8732

..........

CUBEN 10 ...1 0: 00

FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND MONROE, LOUISIANA

۰.,

COMPONENT UNIT FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2005 and 2004

AND INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Under provisions of state law, this report is a public document. Acopy of the report has been submitted to the entity and other appropriate public officials. The report is available for public inspection at the Baton Rouge office of the Legislative Auditor and, where appropriate, at the office of the parish clerk of court.

Release Date_12-21-05

COMPONENT UNIT FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS OF AND FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2005 and 2004 WITH SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULES

CONTENTS	
	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditors' Report	1-2
Required Supplementary Information (Part A) Management's Discussion and Analysis	3-7
Government-Wide Financial Statements: Statement of Net Assets Statement of Activities	8 10
Fund Financial Statements: Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in	12
Fund Balances - Governmental Funds Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets	14 16
Notes to Financial Statements	18-31
Required Supplementary Information (Part B) Budgetary Comparison Schedule	
General Fund (Judicial Expense Fund)	32
Special Revenue Fund (Child Support Fund) Special Revenue Fund (Misdemeanor Probation Fund)	34 36
Special Revenue Fund (Drug Court Fund)	38
Supplementary Information	40.44
Grant Activity Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	40-41 42
Schedule of Prior Year Findings	43
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Schedule of Judges	44 45

CAMERON, HINES & HARTT

(A Professional Accounting Corporation) Certified Public Accountants 104 Regency Place West Monroe, Louisiana 71291

Phone (818) 323-1717 Fax (818) 322-5121 E-Mail: chhcpas@bellsouth.net

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

The Honorable Judges of the Fourth Judicial District Court Judicial Expense Fund Monroe, Louisiana

We have audited the accompanying component unit financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund, a component unit of the Ouachita Parish Police Jury as of and for the year ended June 30, 2005, which collectively comprise the Court's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund's management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund, as of June 30, 2005, and the respective changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

<u>Mailing Address:</u> P. O. Box 2474 West Monroe, LA 71294-2474 The Honorable Judges of the Fourth Judicial District Court Judicial Expense Fund Page 2

. . . .

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated October 20, 2005, on our consideration of the Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Management's discussion and analysis and budgetary comparison information on pages 3 through 7 and 32 through 38 are not a required part of the component unit financial statements but are supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, with consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund's component unit financial statements. Management's discussion and analysis and budgetary comparison information are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the component unit financial statements. Management's discussion and analysis and budgetary comparison and analysis and budgetary comparison information have been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the component unit financial statements and, in our opinion, are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole. Management's discussion and analysis and budgetary comparison information have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

Cameron, Hines & Hartt (APAC)

West Monroe, Louisiana October 20, 2005

.....

Required Supplemental Information (Part A) Management Discussion and Analysis

SCOTT F. KADAR COURT ADMINISTRATOR STATE OF LOUISIANA

Jourth Judicial District Court

PARISHES OF MOREHOUSE AND OUACHITA 300 ST. JOHN

Monroe, Tonisiana 71201

TELEPHONE (318) 361-2251

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Our discussion and analysis of the Fourth Judicial District Court provides an overview of the Court's activities for the year ended June 30, 2005. Please read it in conjunction with the Court's financial statements.

USING THIS ANNUAL REPORT

This annual report consists of a series of financial statements. The Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities provide information about the activities of the Court as a whole and present a longer-term view of the Court's finances. Fund financial statements tell how governmental activities were financed in the short term as well as what remains for future spending. Fund financial statements also report the Court's operations in more detail than the government-wide statements by also providing information about all the Court governmental funds.

These financial statements consists of three sections: Management's Discussion and Analysis (this section), the basic financial statements (including the notes to the financial statements), and supplementary information.

Reporting the Court as a Whole

The Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities

These statements include all assets and liabilities using the accrual basis of accounting, which is similar to the accounting used by most private-sector companies. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are taken into account regardless of when cash is received or paid.

