accounting Corporation) CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

John Herman, CPA Lynn Andries, CPA Esther Atteberry, CPA Sandra Harrington, CPA

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

City of Monroe Monroe, Louisiana

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of City of Monroe, Louisiana (the City), as of and for the year ended April 30, 2009, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated October 30, 2009. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the City's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the City's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will

City of Monroe Monroe, Louisiana

not be prevented or detected by the City's internal control. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questions Costs listed as 09-01 through 09-05 to be significant deficiencies in the internal control over financial reporting.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the City's internal control.

Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we consider findings 09-01 and 09-02 described above, to be material weaknesses.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed an instance of noncompliance or other matters that is required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards and which is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as items 09-01.

The City's response to the findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. We did not audit the City's response and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the City Council, and Federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, under Louisiana Revised Statute 24:513, this report is distributed by the Legislative Auditor as a public document.

Luffry Huffren Roydale & Signice

(A Professional Accounting Corporation)

October 30, 2009

Luffey, Huffman, Ragsdale & Soignier

John L. Luffey, MBA, CPA (1963-2002) Francis I. Huffman, CPA Philip A. Ragsdale, CPA David Ray Soignier, CPA, MBA

(A Professional Accounting Corporation)
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

John Herman, CPA Lynn Andries, CPA Esther Atteberry, CPA Sandra Harrington, CPA

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

City of Monroe Monroe, Louisiana

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of City of Monroe, Louisiana (the City) with the types of compliance requirements described in the U. S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that are applicable to each of its major Federal programs for the year ended April 30, 2009. The City's major Federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major Federal programs is the responsibility of the City's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major Federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major Federal programs for the year ended April 30, 2009.

City of Monroe Monroe, Louisiana

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to Federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major Federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A control deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of noncompliance requirement of a Federal program on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the City's ability to administer a Federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a Federal program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the City's internal control

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a Federal program will not be prevented or detected by the City's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of, management, the City Council, Legislative Auditor for the State of Louisiana and Federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and other entities granting funds to the City and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, under Louisiana Revised Statute 24:513, this report is distributed by the Legislative Auditor as a public document.

Luffey Huffren, Roydole , + Signice

(A Professional Accounting Corporation)

October 30, 2009

CITY OF MONROE Monree, Lordniana Behedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended April 30, 2009

| Federal Genetice / Paus-Threngh Granter / Program Title | CFDA No. | Pass-Through Grant Number | Greet Identification Number | Federal Expenditures |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| U. S. Bepertment of Honging and Urban Development | | | | |
| Direct: Community Development Block Grant - 2007 | 14.218 | N/A | B-07-MC-22-0005 | 446,76 |
| Community Development Black Grant 2000 | 14.218 | N/A N/A | B-08-MC-22-0005 | 423,24 |
| Home Igregoval Partnerskip Grant - 2006 | 14.239 | N/A | M-06-MC-22-0206 | 2)2,01 |
| Home Investoral Partnership Grant - 2007 | 14 239 | N/A | N-07-MC-22-0206 | 313,73 |
| Home Investment Partnership Grant - 2008 | 14 239 | NA | M-01-MC-22-0206 | 14,54 |
| Fast-Through Programs | | | | |
| LA Dept of Social Services Office of Community Services Emergency (Stellar Grant Program - 2006 | | | 1971 | **** |
| Emergency Sheller Grants Program - 2007 | 14.231 14.231 | 636077 65009S | N/A N/A | 66,92 42,19 |
| Total Dept. Hotslog & Urbun Development | 17,631 | 020075 | Let's | |
| 1. S. Decorrierat of Jurica | | | | 1,519,44 |
| Directr Office of Justice Program (IAG)-06 | | | **** | |
| Office of Juriot Program (JAG)-07 | 16 73 1 16,738 | N/A N/A | 2006-DJ-BX-0851 2007-DJ-BX-1083 | 20,06 61,35 |
| Office of Justice Program (IAG)-Off | 16.731 | N/A | 2008-DJ-BX-0649 | 6,11 |
| Past-Through Programm | | | | |
| LA Off, of the Governor/LA Commission on Law Enforcement & Admin of Crimmal Justice Edward Bytes Memorial Formula Grant, DARS Program Fund | 16,579 | E09-2-006 | N/A | 32,99 |
| Total Buyartment of Justice | | | | 124,61 |
| D. S. Department of Treasportation | | | | |
| Diracts Airport improvement Program: | | | | |
| Airport improvement / ogran: Rehab Airfield Lighting | 20.106 | N/A | DTPAWA-06-A-00015 | 66.25 |
| Rebain Airfield Lighting-Phase III | 20.106 | NVA | 3-22-0033-024-2007 | 36,63 |
| Terminal Flans & Specifications | 20.106 | N/A | 3-22-0033-025-2006 | 1,006,96 |
| Senal Committity Air Service Development Program | 20.990 | N/A | WAG2006-8-8-012 | 44,37 |
| Federal Transil Asthority Program: | | - | | |
| Capital (Butter & But Related Squipment) | 20.507 | N/A | LA-01-0114-00 | 1,AS |
| Capital (Stor & Bur Facilities) | 20.507 | N/A | LA-04-0016-00 | 25,56 |
| Capital (But & Bus Facilities) | 20.507 | NA | LA-90-X263-00 | 29,90 |
| Capital, Operating and Planning | 20.507 | NA | LA-90-X275-00 | 273,74 |
| Capital, Operating and Plenning Capital and Operating | 20.507 20.507 | NVA | LA-90-X285-00 | 188,52 |
| Capital and Operating | 20.507 | N/A N/A | LA-90-X302-00 LA-90-X319-00 | 117,18 2,171,63 |
| | 20201 | 10/1 | 31-10-1317-10 | 2,11,00 |
| Paus-Through Programs: LA Roccession Train/Office of State Parks/Dept of Colture, Recession & Tourism | | | | |
| Recreational Trails Frogram | 20 219 | 07LRT-0CH-0191 | 745-37-0003 | 40,00 |
| LA Highway Safety Commission | | | | |
| State and Community Highway Sufety | 20.600 | PT 2008-37-00-00 | N/A | 12,92 |
| State and Community Highway Sulety | 20,600 | PT 2009-49-00-09 | N/A | 13,70 |
| LA Dept of Transportation and Development | | | | |
| Job Access Reverse Commisse | 20.516 | 1A-17-2025 | 741-37-0120 | 109,84 |
| Total Department of Transportation | | | | 4,164,81 |
| Cardronnessed Protection Assess | | | | |
| Directs State and Tribal Assistance Grant (STAG) | 42.658 | hale | Manager 201 | -1 11 |
| · | 66 8)7 | NA | XP97682603 | 236,11 |
| Pass-Through Programs: La Dest of Environments' Quality | | | | |
| Cambattanian Gentu for Clean Water State Revolving Fronts | 66.458 | N/A | N/A | 4,086,56 |
| Total Environmental Protection Agency | CLIN | ~~X | IAX | 4,322,68 |
| J. S. Department of Honorised Security. Obrect: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Assistance to Firefighters Greek-Staffing for Adoquate Fire & Emergency Response (SAFER) Transportation Security Administration | 97.044 97.090 | N/A. | EMW-2007-FF-01346 HSTS0203HS13215 | 333,55 150 B4 |
| · | y7.12 7 13 | N/A. | HS 1302AHSLR215 | 150,841 |
| Pass-Through Programs LA Governor's Office of Homeland Security and Emergency Proparedness (GOHSEP) | | | | |
| Disapter Grants-Public Assistance (Flurricane Grantsv) | 97.036 | FEMA-1786-DB-LA | N/A | 613,25 |
| Hazard Mili pition Project-LA Pilot Pleaning | 97,039 | 1607-073-0005 | N/A | 23,56 |
| Uniform Construction Code Implementation | 97.039 | 1603-DR-LA-0002 | N/A | 30,97 |
| Sure Houndard Scourly Program LETPP State Houndard Scourly Program LETPP | 97.067 97.067 | 2006-QE-T6-0069 2007-QE-T7-0019 | nya Nya | 59,65 62,04 |
| Trust El P Mathamatoria de El Completo de Completo | | | | |
| Tetal U. S. Department of Honocland Socurity | | | | 1,271,181 |
| lis. Department of the Interior-Matterel Park Service Para-Through Programs: | | | | |
| LA Division of Historia Preservation/Office of Cultural Dev./Dept of Culture, Restriction & Tourism | | | | • |
| Nissorie Preservation Fand Grants-In-Aid-Preservation Plenning Historie Preservation Fand Grants-In-Aid-Cooky House Roof | 15.904 15.904 | 22-07-21622 22-07-21622 | nya Nya | 1,50 51,49 |
| Total U.S. Depart of the Interior-Hatimal Fark Service | | == | | 64,39 |
| S. Denorious of Health and Human Services | | | | |
| Pass-Through Programs: Jelfersen Pasish Shridfa Office/West Mourae Police Department | | | | |
| Drug-Free Communities Support Program-High Intensity Drug Trefficking Area (HIDTA) | 93.276 | 17PGCP502Z | N/A | 7,36 |
| Drug-Free Communities Support Programs High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area (HEDTA) | 93.276 | INPGCP502Z | N/A | 42,69 42,69 |
| Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services-Office of National Drug Policy | | | | 50,05 |
| • • | | | | |
| Total Federal Rependitures | | | | \$ 11314,53 |
| See Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Foderal Awards | | | | |
| | | | | |

