# AMITE RIVER BASIN DRAINAGE AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA

Financial Report As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With comparative information for 2016)

## AMITE RIVER BASIN DRAINAGE AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT Financial Report As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2017

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Page No.

Introductory Section	
Title Page	i
Table of Contents	ii
Financial Section	
Independent Auditors' Report	2
Required Supplementary Information (Part 1 of 2)	_
Management's Discussion and Analysis	6
Basic Financial Statements	
Basic Financial Statements:	
Government-Wide Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Position	17
Statement of Activities	18
Fund Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheet	20
Reconciliation of the Governmental Fund Balance Sheets to the	
Government-Wide Statements of Net Position	22
Statements of Revenue, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	23
Reconciliation of the Governmental Fund Statements of Revenues,	
Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances to the	
Statement of Activities	25
Notes to Financial Statements	
Index	27
Notes	28
Required Supplementary Information (Part 2 of 2)	
Budgetary Comparison Schedule	48
Schedule of Employer's Share of Net Pension Liability	49
Supplementary Information	
Schedule of Per Diem Paid to Board Members	52
Schedule of Professional Service Payments	53
Schedule of Compensation, Benefits and Other Payments	
to the Agency Head or Chief Executive Officer	54

## AMITE RIVER BASIN DRAINAGE AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT Financial Report As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2017

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Page No.

.

## Other Reports

Report on Compliance and Other Matters and on Internal Control	
over Financial Reporting Based on an Audit of Financial Statements	
Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	55
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	57
Summary Schedule of Prior Year Findings	58
Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures	59

.

# **FINANCIAL SECTION**

2178 Myrtle Avenue Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70806 Office (225) 615-7844 Fax (225) 344-5439 jlmckowen@cox.net

## **INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT**

Board of Commissioners Amite River Basin Drainage and Water Conservation District Baton Rouge, Louisiana

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

I have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Amite River Basin Drainage and Water Conservation District (the District), a related organization of the State of Louisiana, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

My responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on my audit. I conducted my audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that I plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, I express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

#### Member

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Society of Louisiana Certified Public Accountants I believe that the audit evidence I have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my audit opinions.

## Opinion

In my opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Amite River Basin Drainage and Water Conservation District as of June 30, 2017, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## **Other Matters**

## **Required Supplementary Information**

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that management's discussion and analysis on pages 6-15, budgetary comparison information on page 48 and schedule of employer's net pension liability on page 49 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not part of the basic financial statements is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who consider it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. I have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to my inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge I obtained during my audit of the basic financial statements.

I do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide me with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

## Other Information

My audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The Schedule of Per Diem Paid to Commission Members, Schedule of Professional Service Payments and Schedule of Compensation, Benefits and Other Payments to the Agency Head or Chief Executive Officer on pages 52-54 are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not part of the basic financial statements.

The Schedule of Per Diem Paid to Commission Members, Schedule of Professional Service Payments and Schedule of Compensation, Benefits and Other Payments to the Agency Head or Chief Executive Officer are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements .Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In my opinion, the information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

## Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, I have also issued my report dated December 15, 2017, on my consideration of the Amite River Basin Drainage and Water Conservation District's internal control over financial reporting and on my tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of my testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Amite River Basin Drainage and Water Conservation District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

#### **Report on Summarized Comparative Information**

I have previously audited the Amite River Basin Drainage and Water Conservation District's 2016 financial statements, and expressed an unmodified opinion on those audited financial statements in my report dated December 9, 2016. In my opinion, the summarized comparative information presented herein as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016 is consistent, in all material respects, with the audited financial statements from which it has been derived.

Son X. M. Worn, CPA

John L. McKowen, CPA

Baton Rouge, Louisiana December 15, 2017

# REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (PART 1 OF 2)

The purpose of this section is to offer management's discussion and analysis of the Amite River Basin Drainage and Water Conservation District of the State of Louisiana's (hereafter referred to as the District) financial performance during the year ended June 30, 2017. It should be read in conjunction with the financial report taken as a whole. The District was created by Act of Legislature in 1981 for the purpose of addressing regional flooding problems in the Amite River Basin.

### Highlights of the District as a Whole

## • History

The Amite River and its tributary the Comite River are the major causes of catastrophic flooding in the Baton Rouge Metropolitan Area. The flood of record occurred in 1983 when a substantial portion of East Baton Rouge, Livingston and Ascension Parishes were inundated. This precipitated an interest and action on the part of federal, state and local authorities to find solutions to this problem. It was recognized that major floods caused by the Amite and Comite Rivers could not be solved locally and that it required a basin wide/regional solution. This resulted in a series of studies by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) under the congressionally approved Amite River & Tributaries Study Authority, and the Comite River Diversion Canal Project evolved through this process.

## • Scope

One project evolved through this planning process and was authorized for construction by Congress. The authorized Project provided for the construction of a 12 mile long diversion channel from the Comite River to the Mississippi River located between the Cities of Baker and Zachary.

## • Purpose

The purpose of the Comite River Diversion Canal Project is to divert flood waters from the Upper Comite River to the Mississippi River. At its maximum capacity the project will divert 20,000 cubic feet per second by gravity flow. This represents approximately 50% of the flood waters from the upper Comite River, substantially reducing flood stages along the lower Comite and Amite River.

#### • Sponsors

 Federal: US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)
Non-Federal: State: Louisiana Department of Transportation & Development (DOTD)
Local: Amite River Basin Drainage and Water Conservation District (THE DISTRICT)
City/Parish of East Baton Rouge

The sponsors entered into a Project Cooperation Agreement ("PCA") in October of 2001. Initially, DOTD was responsible for the acquisition of all Lands, Easements, Right of way, Relocations and Disposal Areas ("LERRD's"). In addition, the non-federal sponsor is responsible to fund 5% of the total construction costs of the Project. East Baton Rouge Parish is responsible for the maintenance of the Canal once it is completed. The District, as a member of the Project Coordinating Team, participates in the Project management and is also responsible for development of floodplain management and reporting to the citizens concerning this issue.

In July 2001, the District entered into a Cooperative Endeavor Agreement ("CEA") with DOTD to advance a minimum of \$6,000,000 over a ten-year period. The CEA further provided that the District would not pledge any of the tax revenues for any purpose other than a portion of the cost for lands, easements, right-of-ways, relocations and disposal areas (LERRD's) and costs for the operation of the commission. At the time, the District estimated approximately \$8 million of total tax revenues would be collected over a ten-year period. A portion of the tax revenues is used to support the District's mission concerning the administration of the Project. In 2002, DOTD and the District agreed to further modify the agreement so that the District, rather than DOTD, would take title to all property. In 2005, THE DISTRICT and DOTD amended the CEA to allow for the District tax funds in excess of \$6,000,000 to be transferred to DOTD for LERRD'S acquisitions at the sole discretion of the District.

On September 18, 2012, DOTD and the District entered into a Second Amended Cooperative Endeavor Agreement. The Second Amended CEA establishes the terms and responsibilities through which LA DOTD and the District shall acquire all LERRDs, as may be determined by Government to be necessary for construction, operation and maintenance of the Project, as directed by the PCA. The District shall contract and retain a professional services contract through a Request for Qualifications to perform certain services including Right of Entry, GIS Mapping, Surveys, Title Take Off, Title Reports, Title Updates, Title Opinions and/or Title Policies, Appraisals, Appraisal Reviews, and Right of Way Acquisitions and Relocations. It will be a joint responsibility of DOTD and the District to fulfill the LERRDs requirements of the Project in accordance with USACE standards and procedures. Based upon present funds available, DOTD and the District shall each be liable for its obligation under this Agreement for 50% of LERRDs. Said percentage will be re-evaluated each fiscal year based upon available funds. Each party shall be responsible for their own administrative costs.

On November 3, 2014, DOTD and the District entered into a Third Amended Cooperative Endeavor Agreement. The Third Amended CEA limits the District's responsibilities for the Project. It also mandates that the District reimburse DOTD for 50% of the cost of all activities to acquire LERRDS. The amended CEA transfers responsibility from the District to DOTD for certain services including Right-of-Entry, Mapping, Surveys, Title Take-Off, Title Reports, Title Updates, obtainment of Appraisal Reports and Appraisal Reviews, Negotiations with all property owners, and Relocations.

#### • Project Cost:

In 2000, the total project cost estimate was \$152,000,000. The present total cost estimate according to the USACE is in excess of \$245,000,000. The non-federal sponsors must acquire all property necessary for the USACE to construct the Canal.

#### • Source of Funds:

Federal: US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). Federal funds are obtained through appropriations through Congress. The determination as to whether funds for this Project are included in the federal budget is based upon the Benefit/Cost ("B/C") Ratio. Funding will be dependent upon available federal funds. Federal funds are used for construction only. No federal funds are used for lands, easements, right-of-ways, relocations and disposal areas, and other pre-construction costs. (LERRD's)

Non-Federal Funds: The non-federal sponsors for construction of the project are the DOTD and the District. State funds are obtained through annual appropriations through the State Capital Outlay Program and the District funds are obtained through an Ad-Valorem tax of properties located within the benefit area of the project (Taxing District). In July of 2000 the citizens of the Taxing District approved a 10-year, 3 mill Ad-Valorem tax to provide for a portion of the non-federal match. In October of 2010 the citizens of the Taxing District voted to renew a 10 year, 2.65 mills drainage tax for the purpose of providing revenues to carry out the District's local share of the Comite Diversion Canal Project. The estimated revenue from the present millage is \$2.4 million a year.

### • Construction Progress to Date

Construction of the Lilly Bayou Control Structure and the 1-mile pilot channel has been completed. This is the largest structure of the project. The total costs are in excess of \$30 million. In 2011, the non-federal sponsors terminated their agreement with the USACE to acquire LERRD's for the Project. At the time of the termination of this agreement, the USACE had only acquired 75 acres for mitigation.

USACE continues with design for the Bayou Baton Rouge Drop Structure. A soil boring task order for Highway 19 is in the works. ARBC is committed to make an "in kind" contribution for the utility relocations to allow the construction of the KCS Railroad Bridge and the US Hwy 61 Bridge.

Construction of Functional Element #1 is yet to be complete. If the construction could reach Bayou Baton Rouge, the Project would be operational and provide some relief for Baker, Zachary and Central. The Project Partners have approximately \$33.2 million on hand for the construction of Functional Element #1 (ARBC \$11.5 million, DOTD \$15 million, and USACE \$6.7 million). The estimated cost to construct the Railroad Bridge, U.S. Highway 61 bridges and relocate the utilities is approximately \$45 million. While there are presently not sufficient funds on hand to fully complete this construction, the Project Partners plan to enter into a Memorandum of Agreement ("MOA") authorizing USACE to proceed with construction. DOTD and ARBC will turn over their available funds for the construction. The Partners believe that the additional funds needed for this portion of construction will be available in next year's DOTD Capital Outlay funds, ARBC tax collections and federal funds to complete the work.

#### • Mitigation

On October 1, 2001, a Project Cooperative Agreement (PCA) was signed between the sponsors to begin the construction of the Project. Under the PCA, DOTD, as the non-federal participant, is obligated to fund the purchase of all lands necessary for the construction of the canal including all mitigation lands. In 2002, USACE, the District and DOTD entered into an agreement to have the District hold title to the property rather than DOTD. This was done due to statutory limitations of DOTD to acquire property in its name for the canal. This agreement was signed between DOTD and the District, whereby the District has taken on the responsibility of acquiring all canal and mitigation lands. The ability of THE DISTRICT to purchase mitigation land is restricted to only those lands as defined within the area designated in the Environmental Assessment. The original Environmental Assessment report determined that approximately 1770 acres must be purchased out of a defined possible mitigation area of 2400 acres set forth in the report.

During the 2010 Regular Louisiana Legislative Session, Act 734 was passed. Act 734 prohibits the District's use of expropriation of private property for the purpose of compensatory mitigation of wetlands or other natural habitat, and thereby restricts the ability of the District to acquire property for mitigation. The District has contacted all property owners in the designated mitigation area to determine the universe of possible willing sellers. As of March 12, 2012, only 66 property owners representing 586 acres had responded to the District's letters.