These two statements report the Court's net assets and changes in them. The Court's net assets-the difference between assets and liabilities- measure the Court's financial position. The increases or decreases in the Court's net assets are an indicator of whether its financial position is improving or deteriorating. Other non-financial factors, however, such as number of cases handled by District Court as well as the number of judgeships approved by the State Legislature and the State's economic condition should be considered in order to assess the overall condition of the Court.

Currently, the Court has only governmental activities that provide for personnel, equipment, supplies, and other costs related to the proper administration of the District Court. Primarily, court costs, fees, grants, and interest finance these activities.

Reporting the Court's Funds

Fund Financial Statements

The fund financial statements provide detailed information about the Court's funds, not the Court as a whole. In addition to accounting for the court costs and fees and other revenues that finance activities of District Court, the Court also accounts for appropriations received from the Department of Health and Human Resources, State of Louisiana, related to child support cases and from the State of Louisiana Supreme Court to administer the Drug Court. The General Fund, the Child Support Fund, and the Court Services Fund are all governmental funds that are available for spending. These funds are reported using an accounting method called modified accrual accounting, which measures only cash and other financial assets that can readily be converted to cash. The governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view of the Court's operations and the services it provides. Governmental fund information helps you determine the amount of financial resources available to be spent in the near future to finance the Court's programs. The relationship (or differences) between governmental activities (reported in the Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities) and the governmental funds are shown in a reconciliation following the fund financial statements.

THE COURT AS A WHOLE

For the year ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, net assets changes as follows:

	2005	2004
Beginning net assets Increase (Decrease) in net assets	\$ 2,358,259 13,637	\$ 2,384,931 (26,672)
Ending net assets	\$ 2,371,896	\$ 2,358,259

This reflects a constant level of government activities for the year. It should be noted that there was an increase in the (TASC) Truancy Assessment and Service Center activity from the prior year. The Court obtained \$39,081 in additional grants from the prior year related to this program, however the expenditures related to Truancy increased \$41,121. The Court also had additional salaries, retirement costs, and travel costs totaling \$117,657 more than the prior year. Additional staff and expenses related to payroll not reimbursed by the Supreme Court due to budget limits contributed to the increase in the cost of government. The Court also had \$59,994 in additional court fees collected during the year ended June 30, 2005.

Governmental Activities

The Court's assets exceeded its liabilities at the close of the fiscal years 2005 and 2004 by \$2,371,896 and \$2,358,259, respectively. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005, the net assets increased by \$13,637 (or 1%) and the Court's revenue increased by \$157,966 (or 9%). For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004, the net assets decreased by \$26,672 (or 1%) and the Court's revenue increased by \$83,189 (or 5%).

To aid in the understanding of the Statement of Activities some additional explanation is provided. Its format is significantly different than that of the Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Balance. The expenses are listed in the first column with revenues from that particular program reported to the right. The result is a Net Revenue/ (Expense). The reason for this kind of format is to highlight the relative financial burden of each of the governmental functions. It also identifies how much each function draws from the general revenues or if it is self-financing through fees and grants. Court costs and fees reported represent the majority of the revenues for the District Court function.

All other governmental revenues (such as interest) are reported as general.

THE COURT'S FUNDS

The following schedule presents a summary of general and special revenue funds net assets:

	<u>Net Assets as of</u>					
	Ju	ne 30, 2005	Ju	ne 30, 2004	Ju	<u>ne 30, 2003</u>
Current and noncurrent assets	\$	2,493,125	\$	2,476,405	\$	2,476,994
Current and noncurrent liabilities	_	121,229		118,146		92,063
Net Assets:						
Investments in capital assets, net		105,836		76,302		67,819
Unrestricted		2,266,060	·	2,281,957		2,317,112
Total net assets	\$	2,371,896	\$	2,358,259	\$	2,384,931

Net assets of the Court increased by 13,637 (or 1%) from June 30, 2004 to June 30, 2005 and decreased by 26,672 (or 1%) from June 30, 2003 to June 30, 2004.