Monroe, Louisiana

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

Note 1 - General

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activity of all federal financial assistance of the City of Monroe (the City) for the year ended April 30, 2009. All federal financial assistance received directly from federal agencies is included on the schedule, as well as federal financial assistance passed-through other government agencies.

Note 2 - Basis of Accounting

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented using the modified accrual basis of accounting, which is described in Note 1 to the City's primary government financial statements.

Note 3 - Loans Outstanding

The City has loans outstanding under Federal loan or loan guarantee award programs of \$571,336 at April 30, 2009.

Note 4 - Relationship of the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards to the Primary Government Financial Statements

The following reconciliation is provided to help the reader of the City's financial statements and supplementary information relate such information to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards for the year ended April 30, 2009:

| | | | | Nonoperating | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----|-------------------|-----|-----------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------|---------------|------------|
| | | Federal Awards | | Revenues - Operating Grants | | Other Financing Sources | | Total |
| Revenues | _ | | _ | | | | . ~ | |
| General Fund | \$ | 850,413 | \$ | - | \$ | | \$ | 850,413 |
| Special Revenue Funds | | 1,925,663 | | - | | - | | 1,925,663 |
| Capital Projects Funds | | 1,406,009 | | - | | 4,086,568 | | 5,492,577 |
| Enterprise Funds | | - | | 3,068,425 | | _ | | 3,068,425 |
| Total per Financial Statements | _ | 4,182,085 | - | 3,068,425 | _ | 4,086,568 | | 11,337,078 |
| Plus Expense Reimbursements | | 177,474 | | - | | · | | 177,474 |
| Total per Schedule of | _ | | | | | | | |
| Expenditures of Federal Awards | \$_ | 4,359,559 | \$_ | 3,068,425 | \$_ | 4,086,568 | . \$ _ | 11,514,552 |

Monroe, Louisiana Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards As of And For The Year Ended April 30, 2009

Note 5 - Funds Provided to Subrecipients

Of the Federal expenditures presented on this schedule, the City provided \$192,067 through the Community Development Block Grants, Home Investment Partnership Grants and the Emergency Shelter Grant Programs to subrecipients.

Section I. Summary of Auditors' Results

Financial Statements

97.036

97.044

Type of auditors' report issued: disclaimer on fiduciary funds of aggregate discretely presented component units; unqualified on all other opinion units.

| discretely presented component units, and | danned on an other opinion dines. |
|--|---|
| Internal control over financial reporting: | |
| Material weaknesses identified? | X Yes No |
| Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses? | Yes <u>X</u> No |
| Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? | _X_YesNo |
| Federal Awards | |
| Material weaknesses identified? | Yes <u>X</u> No |
| Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses? | Yes X None Reported |
| Type of auditors' report on compliance for major programs: | Unqualified |
| Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 501(a) of Circular A-133 | ?Yes _ <u>X</u> No |
| Identification of major programs: | |
| CFDA Number N | ame of Federal Program |
| | irport Improvement Grant of for Clean Water State Revolving Fund |

Disaster Grants - Public Assistance
Staffing for Adequate Fire & Emergency Response (SAFER)

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs was\$300,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? ___Yes ___Yes __X_No

Section II. Findings related to the financial statements that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards:

09-01 INTERNAL CONTROLS AT TAXATION AND REVENUE DIVISION

Finding:

An internal investigation uncovered probable cause to call in an investigation that ultimately led to the arrest of the Director of the Taxation and Revenue Division of the Administration Department for the City of Monroe. Arrested on May 28, 2009, the Director is currently incarcerated at the Ouachita Correctional Center on \$2.7 million bond facing 30 counts of felony theft and one count of state racketeering. A joint investigation by the Monroe Police Department, Louisiana State Police, FBI, Legislative Auditor's Office and the 4th Judicial District Attorney's Office is currently in process and a report from the Legislative Auditor's Office is expected to be released shortly. Initial estimates from the investigation reflect \$200,000 to \$300,000 has been misappropriated over the current and previous year.