The District proposed a legislative amendment, which was passed, which now allows expropriation for mitigation where at least seventy-five percent of the owners have voluntarily offered the property, or property where the record or apparent owner has voluntarily offered the property but does not have clear title. La. R.S. 49: 214.6.3.

The District worked actively to acquire mitigation property along the Comite River and the McHugh Swamp area, and Profit Island. Letters of interest have been sent to all property owners in the McHugh Swamp area.

In 2015, DOTD and the District purchased and the USACE acquired 218.47 Annual Average Habitat Units (AAHUs) for mitigation purposes for approximately \$8,289,130.00. These AAHUs satisfy the requirements for the construction of Lilly Bayou and the US Hwy 61 and KCS Bridge features.

#### • Pending Matters

Utility relocations along US HWY 61 and pipeline permitting are in the work.

The District has requested that USACE allow the District to make in-kind contributions to advance construction.

The District has requested to amend the current cost share provision in the CEA in place with DOTD in order to determine cost share on an annual basis dependent on funds in hand at such time.

#### Activities of the Commission

1. DOTD and the District canceled the agreement with USACE. (Originally, USACE contracted to perform all of the functions of acquisition of property on behalf of DOTD.)

2. The District agrees to take on the responsibility to acquire property for canal and mitigation. DOTD and the District amended the CEA to reflect this additional role modification.

3. The District entered into contract with BKI, Commerce Title and GCR to facilitate the acquisition of property for the canal.

4. The District met with the congressional delegation and USACE HQ staff to discuss a new environmental assessment and resumption of construction.

5. The District secured Senator Vitter's support for a new EA for the mitigation areas. Thus, USACE conducted the new EA.

6. The District and its contractors secured Right of Entry (ROE) from all property owners in the new EA mitigation sites.

7. The District and its contractors assisted USACE in obtaining information on property owners and possible mitigation sites.

8. The District staff and contractor participated in PDT meetings with the USACE to discuss progress of all issues.

9. DOTD contracted to assist in the acquisition of property. DOTD identified 17 properties that could be acquired in advance of final taking lines.

10. At the time of the termination of the DOTD agreement with the USACE, several properties were ready for purchase. The District acquired Tracts 479, 473 & 476 in the name of the District.

11. The District and its contractors continue identifying additional possible mitigation sites.

12. The District counsel sought modification of Act 734, 2010 regular session. The Legislature amended the law to allow the use of expropriation from willing sellers when the property is subject to title issues or missing heirs.

13. The District issued bids for a hunting lease and awarded the hunting lease for Lilly Bayou Drop Structure property.

14. The District's counsel obtained legislative approval for the issuance of rules to allow for the formal expropriation and/or acquisition of property.

15. The District secured permanent access to the Lilly Bayou Drop Structure from Irene Road.

16. Because of the extensive amount of property now owned by the District, a ground maintenance program was developed, and a contract for grass cutting landscape maintenance was executed.

17. The District executed a contract for the purchase of borrow and fill material located at the Lilly Bayou Borrow Site.

18. The District obtained an extension of the crossing agreement with KCS Crossing in order to access the Lily Bayou site.

19.. USACE has continued to provide engineering services for the Brooks Lake Closure for possible construction. USACE provides engineering service for the Highway 61 bridge and railroad bridge.

20.. The District and DOTD have acquired Tracts 1, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15, 16, 17, 42, 44, and 46 through the advance acquisition program. These properties will be necessary for the construction of Phase 1 of the project.

21. The District and DOTD purchased 218.47 Annual Average Habitat Units (AAHUs) for mitigation purposes.

22. The District purchased Tracts Number 44, Tract No. 46, and Tract 42.

23. The District succeeding in placing the Project back into the Capital Outlay Program for FY 2016-17.

24. The District purchased Parcels 1 and 3 of Advanced Acquisition Tract 18.

25. The District submitted a request to the Congressional Delegation for funding to complete the Comite River Diversion Project, State Project No. H.007811

26. The District purchased Tract M3-115.

27. The District purchased Tract 39.

28. The District conducted a technical workshop at LSU to discuss developing a hydrological model for the Basin.

29. The District issued bids for hunting and/or grazing leases and awarded the leases for Tract M3-80, Tract 41, and Tract M3-115.

**Overview of the Financial Statement Presentation.** These financial statements are comprised of three components -(1) government-wide financial statements, (2) fund financial statements and (3) notes to the financial statements. There is also other supplemental information contained in this report provided for additional information.

**Government-wide Financial Statements.** The government-wide financial statements present financial information for all activities of the District from an economic resource measurement focus using the accrual basis of accounting. These provide both short-term and long-term information about the District's overall financial status. They include a statement of net assets and statement of activities.

Statement of Net Position. This statement presents assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources separately. The difference between assets plus deferred outflows and liabilities and deferred inflows is net position, which may provide a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the District is improving or not.

Statement of Activities. This statement presents information showing how the District's net position changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. This statement is designed to show the District's financial reliance on general revenues.

The government-wide financial statements can be found on pages 17-18 of this report.

**Fund Financial Statements.** A fund is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The District uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. The District has only one category of funds: governmental funds.

*Governmental Funds.* Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements except that the focus with fund statements is to provide a distinct view of the District's governmental funds only. These statements report short-term fiscal accountability emphasizing the use of spendable resources during the year and balances of spendable resources available at the end of the year.

Because the view of governmental funds is short-term and the view of the government-wide financial statements is long-term, it is useful to compare these two perspectives. Both the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances provides reconciliation to the government-wide statements to assist in understanding the differences between the two viewpoints.

Governmental funds of the District include a general fund, and a capital projects fund. The fund financial statements begin on page 20.

Budgetary comparison schedules are included for governmental funds under required supplemental information – part 2 of 2. These schedules indicate the District's compliance with its adopted and final revised budgets and begin on page 48.

Notes to the Financial Statements. The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements.

The index of the notes is found on page 27 with the actual notes beginning immediately afterwards.

**Other Information.** In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents certain other information that is deemed useful to readers of this report.

#### **Financial Analysis of the District**

Net assets are an indicator of the District's financial position from year to year. A summary of net assets follows.

A	<u>2017</u>	<u>2016</u>
Assets	0.005.101	<b>A</b> 071 070
Current assets	\$ 925,101	\$ 871,860
Restricted assets	13,732,933	13,544,655
Capital assets, net	<u>21,565,492</u>	<u>17,633,354</u>
Total Assets	36,223,526	32,049,869
<b>Deferred Outflows of Resources</b>	137,695	97,408
Liabilities		
Current liabilities	1,485,874	1,129,410
Long-term liabilities	824,620	713,825
Total Liabilities	2,310,494	1,843,235
Deferred Inflows of Resources	5,684	8,181
Net Assets		
Invested in capital assets,		
net of related debt	21,565,492	17,633,354
Restricted	12,479,551	12,662,507
Unrestricted		
Total Net Assets	34,045,043	30,295,861

## STATEMENT OF NET POSITION Governmental Activities

A summary of changes in net assets is as follows:

## SUMMARY OF CHANGES IN NET POSITION Governmental Activities

	<u>2017</u>	<u>2016</u>
Revenues		
Capital grants/contributions	\$ 1,914,471	\$ 1,108,822
Charges for services	7,956	5,413
General revenues:		
Property taxes	2,436,460	2,692,876
Interest earnings	79,671	35,849
Total Revenues	4,438,558	3,842,960
Expenses General government	689,376	511,413
	<u></u>	
Change in net assets	3,749,182	3,331,547
Net assets, beginning as restated	30,295,861	26,964,314
Net assets, ending	34,045,043	30,295,861

#### **Budgetary Highlights**

Revenues and transfers from other funds were \$27,936 less than budgeted. Expenses were less than anticipated by \$82,966. The net result was a favorable change in General Fund balances of \$55,030.

#### **Capital Asset and Debt Administration**

*Capital Assets:* The District's investment in capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, at June 30, 2017 and 2016, was \$21,565,492 and \$17,633,354, respectively. This amount represents a net increase (including additions and deductions) of \$3,932,138, or 22% over last year.

Capital assets at year-end are summarized as follows:

## CAPITAL ASSETS Net of Accumulated Depreciation Governmental Activities

		<u>2017</u>		<u>2016</u>	
Depreciable Assets					
Furniture/equipment	\$	3,011	\$	2,878	
Canals and drainage	2	21,562,481	17,630,476		
Total	2	21, <u>565,492</u>	17	<u>,633,354</u>	

Long-Term Debt: Long-term debt of the District includes compensated absences at amounts of \$11,466 and \$8,581 at June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively. There is an unfunded liability for post-employment benefits based on actuarially determined amounts in accordance with GASB No. 45 in the amount of \$200,262 and \$186,901 at June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively. Finally, the Commission has recognized a net pension liability of \$612,892 and \$518,343, at June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively in accordance with GASB Statement No. 68.

## Economic Environment and Next Year's Budget

We expect to receive adequate appropriations from the State (Capital Outlay) and federal government to continue construction.

The cost of the project has increased substantially since its inception in year 2001. Furthermore, land values in the vicinity of Zachary, where the proposed canal is located, have also increased substantially. This places further financial burden on the non-federal match because it is the responsibility of the non-federal sponsors, the Amite River Basin Commission and Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development, to provide the funding for land acquisitions. As a consequence of this cost increase the Amite River Basin Commission authorized the renewal of a 2.65 mills, 10-year ad valorem tax that was approved by the voters of the District on October 2, 2010.

#### **Request for Information**

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the District's finances, comply with finance-related laws and regulations and demonstrate the District's commitment to public accountability. Any questions or requests for additional information can be obtained by contacting Dietmar Rietschier, Executive Director, at 3535 South Sherwood Forest Boulevard, Suite 135, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70816-2255, 225-296-4900.

# **GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

.

## AMITE RIVER BASIN DRAINAGE AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA STATEMENT OF NET POSITION JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

.

	Government	al Activities
ASSETS	2017	2016
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 922,120	\$ 868,833
Accounts Receiable	-	-
Prepaid expenses	2,981	3,027
Total Current Assets	925,101	871,860
Restricted Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents/capital projects	13,677,601	13,534,020
Taxes receivable	55,332	10,635
Total Restricted Assets	13,732,933	13,544,655
Non-Current Assets		
Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation:		
Furniture and equipment	3,011	2,878
Canals and drainage	21,562,481	17,630,476
Total Non-Current Assets	21,565,492	17,633,354
Total Assets	36,223,526	32,049,869
	, ,	
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	137,695	97,408
LIABILITIES		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable	1,474,998	1,120,234
Accrued salaries and payroll liabilities	10,876	9,176
Total Current Liabilities	1,485,874	1,129,410
Non-Current Liabilities		
Compensated absences payable	11,466	8,581
Other post-employment benefits plan payable	200,262	186,901
Net pension liability	612,892	518,343
Total Non-Current Liabilities	824,620	713,825
Total Liabilities	2,310,494	1,843,235
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	5,684	8,181
NET POSITION		
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt	21,565,492	17,633,354
Restricted for capital projects	12,479,551	12,662,507
Unrestricted		-
Total Net Position	\$ 34,045,043	\$ 30,295,861

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

## AMITE RIVER BASIN DRAINAGE AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

Functions/Programs	Program Revenue Operating Charges Grants and Expenses for Services Contributions							Capital Grants and contributions	( Go	et Revenues Expenses) overnmental Activities
Governmental Activities										
General government	\$	689,376	\$	7,956	\$	-	\$	1,914,471	\$	1,233,051
Total Governmental Activities/Primary Government	\$	689,376	\$	7,956	\$		\$	1,914,471	\$	1,233,051
	Gen	eral Reven	1165							
				ed for capita	l pro	jects			\$	2,436,460
		vestment ear		-	• •	5			\$	79,671
	ר	Total Generation	al Rev	enues					\$	2,516,131
	Char	nge in Net F	ositio	'n					\$	3,749,182
	Net I	Position, be		\$	30,295,861					
	Net ]	Position, en	ding						\$	34,045,043

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

# FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

.