The following schedule presents a summary of general and special revenue fund revenues and expenditures for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, and the amount and percentage of increases and decreases in relation to the prior year.

	FYE 2005 Amount	Percent of Total	Increase (Decrease) from FYE 2004	Percent Increase (Decrease)
Revenues:				
Operating grants	\$ 276,243	15%	\$ 55,720	25%
Intergovernmental	1,178,591	63%	84,905	8%
Charges for services	366,099	20%	16,792	5%
Interest	49,974	2%	2,067	4%
Other	1,802		 (1,518)	(46%)
Total revenues	\$1,872,709	100%	\$ 157,966	9%

Operating grants experienced a moderate increase during 2005. Intergovernmental revenues increased due to additional court fees from the Ouachita Parish Sheriff Department.

	FYE 2004 Amount	Percent of Total	Increase (Decrease) from FYE 2003	Percent Increase (Decrease)
Revenues:				
Operating grants	\$ 220,523	13%	\$ 54,542	33%
Intergovernmental	1,093,686	64%	(8,342)	(1%)
Charges for services	349,307	20%	36,835	12%
Interest	47,907	3%	(3,121)	(6%)
Other	3,320		3,275	<u>728%</u>
Total revenues	\$1,714,743	100%	\$ 83,189	5%

Operating grants increased this year. The Truancy Assessment and Service Center (TASC) Assistance Program participation and revenues increased. The charges for services increase reflects higher fees collected for the Misdemeanor Probation Program, primarily.

			Increase	
			(Decrease)	Percent
	FYE 2005	Percent	from	Increase
	<u>Amount</u>	of Total	 FYE 2004	(Decrease)
Expenditures:				
District Court	\$1,831,935	97%	\$ 113,345	7%
Capital Outlay	56,671		 25,363	<u>81%</u>
Total expenditures	\$1,888,606	100%	\$ 138,708	8%

District Court expenditures increased moderately during 2005. The increase in District Court expenditures was due to increases in salaries, related retirement expense, insurance and supplies.

	FYE 2004 Amount	Percent of Total	Increase (Decrease) from FYE 2003	Percent Increase (Decrease)
Expenditures:				
District Court	\$1,718,590	98%	\$ 248,579	17%
Capital Outlay	31,308	2%	(11,653)	<u>(27%</u>)
Total expenditures	\$1,749,898	100%	\$ 236,926	16%

District Court expenditures increased moderately during this year. The increase in District Court expenditures was due to increases in salaries, travel, retirement, insurance, and supplies.

GENERAL FUND BUDGETARY HIGHLIGHTS

During the year, the General Fund and Special Revenue Funds budgets were revised one time. The General Fund budget amendment was an increase in anticipated grant receipts and an increased amount of court costs collected from the Morehouse Parish Sheriff's Office and Ouachita Parish Sheriff's Office. The Special Revenue Fund budget amendments were due to an increase in appropriations as a result of additional fees received as well as decreases in salaries and payroll taxes with increases in insurance, rent, and supplies expenditures.

The actual general fund revenues were less than the final budget by \$8,894. Actual general fund expenditures were less than the final budget by \$2,046.

CAPITAL ASSET AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

Capital Assets

At the end of June 30, 2005 and 2004, the Court had \$105,836 and \$76,302, respectively invested in capital assets including computer equipment, and office furniture and equipment (See table below).

				2004	
Computer equipment, including software Office equipment and furniture	\$	85,528 20,308	\$	55,359 20,943	
Ending net assets	\$	105,836	\$	76,302	

This year's major additions included six notebook computers, a printer, four mini tower desk computers, sound mixers, a desk and computer software.

Debt

At year end, the Court had a total of \$53,751 estimated for accrued compensated absences that represents the future liability for vacation earned but not used by District Court employees. That is a decrease of two percent as shown in the following table.