The internal investigation began when management noted unusual behavior on the part of employees at the Taxation and Revenue Division office. Employees had become evasive and often refused to answer questions about normal operating activities. This aroused suspicions about possible policy violations and the investigation revealed that employees were hesitant to speak about operations for fear of losing their jobs. The Director allegedly used coercion over the employees to ensure that his actions were not questioned. The knowledge about any policy violations by the employees was not conveyed to the Director's superiors.

The Director's technique for overriding controls was centered on the delinquent sales tax accounts over which he had the final authority. It was at his discretion whether to refer grossly delinquent accounts to the legal department. He used this authority to negotiate with sales tax vendors about delinquent accounts/payout schedules and overrode controls by having the sales tax vendors mail their checks directly to him rather than being opened by cashiers and processed through the cash register as the City policy dictates. When some checks were received by him, he would have the check cashed by a cashier without entering the payment into the computer or processing the sales tax return therefore not giving the vendor credit for filing. As a result, the cashier would still balance at the end of the day.

Monroe, Louisiana Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended April 30, 2009

In addition, policies and procedures provide for the revenue technician to mail delinquent tax notices bimonthly and to review the list of delinquent sales taxes once per month. The Director would override that control by taking the letters and telling the technician he would mail them himself. A broad window of at least six months elapsed without any delinquent account mailings due to the City implementing a process of scanning returns and a lack of manpower. The zero dollar returns were not being entered into the sales and use tax system during that period, therefore creating an exaggerated and inaccurate delinquent list.

Per the City's policies and procedures, the Taxation and Revenue Division serves as the central revenue-collecting center for the City of Monroe and also collects sales taxes for the other political subdivisions of Ouachita Parish. Insurance paperwork has been started to recover some of the losses, but cannot be completed until the extent of the loss is known. The insurance policy for losses of this type has a maximum coverage of \$100,000. It appears the majority of the losses fell within the city limits.

Recommendation:

We recommend clarifying the definition of a grossly delinquent account with a specified number of days and forwarding all such accounts to legal is another method of minimizing the ability of management to override controls. In addition, upon release of the report from the Legislative Auditors Office, we recommend complete analysis of the report and implementation of the recommendations.

We further suggest training employees on proper operating procedures and the consequences of failing to follow said procedures, ethical responsibilities of City employees, and steps to take when knowledge of policy and procedure violations exists. Occupational frauds are much more likely to be detected by a tip rather than by audits, controls, or any other means. Therefore, we recommend the creation of an anonymous, publicized, independent fraud hotline for employees, citizens and vendors to report suspected fraud, waste or abuse of public funds. A tip hotline is an effective and economical way of identifying such cases, especially in those instances where individuals may be apprehensive about coming forward.

Management's Corrective Action Plan:

Employees have been and will continue to be trained on proper operating procedures and the consequences of failing to follow said procedures, ethical responsibilities of City employees, and steps to take when knowledge of policy and procedure violations exist. Sales tax collection processing software will be purchased that will have built-in controls and audit trails of control overrides. Outside legal assistance is being obtained to assist with the large volume of-delinquents. Management is discussing the implementation of a tip hotline.

Monroe, Louisiana Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended April 30, 2009

09-02 INTERNAL CONTROLS AT THE CIVIC CENTER

Finding - Design of Internal Controls

Weaknesses in internal controls exist such that the design of controls does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect errors or irregularities on a timely basis. At the Civic Center internal controls are both written in the form of policies and procedures and unwritten where rules are passed on by word of mouth. Weaknesses found included:

- A. The City of Monroe requested that Monroe Police Department Investigative Division investigate possible illegal activity involving numerous employees at the Monroe Civic Center. His investigation centered on a Concert and the Crawfest and while evidence was insufficient for filing charges, he did note disorganization in the management of the Concert indicating the presence of weak internal controls.
- **B.** The segregation of duties appears to be limited with respect to the accounting clerk who collects funds, prepares deposits, takes deposits to the bank and prepares the journal entries.
- C. Written controls allow for cash refund for tickets of cancelled events regardless of how the ticket was paid for while unwritten controls specify that refunds are to be made in the form originally paid.
- **D.** No provisions exist in the written policy for restrictions placed on access to the combination of the safe or its physical accessibility. There are also no specified reconciliation procedures to ensure that safe inventory is accurate.

Recommendation:

We recommend that written internal controls be revised to include unwritten rules and provisions for: segregation of duties, reconciliations of tickets sold with deposits, timeliness of deposits, reconciliation of the safe, access restrictions to the safe and forms of payment permitted for refunds.

Management's Corrective Action Plan:

Written internal controls will be revised to include unwritten rules and provisions for: segregation of duties, reconciliations of tickets sold with deposits, timeliness of deposits, reconciliation of the safe, access restrictions to the safe and forms of payment permitted for refunds.

Finding - Design of Internal Controls

Weaknesses in internal controls exist such that the design of controls does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect errors or irregularities. At both the Civic Center and the Taxation and Revenue Division internal controls are both written in the form of policies and procedures and unwritten where rules are passed on by word of mouth. Policies and procedures over the sales tax revenue collected at the Civic Center is limited to the sale of novelty merchandise and only notes that the Civic Center is responsible for state and local sales tax collection and to the Taxation and Revenue Division with

a hand-written receipt being issued upon delivery. Weaknesses found included:

- A. Policies and procedures over the sales tax revenue collected at the Civic Center is limited to the sale of novelty merchandise and only notes that the Civic Center is responsible for state and local sales tax collection and delivery to the Taxation and Revenue Division with a handwritten receipt being issued upon delivery.
- B. Unwritten procedures dictate that the promoter collects the sales tax revenue from vendors too small to have previously applied for a sales tax vendor number. Those revenues are then turned in to the Civic Center who, in turn, submits them to the Taxation and Revenue Division. This occurs when the event is complete regardless of how many days the event may last.
- C. Once the revenues are submitted to the Taxation and Revenue Division, they are held until a monthly deposit is made and all of the revenues are lumped into one entry, regardless of which event/vendor they are associated with.

Recommendation:

We recommend that internal controls be established for the entire sales tax collection process for the Civic Center from the original sale of the merchandise through the deposit of the funds in the bank and its recording in the sales tax revenue system. Where applicable, this would include controls over the cash drawers and reconciliation of the receipts in the event folders with the revenue recognized in the sales tax revenue system corresponding to the event.