## AMITE RIVER BASIN DRAINAGE AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA BALANCE SHEETS GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

			2017		2016							
		General		Capital Projects <u>Fund</u>		Total Govern- <u>mental Funds</u>		General		pital Projects Fund		otal Govern- ental Funds
ASSETS												
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	922,120	\$	-	\$	922,120	\$	868,833	\$	-	\$	868,833
Accounts Receivable		-		-		-		-		-		-
Prepaid expenses		121		2,860		2,981		177		2,850		3,027
Restricted assets:												
Cash and cash equivalents		-		13,677,601		13,677,601		-		13,534,020		13,534,020
Taxes receivable		-		55,332		55,332		-		10,635		10,635
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	922,241	\$	13,735,793	\$	14,658,034		869,010	\$	13,547,505	\$	14,416,515
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	
LIABILITIES Liabilities:												
Accounts payable	\$	7,913	\$	1,467,085	\$	1,474,998	\$	11,412	\$	1,108,822	\$	1,120,234
Accrued salaries and payroll liabilities		10,876		-		10,876		9,176		-		9,176
Liabilities payable from restricted assets:	_	_		-				-		-		-
Total Liabilities	\$	18,789	\$	1,467,085	\$	1,485,874	\$	20,588	\$	1,108,822	\$	1,129,410

## AMITE RIVER BASIN DRAINAGE AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA BALANCE SHEETS (Continued) GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

	2017						2016				
		Ca	pital Projects	Total Govern-				Capital Projects			otal Govern-
	General		<u>Fund</u>	<u>m</u>	ental Funds		<u>General</u>		<u>Fund</u>	<u>m</u>	ental Funds
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	\$ -	\$	31,225	\$	31,225	\$	-	\$	-	\$	
FUND BALANCES											
Nonspendable	\$ 121	\$	2,860	\$	2,981	\$	177	\$	2,850	\$	3,027
Restricted	-		-		-		-		-		-
Committed	-		-		-		-		-		-
Assigned	200,262		12,234,623		12,434,885		186,901		12,435,833		12,622,734
Unassigned	 703,069		-		703,069		661,344				661,344
Total Fund Balances	 903,452		12,237,483		13,140,935		848,422		12,438,683	i	13,287,105
TOTAL LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS											
OF RESOURCES AND FUND BALANCES	\$ 922,241	\$	13,735,793	\$	14,658,034	\$	869,010	\$	13,547,505	\$	14,416,515

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

#### AMITE RIVER BASIN DRAINAGE AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA RECONCILIATION OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE SHEETS TO THE GOVERNMENT-WIDE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

	<u>2017</u>	2016
Total Fund Balances - Total Governmental Funds	\$ 13,140,935	\$ 13,287,105
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statements of Net Assets are different because:		
Some revenues were collected more than sixty days after year end and, therefore, were not available to pay for current period expenditures in the governmental funds.	31,225	-
Deferred outflows of resources used in governmental activities are not financial resources and therefore are not reported in these funds. This is the reported amount of deferred outflows of resources.	137,695	97,408
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in these funds. This is the reported amount of capital assets net of accumulated depreciation.	21,565,492	17,633,354
Long-term liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and, therfore, are not reported in these funds. Long- term liabilities include the following:		
Compensated absences	(11,466)	(8,581)
Other post-employment benefits plan	(200,262)	(186,901)
Net pension liability	(612,892)	(518,343)
Deferred inflows of resources are not due and payable in the		
current period and, therefore, are not reported in these funds	 (5,684)	(8,181)
Total Net Position - Governmental Activities	 34,045,043	<u>\$ 30,295,861</u>

## AMITE RIVER BASIN DRAINAGE AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT BATON ROUGE LOUISIANA STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

			2017			2016						
	•	Capital Projects		Total Govern-				Capital Projects		Тс	tal Govern-	
		<u>General</u>		<u>Fund</u>	<u>m</u>	ental Funds		<u>General</u>		<u>Fund</u>	<u>m</u>	ental Funds
REVENUES												
Property taxes	\$	-	\$	2,405,235	\$	2,405,235	\$	-	\$	2,692,876	\$	2,692,876
Capital grants and contributions		-		1,914,471		1,914,471		-		1,108,822		1,108,822
Charges for services		-		7,956		7,956		-		5,413		5,413
Investment earnings		5,558		74,113		79,671		2,838		33,011		35,849
Total Revenu	es	5,558		4,401,775		4,407,333		2,838		3,840,122		3,842,960
EXPENDITURES												
General government		303,184		316,717		619,901		305,042		198,983		504,025
Capital outlay		-		3,933,602		3,470,223		-		4,599,633		3,470,223
Total Expenditur	es	303,184		4,250,319		4,090,124		305,042		4,798,616		3,974,248
Excess (Deficiency)	of											
Revenues over Expenditur	es \$	(297,626)	\$	151,456	\$	(146,170)	\$	(302,204)	\$	(958,494)	\$	(1,260,698)

## AMITE RIVER BASIN DRAINAGE AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES (Continued) GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

				2017			2016							
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)		General		Capital Projects <u>Fund</u>		Total Govern- <u>mental Funds</u>		General		Capital Projects <u>Fund</u>		otal Govern- ental Funds		
Transfers from other funds Transfers to other funds	\$	352,656 -	\$	(352,656)	\$	352,656 (352,656)	\$	354,776 -	\$	- (354,776)	\$	354,776 (354,776)		
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)		352,656		(352,656)				354,776		(354,776)		-		
Net Change in Fund Balances		55,030		(201,200)		(146,170)		52,572		(1,313,270)		(1,260,698)		
Fund balances, beginning		848,422		12,438,683		13,287,105		795,850	<u></u>	13,751,953		14,547,803		
Fund balances, ending	\$	903,452	\$	12,237,483	\$	13,140,935		848,422	\$	12,438,683	\$	13,287,105		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

#### AMITE RIVER BASIN DRAINAGE AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA RECONCILIATION OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES TO THE STATEMENTS OF ACTIVITIES YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

		<u>2017</u>	<u>2016</u>
Net Change in Fund Balances - Total Governmental Funds	\$	(146,170) \$	(1,260,698)
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Activities are different because:			
Some revenues were collected more than sixty days after year end and, therefore, were not available to pay for current period expenditures in the governmental funds.		31,225.00	-
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the Statement of Activities, the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense. This is the amount by which capital outlay exceeded depreciation in the current period.		3,932,138	4,598,255
Some expenses reported in the Statement of Activities do not require the use of current financial resources and are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds. These include the net change in:			
Compensated absences		(2,885)	(4,325)
Other post-employment benefits plan		(13,361)	(11,650)
Net pension liability and deferred inflows/outflows for pensio	l	(51,765)	9,965
Change in Net Position - Governmental Activities	\$	3,749,182 \$	3,331,547

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note No.	Description Page No.
	Introduction28
1	Summary of Significant Accounting Policies
2	Cash and Cash Equivalents
3	Restricted Assets
4	Accounts Receivable
5	Capital Assets
6	Leave
7	Pensions
8	Post Retirement Health Care and Life Insurance Benefits
9	Leases
10	Accounts and Other Payables 45
11	Long-Term Liabilities
12	Interfund Transactions
13	Related Party Transactions
14	Litigation
15	Subsequent Events

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The Amite River Basin Drainage and Water Conservation District is a related organization of the State of Louisiana as provided by Louisiana Revised Statute 38:3301-3309. The District serves as a multi-parish authority to accomplish flood control measures, facilitate cooperation between federal, state and local governing bodies to foster floodplain management, maintain and operate structures built under the auspices of the Amite River Basin Commission and coordinate river management within the basin. It is charged with the responsibility to establish adequate drainage, flood control and water development including, but not limited to, construction of reservoirs, diversion canals, gravity and pumped drainage systems and other flood control works. The basin includes all territory within the watershed limits of the Amite River and tributaries covering areas within the parishes of East Baton Rouge, St. Helena, East Feliciana, Livingston and those portions east of U.S. Highway 61 in Ascension and St. James Parishes.

The District is managed by a Board of Commissioners composed of 13 members that are appointed by the Governor of the State of Louisiana and serve terms concurrent with that of the Governor. Domiciled in Baton Rouge, the District is advised by the Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development. Commissioners, as authorized by Louisiana Revised Statute 38:3304, receive a per diem to attend meetings or conduct board-approved business not to exceed the amount specified in 26 U.S.C. 162(h)(1)(B)(ii), currently \$100 per day.

#### **NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

The accounting and reporting practice of the Amite River Basin Drainage and Water Conservation District conforms to generally accepted accounting principles as applicable to governments. Such accounting and reporting procedures also conform to the requirements of Louisiana Revised Statute 24:513 and to the guides set forth in the *Louisiana Governmental Audit Guide*, and to the industry audit guide *Audits of State and Local Governmental Units*.

The following is a summary of certain significant accounting policies:

**Financial Reporting Entity:** As required by GASB Statement No. 61, *The Financial Reporting Entity – an amendment of GASB Statements No.14 and No. 34*, the District is considered a related organization of the State of Louisiana. The accompanying financial statements present only the transactions of the Amite River Basin Drainage and Water Conservation District.

**Government-wide Accounting:** In accordance with Government Accounting Standards Boards Statement No. 34, the District has presented a statement of net position and statement of activities for the District as a whole. These statements include the primary government and its component units, if applicable, with the exception of fiduciary funds. Those funds are reported separately. Governmentwide accounting is designed to provide a more comprehensive view of the government's operations and financial position as a single economic entity.

Government-wide statements distinguish between governmental and business-type activities. Governmental activities are those financed through taxes, intergovernmental revenues and other nonexchange revenues and are usually reported in governmental and internal service funds. Business activities are financed in whole or in part through fees charged for goods or services to the general public and are usually reported in proprietary funds.

Policies specific to the government-wide statements are as follows:

#### Eliminating Internal Activity

Interfund receivables and payable are eliminated in the statement of net position except for the net residual amounts due between governmental and business-type activities. These are presented as internal balances. The allocation of overhead expenses, from one function to another or within the same function, is eliminated in the statement of activities. Allocated expenses are reported by the function to which they were allocated.

### Capitalizing Assets

Capital assets are recorded at their historical cost, or estimated historical cost for assets where actual cost is not available and depreciated over their estimated useful lives. Infrastructure assets such as canals and bridges are also capitalized along with interest on debt incurred during construction. Donated assets are recorded as capital assets at their estimated fair market value at the date of donation. Additions, improvements, and other capital outlays that significantly extend the useful life of an asset are capitalized. Other costs incurred for repairs and maintenance are not capitalized. Straight-line depreciation is used based on the following estimated useful lives:

Furniture and equipment	5-10 years
Vehicles	5-10 years
Buildings and improvements	40 years

## **Program Revenues**

The Statement of Activities presents three categories of program revenues -(1) charges for services; (2) operating grants and contributions; and (3) capital grants and contributions. Charges for services are those revenues arising from charges to customers who purchase, use or directly benefit from goods and services provided by the District. Grants and contributions, whether operating or capital in nature, are revenues arising from receipts that are reserved for a specific use.

#### Indirect Expenses

Expenses are reported according to function except for those that meet the definition of special or extraordinary items. Direct expenses are specifically associated with a service or program. Indirect expenses include general government or administration that cannot be specifically traced to a service or program. Governments are not required to allocate indirect expenses to other functions, and the District has chosen not to do so.

#### **Operating/Non-Operating Revenues**

Proprietary funds separately report operating and non-operating revenues.

#### Reserved Net position

Reserved net position is that for which a constraint has been imposed either externally or by law. The District recognized the use of reserved resources for expenditures that comply with the specific restrictions. Reserved resources are exhausted before unreserved net position is used.

**Fund Types and Major Funds:** The District uses funds to report on its financial position and the results of its operations. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions relating to certain government functions or activities. A fund is a separate entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Funds of the District are classified under one category: Governmental. This category, in turn, is divided into separate fund types. The District has two fund types: General and Capital Projects.

<u>Governmental Funds</u>: Governmental funds account for all or most of the District's general activities, including the collection and disbursement of specific or legally reserved monies, and the acquisition or construction of general fixed assets. Governmental funds include:

- 1. General accounts for all activities not required to be reported in another fund;
- 2. Capital projects accounts for the flow of resourced related to the construction, acquisition, or renovation of capital assets.