	2005			2004		
Accrued compensated absences	\$	53,751	\$	54,930		

ECONOMIC FACTORS AND NEXT YEAR'S BUDGETS AND RATES

Court operations are funded extensively by the Parishes of Morehouse and Ouachita. The annual budget for the Court anticipates a flat growth with very little change except for potential grant participation beyond previous activity.

CONTACTING THE DISTRICT'S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers, customers, and creditors with general overview of the Court's finances and to show the Court's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact the Judicial Administrator's Office at The Fourth Judicial District Court, 300 St. John, Suite 400, Monroe, Louisiana.

Der Silada

Scott F. Kadar Judicial Administrator

.

,

Government-Wide Financial Statements

FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND MONROE, LOUISIANA

r

STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS JUNE 30, 2005

ASSETS	GOVERNMENTAL <u>ACTIVITIES</u>
Cash In Bank Prepaid Expenses and Deposits Due From Other Governmental Units Accounts Receivable Capital Assets	\$ 2,225,845 3,541 154,237 3,666 105,836
Total Assets	<u>\$ 2,493,125</u>
LIABILITIES	
Accrued and Other Liabilities Due to Other Governmental Units (Payroll taxes and overpaid court fees)	\$
Compensated Absences Payable	53,751
Total Liabilities	<u>\$ 121,229</u>
NET ASSETS	
Invested in Capital Assets, Net of Related Debt Unrestricted	\$ 105,836 <u>2,266,060</u>
Total Net Assets	<u>\$2,371,896</u>
Total Liabilities and Net Assets	<u>\$ 2,493,125</u>

...

FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND MONROE, LOUISIANA

STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS JUNE 30, 2004

ASSETS	GOVERNMENTAL <u>ACTIVITIES</u>
Cash In Bank Prepaid Expenses and Deposits Due From Other Governmental Units Accounts Receivable Capital Assets	\$ 2,270,935 3,083 125,094 991 <u>76,302</u>
Total Assets	<u>\$ 2,476,405</u>
LIABILITIES	
Accrued and Other Liabilities Due to Other Governmental Units (Payroll taxes and overpaid court fees) Compensated Absences Payable	\$
Total Liabilities	<u>\$ 118,146</u>
NET ASSETS	
Invested in Capital Assets, Net of Related Debt Unrestricted	\$ 76,302 <u> 2,281,957</u>
Total Net Assets	<u>\$ 2,358,259</u>
Total Liabilities and Net Assets	<u>\$ 2,476,405</u>

FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND MONROE, LOUISIANA

-- - --

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

		Program Revenues				
	Expenses_	Charges for Services	Operating Grants and Contributions	Capital Grants and Contributions	Net (Expense) Revenue and Changes in Net <u>Assets</u>	
Function/Program Activities: Government Activities: Judicial Expense Fund Child Support Court Services	\$ 476,873 583,966	\$	\$ 202,744	\$	\$ (274,129) (583,966)	
Misdemeanor Probation Drug Court	334,997 463,236	342,908 _23,191	73,499		7,911 <u>(366,546</u>)	
Total Government Activities	<u>\$1,859,072</u>	<u>\$ 366,099</u>	<u>\$ 276,243</u>	\$	<u>\$(1,216,730)</u>	
	Inter Inter Othe	++-	Jes		\$ 1,178,591 49,974 <u>1,802</u> <u>\$ 1,230,367</u>	
	Char	nges in Net Asse	ts		\$ 13,637	
	NET	ASSETS-BEGIN		2,358,259		
	NET ASSETS - ENDING				<u>\$ 2,371,896</u>	