These controls would be comprised of written policies and procedures providing that every vendor selling merchandise at the Civic Center to be given one instruction sheet, one application for a sales tax number and one revenue collection sheet. The instruction sheet will note that they are to submit the application, revenue collection sheet and, when appropriate, sales tax collected in a lock box at the end of the event. In addition, the instructions will relate that their tax identification number and a receipt for sales tax will be mailed to them; if they have not received them within 30 days to contact the Taxation and Revenue division. These vendors should be registered as occasional filers.

The Civic Center will be responsible for safeguarding the lock box from the beginning of the event until funds are turned over to the Taxation and Revenue Division no later than ten a.m. on the next business day after the end of the event. The Civic Center will also be responsible for giving a complete list of all vendors to the Taxation and Revenue Division. The Taxation and Revenue Division will maintain possession of all keys to the lock box. Upon delivery of the funds to the Taxation and Revenue Division, the cashier will, along with another person, unlock the box, remove contents and return box to the Civic Center employee. The applications will be processed, revenue will be reconciled with the revenue collection sheets and logged into the computer, the monies will be readied for deposit, and receipts will be sent out to the new vendors noting their new tax identification number. The list of total vendors provided by the Civic Center should be compared to the actual vendors turning in funds. Two persons must always be present when the monies are counted.

We further suggest training employees on proper operating procedures and the consequences of failing to follow said procedures, ethical responsibilities of City employees, and steps to take when knowledge of policy and procedures violations exists.

Management's Corrective Action Plan:

All procedures at the Tax & Revenue Division are being reviewed. New sales tax collection software will be purchased within the next year that will hopefully accommodate event sales tax collections. A lock box system is planned for collecting taxes at Civic Center events involving multiple vendors, with the controls recommended as part of the process. Improvement in communications between the Civic Center and Tax & Revenue concerning such events will be a critical part of the process.

Finding - Operation of Internal Controls

Weaknesses in internal controls exist such that the operation of controls does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect irregularities. Weaknesses in internal control operations at the Civic Center included:

- A. Written controls state that "all cash received during the day is deposited" in the bank. Review of receipts indicated that deposits were held on occasion for extensive periods of time. For example, one deposit tested comprised ten Ticketmaster payments whose check dates ranged from 12 to 166 days prior to deposit.
- B. Controls dictate that reconciliation of events must occur upon completion of event. We reviewed two events and evidence indicates that reconciliation did not occur in either case. In addition, monies collected are greater than the documentation supports in both events.
- C. Written controls on cash handling dictate that ticket sellers must balance their drawer, have it verified by either the box office manager or seller supervisor, then the Ticket Seller Sheet is completed and signed. The two events we reviewed either did not have Ticket Seller Sheets available or they were not completed in the manner dictated. In addition, almost half (five of eleven) of the drawers reviewed did not balance.

Recommendation:

We recommend that funds be deposited in a timely manner, events be reconciled upon completion with audits of all events with revenues greater than a predetermined amount, random audits of all functions including concessions and ticket sales, and reconciliation of the safe on a regular basis. We further suggest training employees on proper operating procedures and the consequences of failing to follow said procedures, ethical responsibilities of City employees, and steps to take when knowledge of policy and procedures violations exists.

Management's Corrective Action Plan:

Funds will be deposited in a timely manner, events will be reconciled upon completion of event, and audits will be performed on events with revenues greater than a predetermined amount. Random audits will include all function at the Civic Center including concessions and ticket sales. Random audits are performed on the safe and other cash on hand at the Civic Center.

09-03 PARKS AND RECREATION DIVISION FEE SCHEDULE

Finding:

Article 7, Section 14 of the Louisiana Constitution prohibits, among other things, the donation of public funds. During the course of our audit, several instances of failure to collect fees indicated at Parks and Recreation Division locations came to our attention. We randomly tested 5 deposits that comprised a total of 48 individual program fund receipts turned in from various locations.

- A. We found the fee schedule failed to attain approval by ordinance as required by Section 2-11 A.(7) of the Charter for the City of Monroe adopted by the Monroe Charter Commission, August 1979: An act requiring an ordinance shall include but not be limited to those which: Regulate the rate or other charges for service by the City.
- B. The fee schedule for the recreation centers indicates that no fee is to be charged to those renting facilities for funeral purposes. As the City incurs costs to rent said facilities, not charging a fee sufficient to cover those costs would constitute a donation of public funds. In our audit testwork, we found eight rentals for funeral purposes at five different locations none of which were charged a fee. Based upon normal rental rates per fee schedule, this equates to \$525 in lost revenue in these eight instances.
- C. Policies and procedures dictate that tennis court usage fees for lessons be paid to the instructor, however, deposits tested failed to indicate where the instructor paid said fees to the City and sign in sheets for said deposits showed no fee charged in 228 cases (\$342 in lost revenue).
- D. We also discovered an instance where a religious organization was not charged a fee for three rentals based on the classification of the tent revival as a "charitable event". The fee for such events is designated by contract due to the large number of attendees expected (1,450) but normal rental fees indicate that at least \$262 in revenues would have been earned.
- E. Twelve additional cases (\$2,028) of charging fees less than the fee schedule were discovered in the deposits reviewed.

Recommendation:

We recommend that fee schedules be clarified to ensure that set fees are in place for all instances, that those fees are appropriate and cover the costs incurred by the City for said occasions, and that the schedules are approved by ordinance. In addition, department heads, division heads, supervisors and other employees should be instructed as to their responsibilities as City employees to safeguard City property and assets and of the consequences they could face if they are discovered having misappropriated, including failing to collect, any assets of the City.

Management's Corrective Action Plan:

The fee schedule will be updated to ensure that set fees are in place for all instances, that the fees are appropriate and over the costs incurred by the City for said occasions, and that the schedules are approved by ordinance. In addition, department heads, division heads, supervisors and other employees will be instructed as to their responsibilities as City employees to safeguard City

Monroe, Louisiana Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended April 30, 2009

property and assets and of the consequences they could face if they are discovered having misappropriated, including failing to collect, any assets of the City.