**Basis of Accounting/Measurement Focus:** In April of 1984, the Financial Accounting Foundation established the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) to promulgate generally accepted accounting principles and reporting standards with respect to activities and transactions of state and local governmental entities. The GASB has issued a Codification of Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards (GASB Codification). This codification and subsequent GASB pronouncements are recognized as generally accepted accounting principles for state and local governments. The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in accordance with such principles and are maintained in accordance with applicable statutory provisions and the regulations of the Division of Administration – Office of Statewide Reporting and Accounting Policy.

The type of financial statement presentation determines the accounting and financial reporting treatment applied to a fund.

The government-wide statements are reported using an economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. With this measurement focus, all assets and liabilities associated with the operation of governmental and business-type activities are included in the statement of net position. Revenues are recognized when earned, and expenses are recognized at the time the liabilities are incurred in the statement of activities. In these statements, capital assets are reported and depreciated in each fund, and long-term debt is reported.

The fund statements are reported using a current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. With this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities are generally included on the balance sheet. Operating statements present increases and decreases in net current assets. Revenues are considered available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures for capital assets are reported as current expenses, and such assets are not depreciated. Principle and interest paid on long-term debt is reported as current expenses.

**Budgets and Budgetary Accounting:** The District adopts an annual budget for all of its funds, prepared in accordance with the basis of accounting utilized by that fund. The Board must approve any revisions that alter the total expenditures. Budgeted amounts shown are as originally adopted and as amended by the Board. Budget amendments are passed on an as-needed basis. A balanced budget is required.

The budget is submitted to the State of Louisiana as prescribed by Louisiana Revised Statute 36:803 and submitted to the Legislature in accordance with 39:1331-1342. Although budget amounts lapse at year-end, the District retains its unexpended fund balances to fund expenditures of the succeeding year.

**Cash and Cash Equivalents:** Cash includes amounts in interest bearing demand deposits. Cash equivalents include amounts in time deposits and those investments with original maturities of 90 days or less. Under state law, the District may deposit funds in demand deposits, interest-bearing demand deposits, money market accounts or time deposits with state banks organized under Louisiana law and national banks having their principal offices in Louisiana.

**Investments:** Investments are limited by Louisiana Revised Statute 33:2955. If the original maturities of investments exceed 90 days, they are classified as investments. Otherwise, the investments are classified as cash and cash equivalents. In accordance with GASB Statement No. 31, investments are recorded at fair value with the corresponding increase or decrease reported in investment earnings.

**Inventory:** Inventory of the District includes only office supplies, the amount of which is considered immaterial. Therefore, the acquisition of such items is expensed when purchased, and the inventory on hand at year-end is not reported in the accompanying financial statements.

Use of Estimates: The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

**Restricted Assets:** Certain proceeds of the District, primarily property taxes, are classified as restricted assets on the balance sheet because their use is limited.

Long-Term Obligations: In the government-wide financial statements, debt principal payments of government activities are reported as decreases in the balance of the liability on the statement of net

position. In the fund financial statements, however, debt principal payments of governmental funds are recognized as expenditures when paid.

**Net Position/Fund Balances:** In the statements of net position, the difference between a government's assets and liabilities is recorded as net position. The three components of net position are as follows:

#### Invested in Capital Assets, Net of Related Debt

This category records capital assets net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by any outstanding balances of bonds, mortgages, notes or other borrowings attributable to the acquisition, construction or improvement of capital assets.

#### Restricted

Balances reserved by external sources, such as banks or by law, are reported separately as restricted net position. When assets are required to be retained in perpetuity, this non-expendable net position is recorded separately from expendable net position. These are components of restricted net position.

#### Unrestricted

This category represents net position not appropriable for expenditures or legally segregated for a specific future use.

In the balance sheet of governmental funds, fund balances are segregated as follows:

#### Nonspendable

These resources are either inherently nonspendable because they are not cash, or legal or contractual provisions require that they be maintained intact. The District reports prepaid expenses as nonspendable.

#### Restricted

This category represents that portion of equity subject to externally enforceable legal restrictions.

#### Committed

These resources are constrained by limitations that the government imposes upon itself at the highest level of decision making and that remain binding unless removed in the same manner. Fund balance committed to other post-employment benefits was \$200,262 and \$186,901 at June 30, 2017 and June 30, 2016, respectively. Fund balance committed to capital projects was \$12,237,483 and \$12,435,833 at June 30, 2017 and June 30, 2016, respectively.

#### Assigned

This category represents the government's intended use of resources.

#### Unassigned

These resources represent the excess of what is properly categorized in each of the above four categories.

**Interfund Transactions:** All interfund transactions except quasi-external transactions are reported as operating transfers. These are eliminated in the government-wide statements.

#### NOTE 2 – CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Deposits are stated at cost, which approximates market. Under state law, they must be secured by federal deposit insurance or the pledge of securities owned by the fiscal agent bank. The market value of the pledged securities plus the federal deposit insurance must at all times equal the amount on deposit with the fiscal agent. These securities are held in the name of the pledging fiscal agent bank in a holding, or custodial bank that is mutually acceptable to both parties.

With the adoption of GASB Statement No. 40, only deposits that are considered exposed to custodial credit risk are required to be disclosed. The Board does not have any deposits that fall within that category. The collected bank balances at June 30, 2017 and 2016 were \$4,303,553 and \$4,179,453, respectively. Deposits of the District are secured with \$500,000 (\$250,000 at Capital One and \$250,000 at Whitney Bank) of insurance through FDIC and pledged collateral.

	<u>Genera</u> 2017		<u>al Fund</u> <u>2016</u>		<u>Capital Pro 2017</u>		ojects Fund 2016	
Petty cash Interest-bearing	\$	200	\$	200	\$	-	\$	-
demand deposits	31	15,188	26	55,997	3,9	87,681	3,90	9,516
LAMP	<u>60</u>	<u>606,732</u> 602,63		02,636	9,6	89,920	9,624,504	
Total	<u>92</u>	22,120	80	58,833	13,6	77,601	13,53	4.020

The following is a summary of cash and cash equivalents (book balances):

In addition to bank deposits, the District had \$10,296,652 invested in LAMP as of June 30, 2017. LAMP is a local government investment pool administered by LAMP, Inc., a non-profit corporation organizes under the laws of the State of Louisiana, which was formed by an initiative of the State Treasurer in 1993. While LAMP is not required to be a registered investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, its investment policies are similar to those established by Rule 2a7, which governs registered money market funds. The primary objective of LAMP is to provide a safe environment for the placement of public funds in short-term, high-quality investments. The LAMP portfolio includes only securities and other obligations in which local governments in Louisiana are authorized to invest. Accordingly, LAMP investments are restricted to securities issued, guaranteed, or backed by the U.S. Treasury, the U.S. Government, or one of its agencies, enterprises, or

instrumentalities, as well as repurchase agreements collateralized by those securities. The dollar weighted average portfolio maturity of LAMP assets is restricted to not more than 90 days, and consists of no securities with a maturity in excess of 397 days. The fair value of investments is determined on a weekly basis to monitor any variances between amortized cost and fair value. For purposes of determining participant's shares, investments are valued at amortized cost. The fair value of the participant's position is the same as the value of the pool shares. LAMP is designed to be highly liquid to give its participants immediate access to their account balances.

#### NOTE 3 – RESTRICTED ASSETS

Restricted assets include cash, cash equivalents and taxes receivable totaling \$13,732,933 at June 30, 2017 and \$13544,655 at June 30, 2016. These assets were accumulated for the construction of a diversion canal for the Comite River, thus restricting their use for that purpose.

#### NOTE 4 – ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

The following is a summary of accounts receivable:

Class of receivable	
Ad valorem taxes	<u>\$ 55,332</u>
Total	<u>\$ 55,332</u>

### NOTE 5 – CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset activity for the year ended June 30, 2017, was as follows:

	Beginning			Ending
	<b>Balance</b>	Additions	Retirements	Balance
Capital Assets, being depreciated				
Furniture and equipment	69,227\$	1,598	\$-	\$ 70,825
Less: accumulated depreciation	(66,350)	(1,464)		(67,814)
Net Furniture and Equipment	nt <u>2,877</u>	134	-	3,011
Canals and drainage in progress	17,630,476	3,932,005	-	21,562,481
Less: accumulated depreciation		-		
Net Canals and Drainage	<u>17,630,476</u>	3,932,005		21,562,481
Net Capital Assets, being depreciated	<u>17,633,353</u>	3,932,139		21,565,492

### NOTE 6 – LEAVE

Annual and Sick Leave. The District's employees earn and accumulate annual and sick leave at varying rates depending on their years of full-time service. Accumulated leave is carried forward to succeeding years without limitation. Upon termination, employees are compensated for up to 300 hours of unused annual leave at the employee's hourly rate of pay at the time of termination. Upon retirement,

unused annual leave in excess of 300 hours plus unused sick leave is used to compute retirement benefits.

The cost of leave privileges, computed in accordance with GASB Codification Section C60, is recognized as a current-year expenditure when leave is actually taken in the fund financial statements. The cost of leave privileges not requiring current resources is recorded by governmental funds in long-term obligations.

Only annual leave is accrued in the accompanying statements of net position at \$11,466 and \$8,851 for June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

*Compensatory Leave.* Non-exempt employees, according to the guidelines contained in the Fair Labors Standards Act, may be paid for compensatory leave earned. Upon termination or transfer, an employee is paid for any time and one-half compensatory leave earned and may or may not be paid for any straight hour-for-hour compensatory leave earned. This pay is based on the employee's hourly rate of pay at the time of termination or transfer. There was no compensatory leave time accrued at June 30, 2017 or 2016.

### NOTE 7 – PENSIONS

### **Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

### Pensions

For purposes of measuring the Net Pension Liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System (LASERS) and additions to/deductions from LASERS' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by LASERS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

### **General Information about the Pension Plan**

### **Plan Description**

Employees of the Fifth Louisiana Levee District are provided with pensions through a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit plan administered by the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System (LASERS). Section 401 of Title 11 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes (La. R.S. 11:401) grants to LASERS Board of Trustees and the Louisiana Legislature the authority to review administration, benefit terms, investments, and funding of the plan. LASERS issues a publicly available financial report that can be obtained at <u>www.lasersonline.org</u>.

### **Benefits Provided**

The following is a description of the plan and its benefits and is provided for general information purposes only. Participants should refer to the appropriate statutes for more complete information.

\*For fiscal years ending July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017

### Retirement

The age and years of creditable service required in order for a member to retire with full benefits are established by statute, and vary depending on the member's hire date, employer, and job classification. Our rank and file members hired prior to July 1, 2006, may either retire with full benefits at any age upon completing 30 years of creditable service or at age 60 upon completing ten years of creditable service depending on their plan. Those members hired between July 1, 2006 and June 30, 2015, may retire at age 60 upon completing five years of creditable service and those hired on or after July 1, 2015 may retire at age 62 upon completing five years of creditable service. The basic annual retirement benefit for members is equal to 2.5% to 3.5% of average compensation multiplied by the number of years of creditable service. Additionally, members may choose to retire with 20 years of service at any age, with an actuarially reduced benefit.

Average compensation is defined as the member's average annual earned compensation for the highest 36 consecutive months of employment for members employed prior to July 1, 2006. For members hired July 1, 2006 or later, average compensation is based on the member's average annual earned compensation for the highest 60 consecutive months of employment. The maximum annual retirement benefit cannot exceed the lesser of 100% of average compensation or a certain specified dollar amount of actuarially determined monetary limits, which vary depending upon the member's age at retirement. Judges, court officers, and certain elected officials receive an additional annual retirement benefit equal to 1.0% of average compensation multiplied by the number of years of creditable service in their respective capacity. As an alternative to the basic retirement benefits, a member may elect to receive their retirement throughout their life, with certain benefits being paid to their designated beneficiary after their death.