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2004

		Program Revenues						
	Expenses	Charges for Service <u>s</u>	Operating Grants and Contributions	Capital Grants and Contributions	Net (Expense) Revenue and Changes in Net Assets			
Function/Program Activities: Government Activities: Judicial Expense Fund Child Support Court Services Misdemeanor Probation	\$ 395,532 543,602 310,123	\$ 319,636	\$ 163,663	\$	\$ (231,869) (543,602) 9,513			
Drug Court	492,158	29,671	56,860		(405,627)			
Total Government Activities	<u>\$1,741,415</u>	<u>\$ 349,307</u>	\$ 220,523	<u>\$</u> 0	\$(1,171,585)			
	\$ 1,093,686 47,907 <u>3,320</u> <u>\$ 1,144,913</u>							
	Changes in Net Assets \$ (26,67)							
	NET	ASSETS-BEGIN	NING		2,384,931			
	NET ASSETS - ENDING <u>\$ 2,358,259</u>							

- ------

Fund Financial Statements

· ·

.

,

BALANCE SHEET GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS JUNE 30, 2005

								Total
		General Fund		d Support Fund	Co	ourt Services Fund	Go	overnmental Funds [†]
ASSETS								
Cash In Bank	\$	525,485	\$	1,379,813	\$	320,547	\$	2,225,845
Prepaid Expenses and Deposits		560		2,271		710		3,541
Due From Other Governmental Units Due From Other Funds		57,307		45,461 45,000		51,469		154,237
Accounts Receivable		2,434		980		252		3,666
Total Assets	<u>\$</u>	585,786	\$	1,473,525	\$	372,978	\$	2,387,289
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES								
Liabilities								
Accrued and Other Liabilities	\$	3,359	\$	6,140	\$	5,529	\$	15,028
Due to Other Governmental Units								
(Payroll taxes and overpaid court fees)		14,978		8,957		28,515		52,450
Compensated Absences Payable		13,728		20,307		19,716		53,751
Due to Other Funds		45,000						
Total Liabilities	<u>\$</u>	77,065	\$	35,404	<u>\$</u>	53,760	\$	121,229
Find Delegans, University								
Fund Balances - Unreserved Unrestricted	\$	500 704	¢	4 959 744	¢	240.040	¢	0 400 050
Designated	φ	508,721	\$	1,358,714 79,407	\$	319,218	\$	2,186,653 79,407
Total Fund Balances	¢	508,721	\$	1,438,121	\$	319,218	\$	
	Ψ	500,721	Ψ	1,400,121	Ψ	515,210	Ψ	£,200,000
Total Liabilities and								
Fund Balances	<u>\$</u>	585,786	\$	1,473,525	\$	372,978	\$	2,387,289

⁺ After internal receivables and payables have been eliminated.

.

FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND MONROE, LOUISIANA

- - -----

RECONCILIATION OF TOTAL GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE TO NET ASSETS OF GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES JUNE 30, 2005

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Net Assets are different because:	
Total Fund Balances - Total Governmental Funds	\$ 2,266,060
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and therefore are not reported	
in the funds	<u> 105,836</u>
Net Assets of Governmental Activities	<u>\$ 2,371,896</u>

,

.

BALANCE SHEET GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS JUNE 30, 2004

		General Fund	d Support Fund	C	ourt Services Fund	Go	Total overnmental <u>Funds [†]</u>
ASSETS							
Cash In Bank Prepaid Expenses and Deposits Due From Other Governmental Units Due From Other Funds Accounts Receivable	\$	476,960 503 66,702 368 <u>572</u>	\$ 1,420,315 2,496 45,644 45,000 <u>269</u>	\$	373,660 84 12,748 <u>150</u>	\$	2,270,935 3,083 125,094 <u>991</u>
Total Assets	<u>\$</u>	545,105	\$ <u>1,513,724</u>	\$	386,642	\$	2,400,103
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES							
Liabilities Accrued and Other Liabilities Due to State of Louisiana Group Benefits Plan (insurance withheld) Due to Other Governmental Units	\$	11,851	\$ 9,885	\$	12,448	\$	34,184
(Payroll taxes and overpaid court fees) Compensated Absences Payable Due to Other Funds		5,916 13,312 45,000	5,373 16,490 368		17,743 25,128		29,032 54,930
Total Liabilities	\$	76,079	32,116		55,319		118,146
Fund Balances - Unreserved Unrestricted Designated Total Fund Balances	\$ \$	434,349 34,677 469,026	 1,342,834 <u>138,774</u> 1,481,608		330,434 889 331,323		2,107,617 <u>174,340</u> 2,281,957
Total Liabilities and Fund Balances	\$	545,105	\$ 1,513,724	\$	386,642	\$	2,400,103

⁺ After internal receivables and payables have been eliminated.