09-04 INTERNAL CONTROLS AT THE PARKS AND RECREATION DIVISION

Finding - Design of Internal Controls

Weaknesses in internal controls exist such that the design of controls does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect errors or irregularities on a timely basis. Internal controls at the Division of Parks and Recreation are both written in the form of policies and procedures and unwritten where rules are passed on by word of mouth. On occasion, these two forms have been found to be contradictory. Weaknesses found during our audit include:

- F. The Division of Parks and Recreation has various locations around the city most of which collect the fees for their facilities and programs. Per the written policies and procedures, these collections are then forwarded to the same individual that collects the shelter reservation fees for Chennault Park and Forsythe Park, prepares the deposit, takes the deposit to the bank and writes the journal entries indicating no segregation of duties for the handling of funds collected. However, verbal controls contradict this by indicating that a different individual takes the deposits to the bank.
- G. Pre-numbered receipts are utilized by each program but there is no control in place to issue these receipt books or to ensure reconciliation of the receipt books with the bank deposits. One instance was found where a receipt was issued for an amount different than what documentation indicates was received.
- H. Written controls fail to indicate how often some of the programs are to turn their deposits in to the central office while the unwritten policy indicates that all deposits are to be turned in weekly by Wednesday. Our audit found that deposits are often held longer than one week but it is indeterminable whether the monies are being held too long by the programs or the central office in these instances. Review of transactions summaries did indicate that the central office appears to be making weekly deposits.
- I. Types of payment permitted are noted in written controls for some programs but not for others. Unwritten policies indicate that there are forms of payment that are unallowable, for example, personal checks are not to be accepted at any location and boxing is only permitted to accept money orders.
- J. Deposits received are refundable dependent upon the cleanliness of the facilities after the rental but are recorded as revenue rather than a liability. When refunded, the deposit is charged against revenues resulting in misstatements to the financial statements.

Recommendation:

We recommend that written internal controls be revised to include unwritten rules and be consistent across locations. We further suggest that written internal controls include provisions for segregation of duties, control and issuance of receipt books, reconciliations of receipts with deposits, timeliness of deposits, reconciliation of the safe, and forms of payment permitted.

Monroe, Louisiana

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended April 30, 2009

Management's Corrective Action Plan:

Written internal controls will be updated to include unwritten rules and procedures, with controls being applied consistently at all locations. Controls will be written that include provisions for segregation of duties, reconciling and auditing receipt books, and clarification of the permissible forms of payments. Written procedures will also include deposit procedures, including the timeliness of deposits.

Finding - Operation of Internal Controls

Weaknesses in internal controls exist such that the operation of controls does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect irregularities. Weaknesses in operations of internal controls found in the course of our audit include:

- A. Deposits appear to be held for lengthy periods of time before deposit. Review of five random receipts indicated that deposits were held up to 59 days before placement in bank.
- B. Event rentals (29 of 70 reviewed) were found to have issues ranging from over and undercharging, receipts not issued, receipt for amount different from payment, waiver of fees, payments with personal check, and changing amount of non-refundable deposit required.
- C. Three of seven summer youth applications reviewed were found incomplete with either no application provided, no copies of money order, or payment with personal check.
- D. Issues found with four of the five sports enrollments included lack of documentation to justify charges and one occasion where cash was accepted which the employee then used to purchase a money order which was turned in for deposit.
- E. The only boxing deposit reviewed included payment with cash which unwritten policies stipulate is prohibited. The City of Monroe reflected boxing revenue of \$400 in the current year and \$460 in the prior year. However, fees required to participate in the program consist of a "\$25.00 monthly fee for non-competitive training" and "a yearly \$50.00 fee for registration with the USA Southern Boxing Association as a competitive boxer plus a \$10.00 monthly membership fee".

Recommendation:

We recommend that funds be deposited in a timely manner and deposits be randomly audited on a regular basis for adherence to controls. We further suggest training employees on proper operating procedures and the consequences of failing to follow said procedures, ethical responsibilities of City employees, and steps to take when knowledge of policy and procedures violations exists.

Management's Corrective Action Plan:

Funds will be deposited in a timely manner and deposits will be audited on a regular basis for adherence to controls. Employees will be trained on proper operating procedures and the consequences of failing to follow said procedures, ethical responsibilities of City employees, and steps to take when knowledge of policy and procedures violations exist.

Monroe, Louisiana Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended April 30, 2009

09-05 INTERNAL CONTROLS AT PRE-TRIAL DIVERSION PROGRAM

Finding - Design of Internal Controls

Weaknesses in internal controls exist such that the design of controls does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect errors or irregularities on a timely basis. In the case of the Pre-Trial Diversion Program written controls are minimal although there do appear to be unwritten controls in place. Program overview, steps and payment methodology were available in written form but more complete controls are not available.

- E. Provisions do not exist in the controls for reconciliation of the pre-numbered receipt books with the deposits. There are no controls in place for the issuance of receipt books by an independent party.
- F. Discrepancies appear to exist in the operation of the program in that the Director indicates that the Prosecutor sends individuals to the Pre-Trial Diversion program but when a list of those sent to the program was requested of the Prosecutor, we were told that the Prosecutor is not the one that sends individuals to the program.
- G. There is little segregation of duties in respect to the fact that the person that enrolls individuals into the program is also the individual that collects and records the fees for program participation.

Recommendation:

We recommend that written internal controls be implemented and include provisions for issuance of receipt books by an independent party, reconciliations of pre-numbered receipt books with deposits and reconciliation of individuals sent to the program with those that enroll in the program. We further recommend that the collection of fees be reassigned to a party independent of the program, notably the cashiers at the Fourth Street office, whereby they can send a payment report to the Director of the Pre-Trial Diversion Program daily.

Management's Corrective Action Plan:

Written internal controls will be implemented and include provisions for issuance of receipt books by an independent party, reconciliations of pre-numbered receipt books with deposits and reconciliation of individuals sent to the program with those that enroll in the program. Management will consider the collection of fees be reassigned to a party independent of the program, possibly at the Tax and Revenue office, whereby they can send a payment report to the Director of the Pre-Trial Diversion Program daily.

Finding – Operation of Internal Controls

Weaknesses in internal controls exist such that the operation of controls does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect irregularities. In the course of our audit, we found the following weaknesses in the operation of internal controls with respect to the Pre-Trial Diversion Program:

A. We interviewed the program director who indicated that deposits were to be made weekly. In our review of 30 individuals who entered the program during the year, none of the funds

Monroe, Louisiana Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended April 30, 2009

received were deposited within one weeks time. Funds were held for as long as 23 days before deposit.

- B. The pre-numbered receipt books indicated 481 receipts were issued for the year whereas the Fee Summary Report accounted for the issuance of 497 receipts.
- C. There were inconsistencies in the amount of fees paid and time spent in the program for the same offense.
- D. Review of the individual files indicates that program requirements outlined in the program descriptions are not being implemented including: requirements to enter program, counseling, education, employment, and community service.

Recommendation:

We recommend that funds be deposited in a timely manner, pre-numbered receipt books be reconciled with receipts on a regular basis, and that the program be operated in a manner consistent with the requirements and goals to ensure equal opportunity. We further suggest training employees on proper operating procedures and the consequences of failing to follow said procedures, ethical responsibilities of City employees, and steps to take when knowledge of policy and procedures violations exists.

Management's Corrective Action Plan:

Funds will be deposited in a timely manner, pre-numbered receipt books will be reconciled with receipts on a regular basis, and the program will be operated in a manner consistent with the requirements and goals to ensure equal opportunity. The City will provide training for employees on proper operating procedures and the consequences of failing to follow said procedures, ethical responsibilities of City employees, and steps to take when knowledge of policy and procedures violations exists.