Act 992 of the 2010 Louisiana Regular Legislative Session, changed the benefit structure for LASERS members hired on or after January 1, 2011. This resulted in three new plans: regular, hazardous duty, and judges. The new regular plan includes regular members and those members who were formerly eligible to participate in specialty plans, excluding hazardous duty and judges. Regular members and judges are eligible to retire at age 60 after five years of creditable service and, may also retire at any age, with a reduced benefit, after 20 years of creditable service. Hazardous duty members are eligible to retire with twelve years of creditable service. Average compensation will be based on the member's average annual earned compensation for the highest 60 consecutive months of employment for all three new plans. Members in the regular plan will receive a 2.5% accrual rate, hazardous duty plan a 3.33% accrual rate, and judges a 3.5% accrual rate. The extra 1.0% accrual rate for each year of service for court officers, the governor, lieutenant governor, legislators, House clerk, sergeants at arms,

or Senate secretary, employed after January 1, 2011, was eliminated by Act 992. Specialty plan and regular members, hired prior to January 1, 2011, who are hazardous duty employees have the option to transition to the new hazardous duty plan.

Act 226 of the 2014 Louisiana Regular Legislative Session established new retirement eligibility for members of LASERS hired on or after July 1, 2015, excluding hazardous duty plan members. Regular members and judges under the new plan are eligible to retire at age 62 after five years of creditable service and, may also retire at any age, with a reduced benefit, after 20 years of creditable service.

Average compensation will be based on the member's average annual earned compensation for the highest 60 consecutive months of employment. Members in the regular plan will receive a 2.5% accrual rate, and judges a 3.5% accrual rate, with the extra 1.0% accrual rate based on all years of service as a judge.

Members of the Harbor Police Retirement System who were members prior to July 1, 2014, may retire after 25 years of creditable service at any age, 12 years of creditable service at age 55, 20 years of creditable service at age 45, and 10 years of creditable service at age 60. Average compensation for the plan is the member's average annual earned compensation for the highest 36 consecutive months of employment, with a 3.33% accrual rate.

A member leaving employment before attaining minimum retirement age, but after completing certain minimum service requirements, becomes eligible for a benefit provided the member lives to the minimum service retirement age, and does not withdraw their accumulated contributions. The minimum service requirement for benefits varies depending upon the member's employer and service classification.

### 1. Deferred Retirement Benefits

The State Legislature authorized LASERS to establish a Deferred Retirement Option Plan (DROP). When a member enters DROP, their status changes from active member to retiree even though they continue to work and draw their salary for a period of up to three years. The election is irrevocable once participation begins. During DROP participation, accumulated retirement benefits that would have been paid to each retiree are separately tracked. For members who entered DROP prior to January 1, 2004, interest at a rate of one-half percent less than the System's realized return on its portfolio (not to be less than zero) will be credited to the retiree after participation ends. At that time, the member must choose among available alternatives for the distribution of benefits that have accumulated in the DROP account. Members who enter DROP on or after January 1, 2004, are required to participate in LASERS Self-Directed Plan (SDP) which is administered by a third-party provider. The SDP allows DROP participants to choose from a menu of investment options for the allocation of their DROP balances. Participants may diversify their investments by choosing from an approved list of mutual funds with different holdings, management styles, and risk factors.

Members eligible to retire and who do not choose to participate in DROP may elect to receive at the time of retirement an initial benefit option (IBO) in an amount up to 36 months of benefits, with an actuarial reduction of their future benefits. For members who selected the IBO option prior to January 1, 2004, such amount may be withdrawn or remain in the IBO account earning interest at a rate of one-half percent less than the System's realized return on its portfolio (not to be less than zero). Those members who select the IBO on or after January 1, 2004, are required to enter the SDP as described above.

For members who are in the Harbor Police Plan, the annual DROP Interest Rate is the three-year average (calculated as the compound average of 36 months) investment return of the plan assets for the period ending the June 30th immediately preceding that given date. The average rate so determined is to be reduced by a "contingency" adjustment of 0.5%, but not to below zero. DROP interest is forfeited if member does not cease employment after DROP participation.

### 2. Disability Benefits

Generally, active members with ten or more years of credited service who become disabled may receive a maximum disability retirement benefit equivalent to the regular retirement formula without reduction by reason of age.

Upon reaching age 60, the disability retiree may receive a regular retirement benefit by making application to the Board of Trustees.

For injuries sustained in the line of duty, hazardous duty personnel in the Hazardous Duty Services Plan will receive a disability benefit equal to 75% of final average compensation.

Members of the Harbor Police Retirement System who become disabled may receive a non-line of duty disability benefit after five years or more of credited service. Members age 55 or older may receive a disability benefit equivalent to the regular retirement benefit. Under age 55, the disability benefit is equal to 40% of final average compensation. Line of duty disability benefits are equal to 60% of final average compensation, regardless of years of credited service. If the disability benefit retiree is permanently confined to a wheelchair, or, is an amputee incapable of serving as a law enforcement officer, or the benefit is permanently legally binding, there is no reduction to the benefit if the retiree becomes gainfully employed.

### 3. Survivor's Benefits

Certain eligible surviving dependents receive benefits based on the deceased member's compensation and their relationship to the deceased. The deceased regular member hired before January 1, 2011 who was in state service at the time of death must have a minimum of five years of service credit, at least two of which were earned immediately prior to death, or who had a minimum of twenty years of service credit regardless of when earned in order for a benefit to be paid to a minor or handicapped child. Benefits are payable to an unmarried child until age 18, or age 23 if the child remains a full-time student. The aforementioned minimum service credit requirement is ten years for a surviving spouse with no minor children, and benefits are to be paid for life to the spouse or qualified handicapped child.

The deceased regular member hired on or after January 1, 2011, must have a minimum of five years of service credit regardless of when earned in order for a benefit to be paid to a minor child. The aforementioned minimum service credit requirements for a surviving spouse are 10 years, 2 years being earned immediately prior to death, and active state service at the time of death, or a minimum of 20 years of service credit regardless of when earned. A deceased member's spouse must have been married for at least one year before death.

Non-line of duty survivor benefits of the Harbor Police Retirement System may be received after a minimum of five years of credited service. Survivor benefits paid to a surviving spouse without children are equal to 40% of final average compensation, and cease upon remarriage. Surviving spouse with children under 18 benefits are equal to 60% of final average compensation, and cease upon remarriage,

and children turning 18. No minimum service credit is required for line of duty survivor benefits which are equal to 60% of final average compensation to surviving spouse, regardless of children. Line of duty survivor benefits cease upon remarriage, and then benefit is paid to children under 18.

### 4. Permanent Benefit Increases/Cost-of-Living Adjustments

As fully described in Title 11 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes, the System allows for the payment of permanent benefit increases, also known as cost-of-living adjustments (COLAs), that are funded through investment earnings when recommended by the Board of Trustees and approved by the State Legislature.

### **Contributions**

Contribution requirements of active employees are governed by Section 401 of Title 11 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes (La. R.S. 11:401) and may be amended by the Louisiana Legislature. Employee and employer contributions are deducted from a member's salary and remitted to LASERS by participating employers. The rates in effect during the year ended June 30, 2017 for the various plans follow:

	Plan	Employee	Employer
Plan	Status	Rate	Rate
Appellate Law Clerks	Closed	7.50%	35.80%
Appellate Law Clerks hired on or after 7/01/06	Open	8.00%	35.80%
Alcohol Tobacco Control	Closed	9.00%	30.70%
Bridge Police	Closed	8.50%	34.20%
Bridge Police hired on or after 7/01/06	Closed	8.50%	34.20%
Corrections Primary	Closed	9.00%	31.10%
Corrections Secondary	Closed	9.00%	35.30%
Harbor Police	Closed	9.00%	4.00%
Hazardous Duty	Open	9.50%	36.10%
Judges hired before 1/01/11	Closed	11.50%	38.00%
Judges hired after 12/31/10	Closed	13.00%	36.70%
Judges hired on or after 7/01/15	Open	13.00%	36.70%
Legislators	Closed	11.50%	39.10%
Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) before 7/01/06*	Closed	7.50%	35.80%
Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) on or afer 7/01/06*	Closed	8.00%	35.80%
Peace Officers	Closed	9.00%	34.30%
Regular Employees hired before 7/01/06	Closed	7.50%	35.80%
Regular Employees hired on or after 7/01/06	Closed	8.00%	35.80%
Regular Employees hired on or after 1/01/11	Closed	8.00%	35.80%
Regular Employees hired on or after 7/01/15	Open	8.00%	35.80%
Special Legislative Employees	Closed	9.50%	41.10%
Wildlife Agents	Closed	9.50%	44.80%

\*For ORP the projected employer contribution effort was calculated using the shared UAL portion of the contribution rate of 31.77% for 2017.

The agency's contractually required composite contribution rate for the year ended June 30, 2017 was 35.8% of annual payroll, actuarially determined as an amount that, when combined with employee contributions, is expected to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability. Contributions to the pension plan from the Agency were \$49,535 for the year ended June 30, 2017.

### Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At June 30, 2017, the Employer reported a liability of \$612,892 for its proportionate share of the Net Pension Liability. The Net Pension Liability was measured as of June 30, 2016 and the total pension liability used to calculate the Net Pension Liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The Agency's proportion of the Net Pension Liability was based on a projection of the Agency's long-term share of contributions to the pension plan relative to the projected contributions of all participating employers, actuarially determined. At June 30, 2016, the Agency's proportion was 0.00781% which was an increase of 0.00018% from its proportion measured as of June 30, 2015.

For the year ended June 30, 2017, the Agency recognized pension expense of \$62,995 plus employer's amortization of change in proportionate share and differences between employer contributions and proportionate share of contributions, \$19,123.

At June 30, 2017, the Agency reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	Deferre	d Outflows of	Defe	erred Inflows of
	Resources		Resources	
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$	335	\$	5,684
Changes of assumptions	\$		\$	•
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments	\$	76,337		N/A
Changes in proportion and differences between Employer contributions and proportionate share of contributions	\$	11,488	\$	-
Employer contributions subsequent to the measurement date		49,535	· · · ·	-
Total	\$	137,695	\$	5,684

\$49,535 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from Agency contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the Net Pension Liability in the year ended June 30, 2017. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

### Year ended June 30:

2018	\$ 17,478
2019	\$ 17,123
2020	\$ 29,666
2021	\$ 18,209

#### **Actuarial Assumptions**

A summary of the actuarial methods and assumptions used in determining the total pension liability as of June 30, 2016 are as follows:

Valuation Date Actuarial Cost Method Actuarial Assumptions:	June 30, 2016 Entry Age Normal
Expected Remaining Service Lives	3 years
Investment Rate of Return	7.75% per annum, net of investment expenses*
Inflation Rate	3.0% per annum
Mortality	<b>Non-disabled members</b> - Mortality rates based on the RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table with mortality improvement projected to 2015.
	<b>Disabled members</b> – Mortality rates based on the RP- 2000 Disabled Retiree Mortality Table, with no projection for mortality improvement.
Termination, Disability, and Retirement	Termination, disability, and retirement assumptions were projected based on a five-year (2009-2013) experience study of the System's members.

Salary Increases

Salary increases were projected based on a 2009-2013 experience study of the System's members. The salary increase ranges for specific types of members are:

Member Type	Lower Range	Upper Range
Regular	4.0%	13.0%
Judges	3.0%	5.5%
Corrections	3.6%	14.5%
Hazardous Duty	3.6%	14.5%
Wildlife	3.6%	14.5%

Cost of Living Adjustments The present value of future retirement benefits is based on benefits currently being paid by the System and includes previously granted cost of living increases. The projected benefit payments do not include provisions for potential future increases not yet authorized by the Board of Trustees as they were deemed not to be substantively automatic.

\*The investment rate of return used in the actuarial valuation for funding purposes was 8.15%, recognizing an additional 25 basis points for gain-sharing and 15 basis points to offset administrative expenses.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a buildingblock method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation of 3.25% and an adjustment for the effect of rebalancing/diversification. The resulting expected long-term rate of return is 8.72% for 2016. Best estimates of geometric real rates of return for each major asset class included in the pension plan's target asset allocation as of June 30, 2016 are summarized in the following table:

		Long-Term
		Expected Real
Asset Class	Target Allocation <sup>1</sup>	Rate of Return <sup>1</sup>
Cash	0%	-0.24%
Domestic Equity	25%	4.31%
International Equity	32%	5.48%
Domestic Fixed Income	8%	1.63%
International Fixed Income	6%	2.47%
Alternative Investments	22%	7.42%
Global Tactical Asset Allocation	7%	2.92%
	100%	5.30%

**'For reference only:** Target Allocation presented in LASERS 2016 CAFR, page 50, and Long-Term Expected Real Rate of Return, page 28.

### **Discount** Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.75%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that employee contributions will be made at the current contribution rates and that contributions from participating employers will be made at the actuarially determined rates approved by PRSAC taking into consideration the recommendation of the pension plan's actuary. Based on those assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

# Sensitivity of the Employer's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the Employer's proportionate share of the Net Pension Liability using the discount rate of 7.75%, as well as what the Employer's proportionate share of the Net Pension Liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage point lower or one percentage point higher than the current rate:

	 Decrease 6.75%)	ent Discount ate (7.75%)	1.(	)% Increase (8.75%)
Employer's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$ 752, <del>99</del> 4	\$ 612,892	\$	493,848

### Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position

Detailed information about the pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued LASERS 2016 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report at <u>www.lasersonline.org</u>.

### NOTE 8 - POST RETIREMENT HEALTH CARE AND LIFE INSURANCE BENEFITS

The District may provide certain continuing health care and life insurance benefits for its retired employees through the Louisiana Office of Group Benefits. Substantially all of the District's employees become eligible for those benefits if they reach normal retirement age while working for the District and were covered by the District's active medical plan immediately prior to retirement. Those benefits include joint payment of monthly premiums for the coverage provided.

*Plan Description.* The State of Louisiana's Other Post-Employment Benefit Plan (OPEB Plan) is an agent multiple-employer plan administered by the Louisiana Office of Group Benefits (OGB). There are three plans available to eligible retirees for health care – OGB Preferred Provider Organization (PPO), Humana Health Maintenance Organization (HMO) and United Exclusive Provider Organization (EPO). Participants eligible for Medicare coverage can choose one of two OGB Medicare Advantage Plans, either an HMO or private fee-for-service (PFFS) plan. Life insurance benefits include basic term life, basic plus supplemental term life, dependent term life and employee accidental death and

dismemberment coverage. The policy is underwritten by The Prudential Insurance Company of America.

La. R.S. 42:801-883 provides for the authority under which benefit provisions are established and may be amended. The OGB does not issue a stand-alone report; however, it is included in the Louisiana Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR). The CAFR may be obtained from Office of Statewide Reporting and Accounting Policy's website at <u>www.doa.la.gov/osrap</u>, writing to P. O. Box 94095, Baton Rouge, LA 70804-9095 or by calling 225-342-0708.

*Funding Policy.* LRS 42:801-883 provides for the authority under which the obligations of the plan members and the system are established and may be amended. For employees hired prior to January 1, 2002, the cost of coverage is shared 25% by the participant and 75% by the District with the exception of single retirees under age 65. He/she must pay 25% of the active employee cost. Employees hired on or after January 1, 2002, pay a percentage of the total contribution rate based on his/her years of service at retirement (less than 10 yrs. -81%; 10-14 yrs. -62%; 15-19 yrs. -44%; 20+ yrs. -25%). A lifetime maximum for healthcare benefits is set at \$5,000,000 for the PPO, HMO and EPO

plans. The retiree must pay 50% of the life insurance premiums for him or herself and 88% for his/her spouse. Maximum coverage is capped at \$50,000. Premiums paid for healthcare coverage vary depending on the plan chosen. The plan is currently financed on a pay-as-you-go basis by the District.

*OPEB Cost/Obligation.* The District's Annual Required Contribution (ARC) is an amount actuarially determined in accordance with GASB Statement No. 45. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, would cover normal cost each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities over a period not to exceed thirty years. A level percentage of payroll amortization method, open period, was used. The total ARC for the year ending June 30, 2017 is \$11,650.

The District's OPEB obligation for the year ended June 30, 2017, is as follows:

Annual required contribution/OPEB Cost	\$ 13,361
Contributions made Change in Net OPEB Obligation	13,361
Net OPEB obligation, beginning	<u>186,901</u>
Net OPEB obligation, ending	<u>200,262</u>

Utilizing the pay-as-you-go method, the District contributed 0% of the annual post-employment benefits cost during the current year.

*Funding Status and Funding Progress.* As of June 30, 2017, the District had not made any contributions to its post-employment benefits plan trust. A trust was established with an effective date of July 1, 2008, but was not funded. Thus, it has no plan assets and a funding ratio of zero. Funding status and progress is summarized below.

Unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL)	\$ 111,563
Covered payroll (active employees)	151,144
UAAL as a percentage of covered payroll	74%

Actuarial Methods/Assumptions. Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality and the healthcare cost trend.

Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the annual required contributions of the employer are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future.

Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the employer and plan members) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing of benefit costs between the employer and plan members to that point. The actuarial method and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.

In the July 1, 2016 actuarial valuation, the projected unit credit actuarial cost method was used. The actuarial assumptions included a 4% investment rate of return (net of administrative expenses) and initial annual healthcare cost trend rate of 7.0% and 8.1% for pre-Medicare and Medicare eligible, respectively, scaling down to ultimate rates of 5% per year. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability is being amortized as a level percentage of payroll on an open basis.

### NOTE 9 – LEASES

*Operating Leases.* The District leases office space on an annual basis at \$1,756 a month or \$21,072 per year. A storage space is leased at \$133 a month or \$1,596 a year, and a copier is leased on a month-to-month basis from Xerox Corporation with a minimum lease payment of \$221 per month or \$2,654 annually.

Capital Leases. The District has no capital leases.

### NOTE 10 - ACCOUNTS AND OTHER PAYABLES

The following is a summary of payables at June 30, 2017:

		Capital
Class of Payables	<u>General</u>	Projects
Accounts	\$ 7,914	\$ 1,467,084
Salaries and payroll liabilities	10,876	_
Total	<u>18,790</u>	1,467,084

### NOTE 11 - LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

Long-term liability activity for the year ended June 30, 2017, was as follows:

	Beginning			Ending	Amounts Due Within
	Balance	Additions	<b>Reductions</b>	Balance	<u>One Year</u>
Compensated					
absences	8,581	2,885	-	11,466	-
Other post-employme	ent				
benefits plan	186,901	13,361	-	200,262	-
Net pension liability	<u>518,343</u>	94,549	-	612,892	
Total	713,825	110,795	-	824,620	

### NOTE 12 – INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS

Transfers among governmental funds were as follows during the current year:

	General <u>Fund</u>	Capital <u>Projects</u>
Transfers from other funds	\$ 352,656	\$ -
Transfers to other funds		(352,656)
Total Transfers	352,656	 (352,656)

### NOTE 13 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

There are no related party transactions that require disclosure.

### NOTE 14 - CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

There are no related contingent liabilities that require disclosure.

### NOTE 15 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Management of the District has evaluated subsequent events through December 15, 2017, the date that the financial statements were to be issued and has determined that there are no subsequent events that require recognition or disclosure through that date.

# REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (PART 2 OF 2)

•

### AMITE RIVER BASIN DRAINAGE AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE GENERAL FUND YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

-		Budg Original	geteo	l <u>Final</u>		<u>Actual</u>	Variance Favorable <u>(Unfavorable)</u>
REVENUES	<b>~</b>		<b>^</b>		<i>•</i>		Φ.
State appropriation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$-
Investment earnings					_	5,558	5,558
Total Revenues		-		-		5,558	5,558
EXPENDITURES							
Salaries and benefits		235,900		240,000		238,170	1,830
Travel		8,100		12,500		8,499	4,001
Advertising, dues and subscriptions		3,500		3,500		1,437	2,063
Printing/duplication/typing/binding		1,500		1,500		177	1,323
Communications		6,700		8,500		5,232	3,268
Rentals		29,000		29,000		26,803	2,197
Maintenance/property and equipment		500		500		29	471
Professional services		94,000		82,200		19,355	62,845
Materials and supplies		2,500		4,000		3,482	518
Capital outlay		4,450		4,450		-	4,450
Total Expenditures		386,150		386,150		303,184	82,966
Excess (Deficiency) of							
Revenues over Expenditures		(386,150)		(386,150)		(297,626)	88,524
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (	USF	ES)					
Transfers from other funds		386,150		386,150		352,656	(33,494)
Use of available funds						-	
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)		386,150		386,150		352,656	(33,494)
Net Change in Fund Balances		-		-		55,030	55,030
Fund Balances, beginning		848,422		848,422		848,422	
Fund Balances, ending		848,422		848,422		903,452	55,030

### AMITE RIVER BASIN DRAINAGE AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA

### Schedule of Employer's Share of Net Pension Liability

### For the Three Years Ended June 30, 2017\*

		<u>2017</u>		<u>2016</u>		<u>2015</u>
Employer's Proportion of the						
Net Pension Liability (Asset)	0.0	07810%	0.	007620%	0.0	07140%
Employer's Proportionate					[	
Share of the Net Pension	\$	612,892	\$	518,343	\$	<b>446,33</b> 1
Employer's Covered-Employee						
Payroll <sup>A</sup>		146,742	_	144,646		131,497
Employer's Proportionate						
Share of the Net Pension						
Liability (Asset) as a						
Percentage of its Covered-		:				
Employee Payroll		418%		358%		339%
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as						
a Percentage of the Total						
Pension Liability <sup>B</sup>	ł	57.7%		62.7%		65%

Schedule is intended to show information for 10 years. Additional years will be displayed as they become available.

\*The amounts presented have a measurement date of the previous fiscal year end.

#### For reference only:

<sup>A</sup>Employer Covered-Employee Payroll as reported to LASERS during the measurement periods presented. <sup>B</sup> Refer to LASERS CAFR for the years presented.

### AMITE RIVER BASIN DRAINAGE AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA Schedule of Employer Contributions For the Three Years Ended June 30, 2017

Date	Contractually Required Contribution <sup>1</sup>	Contributions in Relation to Contractually Required Contribution <sup>2</sup>	Contribution Deficiency (Excess)	Employer's Covered Employee Payroll <sup>3</sup>	Contributions as a % of Covered Employee Payroll
2017	52,534	49,535	2,999	146,742	34%
2016	53,808	60,296	(6,488)	144,646	42%
2015	48,917	51,678	(2,761)	131,497	39%

Schedule is intended to show information for 10 years. Additional years will be displayed as they become available.

### For reference only:

- <sup>1</sup> Employer contribution rate multiplied by employer's covered employee payroll.
- <sup>2</sup> Actual employer contributions remitted to LASERS.
- <sup>3</sup> Employer's covered employee payroll amount for the fiscal years presented.

### Notes to Required Supplementary Information For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

### **Changes of Benefit Terms**

- A 1.5% COLA, effective July 1, 2016, provided by Acts 93 and 512 of the 2016 Louisiana Regular Legislative Session, and,
- Added benefits for members of the Harbor Police Retirement System which was merged with LASERS effective July 1, 2015 by Act 648 of 2014.

### **Changes of Assumptions**

There were no changes of benefit assumptions for the three years ended June 30, 2017.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

.....

.

### AMITE RIVER BASIN DRAINAGE AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA SCHEDULE OF PER DIEM PAID TO BOARD MEMBERS JUNE 30, 2017

In compliance with House Concurrent Resolution No. 54 of the 1979 Session of the Louisiana Legislature, this schedule of per diem paid to board members is presented for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Name	Amount
Babin, Ben B.	\$2,400
Bailey, Lionel L.	1,100
Hoover, David G.	1,900
Lee, Willie George	800
Little, James A.	900
Louque, Terry S.	1,000
Rouchon, Anthony "Tony"	1,000
Thibeau, Jerry R.	2,400
Thomas, Larry N.	400
Thompson, Donald "Don" E.	1,700
Wade, Tamiara L.	500
Welborn, Kenneth "Wade"	<u>1,100</u>
Totals	<u>15,200</u>

### AMITE RIVER BASIN DRAINAGE AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA SCHEDULE OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICE PAYMENTS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

The following payments were made to contractors for professional services rendered for surveys, feasibility studies and/or special studies:

Description	ł	Amount
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. Survey of right-of-way	\$	78,844
SJB Group, LLC Determining high water marks post flood of 2016		66,000
Bob Jacobsen PE, LLC Determining high water marks post flood of 2016		42,167
T. Baker Smith, LLC Determining high water marks post flood of 2016		2 <b>8,8</b> 42
GCR & Associates, Inc. Acquisitions of properties, appraisals, negotiations with property owners		15,968
Forte and Tablada, Inc. Determining high water marks post flood of 2016		14,976
FTN Associates Hydrolic and hydraulic modeling		8,251
Total	\$	255,048

### AMITE RIVER BASIN DRAINAGE AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA SCHEDULE OF COMPENSATION, BENEFITS AND OTHER PAYMENTS TO AGENCY HEAD OR CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

### AGENCY HEAD NAME: Dietmar Rietschier, Executive Director

PURPOSE	AMOUNT
Salary	\$ 102,719
Retirement	36,773
Health and Dental Insurance	7,881
Total	\$ 147,373

### John L. McKowen Certified Public Accountant

2178 Myrtle Avenue Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70806 Office (225) 615-7844 Fax (225) 344-5439 jlmckowen@cox.net

### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Commissioners of the Amite River Basin Drainage and Water Conservation District 3535 South Sherwood Forest Boulevard, Suite 135 Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70816-2255

I have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Amite River Basin Drainage and Water Conservation District, a related organization of the State of Louisiana, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Amite River Basin Drainage and Water Conservation District's basic financial statements, and have issued my report thereon dated December 15, 2017.