Section III: There are no findings or questioned costs for Federal Awards, including those specified by OMB Circular A-133.

The following is a summary of the status of the prior year findings included in the Luffey, Huffman, Ragsdale & Soignier, (APAC) audit report and management letter dated October 31, 2008, covering the examination of the primary government financial statements of the City of Monroe (the City) as of and for the year ended April 30, 2008.

08-01 FUND RAISING ACTIVITIES

Finding:

The City of Monroe Police Department (MPD) provides an officer and a vehicle to support the O.K. (Our Kids) Program of Monroe (OK). It appears that OK is a non-profit organization. Article 7 Section 14 of the Louisiana State Constitution prohibits governments from distributing public funds to other organizations without demonstrating a direct benefit to the government itself. In order to indicate the benefits of supporting a local organization, a municipality should enter into a formal agreement, such as a cooperative endeavor agreement, between the parties involved. No such agreement exists between the City/MPD and OK. Therefore, the City may be in violation of the Louisiana State Constitution.

In addition, as per an agreement between the City and OK, net proceeds from the Bayou Black Open Rodeo held in August 2007 were to be split evenly between the two parties. The total net income resulting from the rodeo was \$11,547. However, OK only received a \$2,500 payment in December 2007. This would indicate that OK is still owed \$3,274 for its half of the rodeo's income.

Status:

A Cooperative Endeavor Agreement between the City of Monroe and the OK Program will be executed for all future events in which proceeds will be shared with the OK Program. This agreement will be kept in the Civic Center office event file cabinet and made available upon request.

08-02 PURCHASE OF IN-CAR VIDEO EQUIPMENT

Finding:

At the April 27, 2007 City Council meeting, the council awarded a bid for the purchase of in-car video systems for the police department. The bid was for a one-year contract with an option to extend the contract for two additional twelve-month periods for equipment supplied on an as needed basis. According to the bid tabulation sheet, the winning bid was the lowest of six bids received.

During the year ended April 30, 2008, the City purchased thirty-three of the systems in two transactions at a total of \$125,765. A purchase order was issued on May 14, 2008 for an additional fifteen systems. This equipment was purchased in July 2008 at a cost of \$57,165, the same unit price as the bid price. However, the third purchase of fifteen systems was purchased from a vendor other than the one that was awarded the bid.

Louisiana Revised Statute 38:2212.1 requires, in part, that the purchase of materials and supplies exceeding \$20,000 be let by contract to the lowest responsible bidder who has bid according to the specifications as advertised. Purchasing the systems from a company that did not submit a bid is a violation of the state bid law.

Status:

The City purchases all materials and supplies exceeding \$20,000 from the vendor awarded the bid in accordance with the state public bid law.

08-03 EMPLOYEES RENTAL OF CITY PROPERTY - ETHICS VIOLATION

Finding:

During the year ended April 30, 2008, the City rented the Monroe Civic Center to a certain employee for the purpose of revenue producing activities. In addition, immediately following our audit year, the City again rented the Civic Center to a company owned by an employee and represented by the employee's spouse, again for revenue producing activities. Louisiana Revised Statute 42:1112A specifically prohibits public servants from participating in events in which they have a personal, substantial economic interest, involving the governmental entity of which they are agents. The aforementioned rentals constitute violations of the Louisiana Code of Governmental Ethics.

Status:

The Civic Center Management is now aware of LA Revised Statute 42: 1112 (A) and will not knowingly enter into any contract with a Civic Center employee or an immediate relative of a Civic Center employee.

08-04 CIVIC CENTER TICKET ACCOUNTING

Finding:

Initial ticket stub counts for the Bayou Black Open Rodeo event held on August 25, 2007, indicated 4,402 regular and 107 complimentary (4,509 in total) tickets collected at the door. Reports created by the Ticketmaster ticket generating program indicated sales of 3,273 regular tickets and 135 complimentary tickets for a total of 3,408 tickets distributed. This would indicate 1,101 more people attended the event than tickets sold or complimentary tickets available per the Ticketmaster audit report. After inquiry from the City's Internal Auditor, Monroe Civic Center employees recounted the ticket stubs. The recount indicated 3,200 regular tickets and 176 complimentary (3,376 total) tickets collected. While the recount does indicate fewer tickets collected than were sold, it also indicates the collection of more complimentary tickets than were properly issued. The failure to compare ticket collections to tickets sold and investigate any unusual variances is a breakdown in the control process for events.

Status:

The Civic Center now has a Ticketmaster Ticket Scanning System and tickets are scanned and recorded electronically which eliminates tearing tickets and counting stubs. A scanning sheet can be printed which shows the number of tickets scanned at the doors. The Ticketmaster system audit shows the number of tickets sold at each price level and the complimentary tickets issued. Ticket audit sheets and scanner sheets are checked after each event and provided to the promoter. Copies of the audit and scanner sheets are included in the event file.

08-05 CIVIC CENTER CONCESSIONS

Finding:

Standard procedure for concession sales at events held at the Monroe Civic Center calls for the Concession Manager to obtain change funds from the Civic Center bank account in \$500 increments. The change funds are to be returned at the end of each day along with the day's collections and a revenue report supporting the amount remitted. However, at a Boat Show held from January 24 – 26, 2008, \$1,000 (representing 2 change funds) was withheld from the prior day's sales in lieu of depositing and reacquiring the change funds. At the end of the event, revenue reports for concession sales seemed to indicate a \$1,000 shortage between sales and amounts deposited. However, due to failure to follow procedure, there is a bit of ambiguity concerning the accuracy of the revenue reports and therefore, we could not determine if this was a bookkeeping error or a misappropriation of assets. The Civic Center has no procedures in place to reconcile proceeds from concessions with amounts deposited or compare sales to amounts of inventory consumed. This represents a deficiency in control procedures concerning sales and inventories at the Civic Center.

Status:

Civic Center Concessions personnel are following the recommendations of the auditors.

08-06 CIVIC CENTER EVENT PROMOTION AND CONTRACTUAL ISSUES

Finding:

A concert was held on October 17, 2007, which was co-promoted by the City and Solo Entertainment, LLC (Solo). The City established a contract with Solo (Solo contract) and its representative on July 23, 2007. The terms of this contract stated that the City would pay one-half, \$27,500, of the artist's appearance fee as an advance deposit with Solo reimbursing the City at the conclusion of the show. This payment was made by the City on July 23, 2007 by electronic transfer. The Solo contract, also stated that Solo would be responsible for providing all accommodations for the artist, additional lighting and sound equipment that may be necessary, advertising and promotion, facility rental, box office fees, security and additional manpower, occupational license fee, and any balance due to the artist. The Solo contract states that if the City were to incur these costs, the entire amount would be charged back to Solo with the addition of related coordination fees. On the day of the event the City of Monroe cashed a \$27,500 check and paid the remaining one-half (\$27,500) of the appearance fee to the artist in cash before the

performance began. Internal Revenue Code requires a Form 1099 be issued to any individual, organization or company, that is not a corporation, if payments are made in a calendar year of \$600 or more. A Form 1099 was not issued by the City to the artist for \$27,500.