### **Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing my audit of the financial statements, I considered the Amite River Basin Drainage and Water Conservation District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing my opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Amite River Basin Drainage and Water Conservation District's internal control. Accordingly, I do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Amite River Basin Drainage and Water Conservation District's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet is important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

#### Member

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Society of Louisiana Certified Public Accountants My consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during my audit I did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that I consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Amite River Basin Drainage and Water Conservation District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, I performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of my audit and, accordingly, I do not express such an opinion. The results of my tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of my testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit conducted in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

and Millow , CPA

John L. McKowen, CPA December 15, 2017

### AMITE RIVER BASIN DRAINAGE AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

I have audited the financial statements of the Amite River Basin Drainage and Water Conservation District as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and have issued my report thereon December 15, 2017. I conducted my audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. My audit of the financial statements as of June 30, 2017 resulted in an unqualified opinion.

### Section I Summary of Auditor's Reports

1. Report on Internal Control and Compliance Material to the Financial Statements

Internal Control	Material Weakness Control Deficiency(ies)	□ No □ No
Compliance	Compliance Material to F/S Control Deficiency(ies)	□ No □ No

2. Federal Awards

N/A

Section II Financial Statement Findings

None

Section III Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

N/A

### AMITE RIVER BASIN DRAINAGE AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

Section I	Internal Control and Compliance Material to the Financial Statements
None	
Section II	Internal Control and Compliance Material to Federal Awards
N/A	
Section III	Management Letter
None	

.

### John L. McKowen Certified Public Accountant

2178 Myrtle Avenue Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70806 Office (225) 615-7844 Fax (225) 344-5439 jlmckowen@cox.net

### AMITE RIVER BASIN DRAINAGE AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED UPON PROCEDURES YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

To the Board of Commissioners Amite River Basin Drainage and Water Conservation District Baton Rouge, Louisiana 8

I have performed the procedures enumerated below as they are a required part of the engagement. I am required to perform each procedure and report the results, including any exceptions. Management is required to provide a corrective action plan that addresses all exceptions noted. For any procedures that do not apply, I have marked "not applicable."

Management of the Amite River Basin Drainage and Water Conservation District is responsible for its financial records, establishing internal controls over financial reporting, and compliance with applicable laws and regulations. These procedures were agreed to by management of Amite River Basin Drainage and Water Conservation District and the Legislative Auditor, State of Louisiana, solely to assist the users in assessing certain controls and in evaluating management's assertions about Louisiana Used Motor Vehicle Commission's compliance with certain laws and regulations during the year ended June 30, 2017.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was performed in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and applicable standards of *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified users of this report. Consequently, I make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

### Written Policies and Procedures

- 1. Obtain the entity's written policies and procedures and report whether those written policies and procedures address each of the following financial/business functions (or report that the entity does not have any written policies and procedures), as applicable:
  - a) Budgeting, including preparing, adopting, monitoring, and amending the budget

The District has no written policies and procedures pertaining to budgeting.

b) *Purchasing*, including (1) how purchases are initiated; (2) how vendors are added to the vendor list; (3) the preparation and approval process of purchase requisitions and purchase orders; (4) controls to ensure compliance with the public bid law; and (5) documentation required to be maintained for all bids and price quotes Member

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Society of Louisiana Certified Public Accountants The District has no written policies and procedures pertaining to purchasing.

c) *Disbursements*, including processing, reviewing, and approving

The District has no written policies and procedures pertaining to disbursements.

d) *Receipts*, including receiving, recording, and preparing deposits

The District has no written policies and procedures pertaining to receipts.

e) *Payroll/Personnel*, including (1) payroll processing, and (2) reviewing and approving time and attendance records, including leave and overtime worked.

The District has no written policies and procedures pertaining to payroll/personnel.

f) *Contracting*, including (1) types of services requiring written contracts, (2) standard terms and conditions, (3) legal review, (4) approval process, and (5) monitoring process

The District has no written policies and procedures pertaining to contracting.

g) Credit Cards (and debit cards, fuel cards, P-Cards, if applicable), including (1) how cards are to be controlled, (2) allowable business uses, (3) documentation requirements, (4) required approvers, and (5) monitoring card usage

The District has no written policies and procedures regarding the use of credit cards.

h) *Travel and expense reimbursement*, including (1) allowable expenses, (2) dollar thresholds by category of expense, (3) documentation requirements, and (4) required approvers

The District has no written policies and procedures pertaining to travel and expense reimbursement.

i) *Ethics*, including (1) the prohibitions as defined in Louisiana Revised Statute 42:1111-1121, (2) actions to be taken if an ethics violation takes place, (3) system to monitor possible ethics violations, and (4) requirement that all employees, including elected officials, annually attest through signature verification that they have read the entity's ethics policy. Note: Ethics requirements are not applicable to nonprofits.

The District has no written policies and procedures pertaining to ethics.P

j) *Debt Service*, including (1) debt issuance approval, (2) EMMA reporting requirements, (3) debt reserve requirements, and (4) debt service requirements.

Not applicable.

### Management response:

1. We are in the process of compiling a formal Policies and Procedures Manuel that will address each of the above topics.

### Board (or Finance Committee, if applicable)

- 2. Obtain and review the board/committee minutes for the fiscal period, and:
  - a) Report whether the managing board met (with a quorum) at least monthly, or on a frequency in accordance with the board's enabling legislation, charter, or other equivalent document.

The Board met twelve times during the fiscal year with a quorum present.

b) Report whether the minutes referenced or included monthly budget-to-actual comparisons on the General Fund and any additional funds identified as major funds in the entity's prior audit (GAAP-basis).

The minutes of each meeting reflected discussion of the monthly budget-to-actual comparisons on the General Fund.

If the budget-to-actual comparisons show that management was deficit spending during the fiscal period, report whether there is a formal/written plan to eliminate the deficit spending for those entities with a fund balance deficit. If there is a formal/written plan, report whether the meeting minutes for at least one board meeting during the fiscal period reflect that the board is monitoring the plan.

Not applicable.

c) Report whether the minutes referenced or included non-budgetary financial information (e.g. approval of contracts and disbursements) for at least one meeting during the fiscal period.

The minutes reflected discussion of non-budgetary financial information for at least one meeting during the fiscal period.

### **Bank Reconciliations**

3. Obtain a listing of client bank accounts from management and management's representation that the listing is complete.

Per management, the District has three bank accounts.

- 4. Using the listing provided by management, select all of the entity's bank accounts (if five accounts or less) or one-third of the bank accounts on a three-year rotating basis (if more than 5 accounts). If there is a change in practitioners, the new practitioner is not bound to follow the rotation established by the previous practitioner. Note: School student activity fund accounts may be excluded from selection if they are otherwise addressed in a separate audit or AUP engagement. For each of the bank accounts selected, obtain bank statements and reconciliations for all months in the fiscal period and report whether:
  - a) Bank reconciliations have been prepared;

Bank reconciliations were prepared each month.

b) Bank reconciliations include evidence that a member of management or a board member (with no involvement in the transactions associated with the bank account) has reviewed each bank reconciliation; and

The Executive Director, who has no involvement in transactions, reviews the bank reconciliation each month.

c) If applicable, management has documentation reflecting that it has researched reconciling items that have been outstanding for more than 6 months as of the end of the fiscal period.

Management has contacted each individual/entity with an uncashed check at year-end in an attempt to clear its long outstanding reconciling items.

### **Collections**

5. Obtain a listing of cash/check/money order (cash) collection locations and management's representation that the listing is complete.

### Per management, checks and money orders only are collected at the main office.

Using the listing provided by management, select all of the entity's cash collection locations (if five locations or less) or one-third of the collection locations on a three year rotating basis (if more than 5 locations). If there is a change in practitioners, the new practitioner is not bound to follow the rotation established by the previous practitioner. Note: School student activity funds may be excluded from selection if they are otherwise addressed in a separate audit or AUP engagement. For each cash collection location selected:

a) Obtain existing written documentation (e.g. insurance policy, policy manual, job description) and report whether each person responsible for collecting cash is (1) bonded, (2) not responsible for depositing the cash in the bank, recording the related transaction, or reconciling the related bank account (report if there are compensating controls performed by an outside party), and (3) not required to share the same cash register or drawer with another employee.

There is written documentation that each person responsible for collecting cash is bonded, but there is no written documentation for the remaining items above.

b) Obtain existing written documentation (e.g. sequentially numbered receipts, system report, reconciliation worksheets, policy manual) and report whether the entity has a formal process to reconcile cash collections to the general ledger and/or subsidiary ledgers, by revenue source and/or agency fund additions, by a person who is not responsible for cash collections in the cash collection location selected.

The District has an unwritten policy to reconcile cash collections to the general ledger by revenue source by a person who is not responsible for cash collection.

- c) Select the highest (dollar) week of cash collections from the general ledger or other accounting records during the fiscal period and:
  - Using entity collection documentation, deposit slips, and bank statements, trace daily collections to the deposit date on the corresponding bank statement and report whether the deposits were made within one day of collection. If deposits were not made within one day of collection, report the number of days from receipt to deposit for each day at each collection location.

Each of the daily collections selected were deposited within one day of collection.

Using sequentially numbered receipts, system reports, or other related collection documentation, verify that daily cash collections are completely supported by documentation and report any exceptions.

Daily cash collections are completely supported by documentation.

6. Obtain existing written documentation (e.g. policy manual, written procedure) and report whether the entity has a process specifically defined (identified as such by the entity) to determine completeness of all collections, including electronic transfers, for each revenue source and agency fund additions (e.g. periodic confirmation with outside parties, reconciliation to utility billing after cutoff procedures, reconciliation of traffic ticket number sequences, agency fund forfeiture monies confirmation) by a person who is not responsible for collections.

While a person not responsible for collections determines completeness of collections, the District has no written documentation pertaining to completeness of all collections.

### **Management response:**

5. We are in the process of compiling a formal Policies and Procedures Manuel that will address each of the above topics.

8. Obtain a listing of entity disbursements from management or, alternately, obtain the general ledger and sort/filter for entity disbursements. Obtain management's representation that the listing or general ledger population is complete.

Per management, the general ledger population is complete.

- 9. Using the disbursement population from #8 above, randomly select 25 disbursements (or randomly select disbursements constituting at least one-third of the dollar disbursement population if the entity had less than 25 transactions during the fiscal period), excluding credit card/debit card/fuel card/P-card purchases or payments. Obtain supporting documentation (e.g. purchase requisitions, system screens/logs) for each transaction and report whether the supporting documentation for each transaction demonstrated that:
  - a) Purchases were initiated using a requisition/purchase order system or an equivalent electronic system that separates initiation from approval functions in the same manner as a requisition/purchase order system.

All purchases selected were initiated using a requisition system that separates initiation from approval.

b) Purchase orders, or an electronic equivalent, were approved by a person who did not initiate the purchase.

Each purchase was approved by a person who did not initiate the purchase.

c) Payments for purchases were not processed without (1) an approved requisition and/or purchase order, or electronic equivalent; a receiving report showing receipt of goods purchased, or electronic equivalent; and an approved invoice.

All of the above were required prior to processing of payments.

10. Using entity documentation (e.g. electronic system control documentation, policy manual, written procedure), report whether the person responsible for processing payments is prohibited from adding vendors to the entity's purchasing/disbursement system.

The District has no written documentation regarding the addition of vendors.

11. Using entity documentation (e.g. electronic system control documentation, policy manual, written procedure), report whether the persons with signatory authority or who make the final authorization for disbursements have no responsibility for initiating or recording purchases.

The District has no written documentation regarding the above.

12. Inquire of management and observe whether the supply of unused checks is maintained in a locked location, with access restricted to those persons that do not have signatory authority, and report any exceptions. Alternately, if the checks are electronically printed on blank check stock, review entity documentation (electronic system control documentation) and report whether the persons with signatory authority have system access to print checks.

Unused checks are maintained in a locked location by an individual who has signatory authority. However, dual signatures are required.

13. If a signature stamp or signature machine is used, inquire of the signer whether his or her signature is maintained under his or her control or is used only with the knowledge and consent of the signer. Inquire of the signer whether signed checks are likewise maintained under the control of the signer or authorized user until mailed. Report any exceptions.

### Not applicable.

### Credit Cards/Debit Cards/Fuel Cards/P-Cards

14. Obtain from management a listing of all active credit cards, bank debit cards, fuel cards, and P-cards (cards), including the card numbers and the names of the persons who maintained possession of the cards. Obtain management's representation that the listing is complete.

Per management, a complete listing of credit card holders was provided.

15. Using the listing prepared by management, randomly select 10 cards (or at least one-third of the cards if the entity has less than 10 cards) that were used during the fiscal period, rotating cards each year. If there is a change in practitioners, the new practitioner is not bound to follow the rotation established by the previous practitioner.

There are only two card. Boths were selected.

Obtain the monthly statements, or combined statements if multiple cards are on one statement, for the selected cards. Select the monthly statement or combined statement with the largest dollar activity for each card (for a debit card, select the monthly bank statement with the largest dollar amount of debit card purchases) and:

a) Report whether there is evidence that the monthly statement or combined statement and supporting documentation was reviewed and approved, in writing, by someone other than the authorized card holder. [Note: Requiring such approval may constrain the legal authority of certain public officials (e.g., mayor of a Lawrason Act municipality); these instances should not be reported.)]

Monthly statements and supporting documentation was reviewed and approved by an individual other than the cardholder.

b) Report whether finance charges and/or late fees were assessed on the selected statements.

No fees or late charges were assessed on the selected statements.

- 16. Using the monthly statements or combined statements selected under #15 above, obtain supporting documentation for all transactions for each of the 10 cards selected (i.e. each of the 10 cards should have one month of transactions subject to testing).
  - a) For each transaction, report whether the transaction is supported by:
    - > An original itemized receipt (i.e., identifies precisely what was purchased)

Receipts were attached.

Documentation of the business/public purpose. For meal charges, there should also be documentation of the individuals participating.

Purpose was documented.

Other documentation that may be required by written policy (e.g., purchase order, written authorization.)

Not applicable.

b) For each transaction, compare the transaction's detail (nature of purchase, dollar amount of purchase, supporting documentation) to the entity's written purchasing/disbursement policies and the Louisiana Public Bid Law (i.e. transaction is a large or recurring purchase requiring the solicitation of bids or quotes) and report any exceptions.

No exceptions noted.

c) For each transaction, compare the entity's documentation of the business/public purpose to the requirements of Article 7, Section 14 of the Louisiana Constitution, which prohibits the loan, pledge, or donation of funds, credit, property, or things of value, and report any exceptions (e.g. cash advances or non-business purchases, regardless whether they are reimbursed). If the nature of the transaction precludes or obscures a comparison to the requirements of Article 7, Section 14, the practitioner should report the transaction as an exception.

No exceptions noted.

### Travel and Expense Reimbursement

17. Obtain from management a listing of all travel and related expense reimbursements, by person, during the fiscal period or, alternately, obtain the general ledger and sort/filter for travel reimbursements. Obtain management's representation that the listing or general ledger is complete.

Per management, the general ledger population is complete.

18. Obtain the entity's written policies related to travel and expense reimbursements. Compare the amounts in the policies to the per diem and mileage rates established by the U.S. General Services Administration (www.gsa.gov) and report any amounts that exceed GSA rates.

The District follows state guidelines, but does not have a separately written policy.

- 19. Using the listing or general ledger from #17 above, select the three persons who incurred the most travel costs during the fiscal period. Obtain the expense reimbursement reports or prepaid expense documentation of each selected person, including the supporting documentation, and choose the largest travel expense for each person to review in detail. For each of the three travel expenses selected:
  - a) Compare expense documentation to written policies and report whether each expense was reimbursed or prepaid in accordance with written policy (e.g., rates established for meals, mileage, lodging). If the entity does not have written policies, compare to the GSA rates (#18 above) and report each reimbursement that exceeded those rates.

No reimbursement exceeded the GSA rates.

- b) Report whether each expense is supported by:
  - An original itemized receipt that identifies precisely what was purchased. [Note: An expense that is reimbursed based on an established per diem amount (e.g., meals) does not require a receipt.]

Each expense was supported by an original itemized receipt.

Documentation of the business/public purpose (Note: For meal charges, there should also be documentation of the individuals participating).

Purpose was documented.

Other documentation as may be required by written policy (e.g., authorization for travel, conference brochure, certificate of attendance)

Not applicable.

c) Compare the entity's documentation of the business/public purpose to the requirements of Article 7, Section 14 of the Louisiana Constitution, which prohibits the loan, pledge, or donation of funds, credit, property, or things of value, and report any exceptions (e.g. hotel stays that extend beyond conference periods or payment for the travel expenses of a spouse). If the nature

of the transaction precludes or obscures a comparison to the requirements of Article 7, Section 14, the practitioner should report the transaction as an exception.

No exceptions noted.

d) Report whether each expense and related documentation was reviewed and approved, in writing, by someone other than the person receiving reimbursement.

Each expense and related documentation was reviewed and approved by someone other than the person receiving reimbursement.

### **Contracts**

20. Obtain a listing of all contracts in effect during the fiscal period or, alternately, obtain the general ledger and sort/filter for contract payments. Obtain management's representation that the listing or general ledger is complete.

Per management, the listing is complete.

- 21. Using the listing above, select the five contract "vendors" that were paid the most money during the fiscal period (excluding purchases on state contract and excluding payments to the practitioner). Obtain the related contracts and paid invoices and:
  - a) Report whether there is a formal/written contract that supports the services arrangement and the amount paid.

Each vendor has a formal contract supporting the services arrangement and the amount to be paid.

- b) Compare each contract's detail to the Louisiana Public Bid Law or Procurement Code. Report whether each contract is subject to the Louisiana Public Bid Law or Procurement Code and:
  - If yes, obtain/compare supporting contract documentation to legal requirements and report whether the entity complied with all legal requirements (e.g., solicited quotes or bids, advertisement, selected lowest bidder)

All legal requirements of each contract were complied with.

If no, obtain supporting contract documentation and report whether the entity solicited quotes as a best practice.

Not applicable.

c) Report whether the contract was amended. If so, report the scope and dollar amount of the amendment and whether the original contract terms contemplated or provided for such an amendment.

All amendments were approved at the Board level.

d) Select the largest payment from each of the five contracts, obtain the supporting invoice, compare the invoice to the contract terms, and report whether the invoice and related payment complied with the terms and conditions of the contract.

Invoices and related payments complied with the terms and conditions of the contract.

e) Obtain/review contract documentation and board minutes and report whether there is documentation of board approval, if required by policy or law (e.g. Lawrason Act or Home Rule Charter).

All contracts were approved at the Board level.

22. Obtain a listing of employees (and elected officials, if applicable) with their related salaries, and obtain management's representation that the listing is complete. Randomly select five employees/officials, obtain their personnel files, and:

### Per management, the listing is complete.

a) Review compensation paid to each employee during the fiscal period and report whether payments were made in strict accordance with the terms and conditions of the employment contract or pay rate structure.

Compensation paid to the five employees was in strict accordance to the pay rate structure.

b) Review changes made to hourly pay rates/salaries during the fiscal period and report whether those changes were approved in writing and in accordance with written policy.

Changes made to hourly rates during the fiscal period were approved in writing and were in accordance with policy.

- 23. Obtain attendance and leave records and randomly select one pay period in which leave has been taken by at least one employee. Within that pay period, randomly select 25 employees/officials (or randomly select one-third of employees/officials if the entity had less than 25 employees during the fiscal period), and:
  - a) Report whether all selected employees/officials documented their daily attendance and leave (e.g., vacation, sick, compensatory). (Note: Generally, an elected official is not eligible to earn leave and does not document his/her attendance and leave. However, if the elected official is earning leave according to policy and/or contract, the official should document his/her daily attendance and leave.)

The selected employees document their daily attendance and leave.

b) Report whether there is written documentation that supervisors approved, electronically or in writing, the attendance and leave of the selected employees/officials.

The Executive Director approves all leave in writing.

c) Report whether there is written documentation that the entity maintained written leave records (e.g., hours earned, hours used, and balance available) on those selected employees/officials that earn leave.

Written documentation was provided.

24. Obtain from management a list of those employees/officials that terminated during the fiscal period and management's representation that the list is complete. If applicable, select the two largest termination payments (e.g., vacation, sick, compensatory time) made during the fiscal period and obtain the personnel files for the two employees/officials. Report whether the termination payments were made in strict accordance with policy and/or contract and approved by management.

Not applicable.

25. Obtain supporting documentation (e.g. cancelled checks, EFT documentation) relating to payroll taxes and retirement contributions during the fiscal period. Report whether the employee and employer portions of payroll taxes and retirement contributions, as well as the required reporting forms, were submitted to the applicable agencies by the required deadlines.

Payroll taxes, retirement contributions, and required reporting forms were submitted by the required deadlines.

26. Using the five randomly selected employees/officials from procedure #22 under "Payroll and Personnel" above, obtain ethics compliance documentation from management and report whether the entity maintained documentation to demonstrate that required ethics training was completed.

The District maintained documentation to demonstrate that ethics training for the five individuals had been completed.

27. Inquire of management whether any alleged ethics violations were reported to the entity during the fiscal period. If applicable, review documentation that demonstrates whether management investigated alleged ethics violations, the corrective actions taken, and whether management's actions complied with the entity's ethics policy. Report whether management received allegations, whether management investigated allegations received, and whether the allegations were addressed in accordance with policy.

Per management, no allegations were received.

### Debt Service (excluding nonprofits)

28. If debt was issued during the fiscal period, obtain supporting documentation from the entity, and report whether State Bond Commission approval was obtained.

Not applicable.

29. If the entity had outstanding debt during the fiscal period, obtain supporting documentation from the entity and report whether the entity made scheduled debt service payments and maintained debt reserves, as required by debt covenants.

Not applicable.

30. If the entity had tax millages relating to debt service, obtain supporting documentation and report whether millage collections exceed debt service payments by more than 10% during the fiscal period. Also, report any millages that continue to be received for debt that has been paid off.

Not applicable.

### Other

31. Inquire of management whether the entity had any misappropriations of public funds or assets. If so, obtain/review supporting documentation and report whether the entity reported the misappropriation to the legislative auditor and the district attorney of the parish in which the entity is domiciled.

Per management, there were no misappropriations of public funds or assets.

32. Observe and report whether the entity has posted on its premises and website, the notice required by R.S. 24:523.1. This notice (available for download or print at <u>www.lla.la.gov/hotline</u>) concerns the reporting of misappropriation, fraud, waste, or abuse of public funds.

The notice is posted on both the District premises and the website.

33. If the practitioner observes or otherwise identifies any exceptions regarding management's representations in the procedures above, report the nature of each exception.

Not applicable.

This report is intended solely for the use of management of the Amite River Basin Drainage and Water Conservation District and the Legislative Auditor, State of Louisiana, and should not be used by those who have not agreed to the procedures and taken responsibility for the sufficiency of the procedures for their purposes. Under Louisiana Revised Statute 24:513, this report is distributed by the Legislative Auditor as a public document.

Jun J. M. Howen, CPA

John L. McKowen, CPA Baton Rouge, Louisiana October 11, 2017