There was also a contract (Multi contract) between the artist's agent, Multi Entertainment Group, Inc. (Multi) and Solo/the City as co-promoters. The signed contract was not included in the event folder. This contract was filed in the accounting department with supporting documentation for the check request.

Also associated with this event, the City paid \$2,500 to secure the concert date to an individual not named in either contract or as a representative of Solo

The City incurred all costs associated with holding the event. No representative for Solo was available after the event for settlement. In total, the event resulted in a net loss of approximately \$39,000. Of this amount, Solo owes the City approximately \$37,000. As of April 30, 2008 this amount was still unpaid.

The failure to obtain a signed contract for which the City is liable for performance demonstrates a lack of controls, which could result in numerous liabilities and possible losses to the City. The payment of fees to an unidentified third party demonstrates a deficiency in oversight and control procedures which could lead to the misappropriation of assets.

Status:

The City of Monroe does not promote or co-promote many entertainment events. On occasion, in order to bring entertainment events to the Civic Center or other City facilities, the City has promoted events. Before engaging in promoting or co-promoting any future events for the City of Monroe the Civic Center management will insure that approval from the Department of Community Affairs and the City Administration is obtained in writing and placed in all files and that all procedures are properly followed, documented, and placed in the event file.

08-07 CIVIC CENTER COMPENSATORY TIME

Finding:

City of Monroe policy requires that each time an employee earns compensatory (comp) time the accrual must be approved by supervisory personnel. The comp time log sheets used by Monroe Civic Center employees and for the City's 2007 Delta Fest event state this fact on the face of the sheet. However, a review of five separate log sheets indicated that each page of the time log had one approval signature at the bottom of the page.

Also, time logs used for Delta Fest indicate that three employees met for three hours each and every Tuesday and Thursday during the 2007 calendar year, until the date of the event. This is not possible as two of the three employees recorded comp time accruals at separate events held at the same time on four separate occasions. In addition, on three occasions, employees recorded

comp time accruals on days when one or more of them were on leave.

In addition, each entry on the Delta Fest log sheets for the previously mentioned employees indicated three hours worked resulting in five and one-half hours of comp time earned. City policy states that comp time is to be accrued at one and one-half times the actual hours worked. This would indicate that the employees would have earned four and one-half hours of comp time each day instead of the five and one-half hours that were recorded. Also, log sheets for one employee covered duplicate periods with one sheet including a week that was excluded from the other sheet.

Comp time records are maintained by each department and are not incorporated into the City's payroll system. In a memo to the Director of Community Affairs dated October 17, 2007, the Timekeeper for the Civic Center stated that until September 5, 2007 she had not entered any comp time for one of the previously mentioned employees since December 16, 2006 as she had not received any documentation for comp time accrual since that date. She went on to state that one of the previously mentioned employees instructed her to accrue 200 hours of comp time related to Delta Fest for himself and one of the other previously mentioned employees. No documentation was offered to support this accrual. The Timekeeper entered 200 hours into the system which resulted in an accrual of 300 (one and one-half times 200) hours of comp time. This accrual was later deleted from the system.

On September 12, 2007, the Director of Community Affairs approved payment for 120 comp time hours to all three employees under the premise that they were denied time off due to the requirements of their jobs. Payments for these amounts were made on November 2, 2007. No time, however, was deducted from the employees' comp time records to reflect the payment of these amounts. In a related matter, one of the previously mentioned employees received payment for comp time taken on at least two days which were also City holidays.

The facts presented above, along with the overall appearance of the forms, seem to indicate that all entries on the log sheets were filled out at the same time. This could be construed as falsifying public records, payroll fraud and/or malfeasance in office. In addition, the failure to obtain supervisory approval for comp time accruals, the recording of accrued comp time without supporting documentation, the payment for non-allowable compensatory time, and the failure to properly adjust comp time logs demonstrate a break down in the control and monitoring procedures related to the accurate recording of comp time.

Status:

The Civic Center is using the standard forms and following the procedures for the accrual, approval, and recording of comp time. Comp time will be entered into the payroll system in a timely manner but only with supporting documentation.

08-08 RECORDING OF COMPENSATORY TIME

Finding:

During the course of our examination of compensatory time payments we noted that three employees were paid for 120 hours of leave which had been denied. In examining the time log listings of hours earned and used we noted that no entry had been posted for the accrual or use of the time for which these employees were paid. We then requested another listing and noticed that, originally, 300 hours were accrued for two employees and 262.50 hours for another employee. None of these accrued hours that had been posted on the original listing were on the second listing. We learned that, through a miscommunication, the timekeepers for these employees' department had deleted the original accruals, when they were told that the employees had been paid, instead of recording 120 hours used by each employee. In the resulting report, it appears that neither the accrual nor the payment ever happened. The fact that the system allows for entries to be deleted as these were indicates a control deficiency. Any use or payment of compensatory time should be entered as a separate entry showing the number of hours to be posted to the employees' records.

Status:

Compensatory time is now incorporated into the City's payroll system.

08-09 ENERGY EFFICIENCY CONTRACT

Finding:

Louisiana Revised Statue (R.S.) 33:4547.1.A provides, in part, that any political subdivision may enter into an energy efficiency contract for services and equipment. R.S. 33:4557.1.B requires that the payment obligation of the entity for each year be either set as a percentage of the annual energy cost savings attributable to the services or equipment under the contract guaranteed by the contractor to be less than the annual energy cost savings attributable to the services or equipment under the contract.

R.S. 33:4547.3 requires that when calculating "annual energy cost savings attributable to the services or equipment", maintenance savings be included. Maintenance savings means operating expenses eliminated and future capital replacement expenditures avoided as a result of new equipment installed or services performed by the contractor.

The City of Monroe entered into such an energy efficiency contract in 2003 and the contract was subsequently amended twice in 2004. The contract provides for Measured and Verified Energy Savings of approximately \$2,646,000. However there is no guaranteed operational and maintenance savings provided for in the contract and there is no requirement that the contractor reimburse the City for any deficiencies if actual operational and maintenance savings are not achieved. Instead, the contract contains an "Operational and LED Traffic Light Stipulated Savings" provision. This provision provides that "The Customer (City of Monroe) and the ESCO (Energy Service Company) agree to stipulate that the Operational and LED Traffic Light

Stipulated Savings will be deemed to be achieved upon execution of this Agreement. Neither the Customer nor the ESCO will have any right to object to the use of such amounts as the Operational and LED Traffic Light Stipulated Savings in the calculation of Actual Annual Savings". The stipulated savings totaled approximately \$4,731,000

Because the contract does not require the Operational and LED Traffic Light savings to be measured and verified and does not provide for a guarantee if actual savings are not met, the contract is not in compliance with state law relating to energy efficiency contracts.

In opinion number 07-0002, the Louisiana Attorney General opined that because the contractor is not required to measure or verify the achievement of the stipulated savings and the contractor is not obligated to pay an amount equal to the deficiency if the stipulated savings are not met, the contract does not meet the statutory definition of a performance based energy contract.

In addition, in a lawsuit filed by the contractor against a parish school board in the 18th Judicial District Court, the court found that the contract was invalid because stipulated savings do not satisfy the statutory requirement of a guarantee for operation and maintenance savings. The contractor's requests for review and reversal by the First Circuit Court of Appeal and the Supreme Court were rejected, effectively ending all of the contractor's challenges.

Status:

The City's legal department is working on an amended contract with ESCO that meets the Legislative Auditor's approval.

MANAGEMENT LETTER:

GIFTS FROM VENDORS - ETHICS VIOLATION

Finding:

A vendor doing business with the City of Monroe, apparently gave gift certificates which could be used to purchase merchandise from the vendor's catalog to some employees of the City and some to be used as door prizes for social events attended by City employees. The representative of the vendor informed us that he did not know how many of the certificates he gave but said that it was just a few. The value of the gift certificates is approximately \$50 each.

Also, during the year, the City and some of its departments held social events such as picnics, parties, etc. for its employees similar to the ones mentioned above. Because the Louisiana Constitution, prohibits the City from paying for such events with public funds, donations such as food, merchandise and/or money was received from vendors to facilitate these events. During the year ended April 30, 2008, the City received approximately \$10,200 of such donations. This too would appear to be a violation of R.S. 42:1115 (A).

Status:

The City no longer solicits or accepts gifts or donations from vendors.

HANDLING OF MEMBERSHIP FEES

Finding:

There are several deficiencies in the control procedures associated with these transactions. First, while it is somewhat mitigated by the distribution of multiple copies of the receipt, the forwarding of the funds and paperwork to the Administrative Assistant appears to be an unnecessary step. This additional step offers more opportunities for the loss or mishandling of funds. Secondly, the failure to reconcile the membership roster to fees collected is a deficiency in the control process which would serve to verify the proper handling of funds at each step of the process. Finally, the failure to require proof of membership in order to use the facilities offers an opportunity for individuals to use the facilities without compensation to the City.

Status:

While steps have been taken to implement the auditor's recommendations, the process is still not complete. See finding numbers 09-02 and 09-03 for additional recommendations.

Luffey, Huffman, Ragsdale & Soignier

John L. Luffey, MBA, CPA (1963-2002) Francis I. Huffman, CPA Philip A. Ragsdale, CPA David Ray Soignier, CPA, MBA

(A Professional Accounting Corporation)
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

John Herman, CPA Lynn Andries, CPA Esther Atteberry, CPA Sandra Harrington, CPA

Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to the Passenger Facility Charge Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance

City of Monroe Monroe, Louisiana

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Monroe (the City) with the compliance requirements described in the Passenger Facility Charge Audit Guide for Public Agencies, issued by the Federal Aviation Administration (Guide), for its passenger facility charge program for the year ended April 30, 2009. Compliance with the requirement of laws and regulations applicable to its passenger facility charge program is the responsibility of the City's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guide. Those standards and the Guide require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the passenger facility charge program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to its passenger facility charge program for the year ended April 30, 2009.

City of Monroe Monroe, Louisiana

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws and regulations applicable to the passenger facility charge program. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the passenger facility charge program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on the internal control compliance in accordance with the Guide.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable laws and regulations that would be material in relation to the passenger facility charge program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended for the information and use of the City's management, and the Federal Aviation Administration and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, under Louisiana Revised Statute 24:513, this report is distributed by the Legislative Auditor as a public document.

Huffing Huffman Royalale, & Signiae

(A Professional Accounting Corporation)

October 30, 2009

PFC Revenue and Disbursement Schedule Monroe Regional Airport For the Year Ended April 30, 2009

| | <u>r-(</u> | FY-08 Program Total | Quarter 1 May-July | Quarter 2 Aug-Oct | Quarter 3 Nov-Jan | Quarter 4 Feb-Apr | ا + | FY-09 Total | Pro | FY-09 Program Total |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------|----------------|-----------|------------------------|
| Revenue Collections | 49 | 2,026,973 \$ | • | , 69 | \$ 29,267 | \$ 71,474 | 4 % | 100,741 | 49 | 2,127,714 |
| Interest, net of service charges | | 34,435 | 4,280 | 4,187 | 2,662 | 1,164 | 4 | 12,293 | | 46,728 |
| Total Revenue, net of service charges | l | 2,061,408 | 4,280 | 4,187 | 31,929 | 72,638 | ∞ | 113,034 | | 2,174,442 |
| Disbursements | | | | | | | | | | |
| Application 03-01-C-00-MLU-001 | | 503,829 | ı | • | 505 | | | 505 | | 504,334 |
| Application 03-01-C-00-MLU-002 | | 40,700 | t | | ı | | | • | | 40,700 |
| Application 03-01-C-00-MLU-003 | | 350,477 | • | 50,548 | • | | , | 50,548 | | 401,025 |
| Application 06-02-C-00-MLU-001 | | 413,444 | ı | • | • | | | • | | 413,444 |
| Application 08-03-C-00-MLU-001 | | 23,529 | t | • | 12,959 | 34,044 | 4 | 47,003 | | 70,532 |
| Application 08-03-C-00-MLU-002 | | 1 | | t | 33,156 | 24,708 | 80 | 57,864 | | 57,864 |
| | l | | | | | | i i | | | |
| Total Disbursements | | 1,331,979 | 5 | 50,548 | 46,620 | 58,752 | ا ادم | 155,920 | | 1,487,899 |
| Net PFC Revenue | 1 | 729,429 | 4,280 | (46,361) | (14,691) | 13,886 | اد | (42,886) | | 686,543 |
| PFC Account Balance (cash basis) | 69 | 729,429 \$ | 733,709 | \$ 687,348 \$ | \$ 672,657 \$ | \$ 686,543 | | 686,543 | \$ | 686,543 |