.

FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND MONROE, LOUISIANA

RECONCILIATION OF TOTAL GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE TO NET ASSETS OF GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES JUNE 30, 2004

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Net Assets are different because:	
Total Fund Balances - Total Governmental Funds	\$ 2,281,957
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and therefore are not reported	
in the funds	76,302
Net Assets of Governmental Activities	<u>\$ 2,358,259</u>

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

		General Fund		l Support Fund	N	lisdemeanor Probation Fund	r	Drug Court Fund	Go	Total vernmental Funds
		<u>i unu</u>	_					<u> </u>		
REVENUES										
Court Fees	\$	261,802	\$	540,288	\$	342,908	\$	23,191	\$	1,168,189
Supreme Court Receipts	Ψ	201,002	Ψ	040,200	Ψ	0-12,000	Ψ	376,501	Ψ	376,501
Grant Revenue		202,744						73,499		276,243
Interest Income		9,778		32,832		7,364		70,400		49,974
Other Income		1,095		133		574				1,802
Total Revenue	æ	475,419	\$	573,253	\$	350,846	\$	472 404	\$	
	<u>\$</u>	475,419	<u> </u>	573,203	<u> </u>	300,040	<u> </u>	473,191	<u> </u>	1,872,709
EXPENDITURES										
Asset Expenditures	\$	6,594	\$	8,141	\$	37,215	\$	4,721	\$	56,671
Small Asset Expenditures	Ψ	18,667	Ψ	4,804	Ψ	5,472	Ψ	6,197	Ψ	35,140
Court Reporter/Process Costs		3,351		2,466		0,472		0,107		5,817
Insurance Expense		23,208		39,414		42,643		15,735		121,000
Internet Access		3,717		1,491		1,829		270		7,307
Miscellaneous		556		621		650		19		1,846
Office Supplies and Postage		12,488		021		2,404		19		-
Payroll Taxes				0 4 9 5				4 004		14,892
		4,983		9,185		3,361		1,891		19,420
Professional Fees		60,136		54,700		33,844		208,774		357,454
Reference Material and Dues		845		44,461		170		4.40		45,476
Rent - Equipment & Parking		15,933		15,716		7,579		446		39,674
Repair, Maintenance, & Warranty		4,917		2,046		2,019		190		9,172
Retirement Expense		20,125		53,948		25,257		16,062		115,392
Salaries		261,373		330,040		187,914	-	138,066		917,393
Seminars, Meetings & Travel		25,114		7,661		2,135		11,435		46,345
Supplies				10,77 9		11,635		58,987		81,401
Telephone & Utility Expense		3,447		1,257		4,138		2,324		11,166
Training		270		10		919		1,841		<u> </u>
Total Expenditures	<u>\$</u>	465,724	\$_	586,740	\$	369,184	\$	466,958	\$	1,888,606
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF	•	0.005	•	(40 407)	•	(40.000)	•	0.000	æ	(45.007)
REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES:	<u>\$</u>	9,695	\$	(13,487)	\$	(18,338)	\$	6,233	<u>\$</u>	(15,897)
Other Financing Sources (Uses)										
Operating Transfers In		30,000								30,000
Operating Transfers Out		30,000		(30,000)						
	<u>_</u>		•				•		_	(30,000)
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	$\overline{\mathbf{\lambda}}$	30,000	\$	(30,000)	\$		\$		<u></u>	0
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES AND)									
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES OVER	•									
EXPENDITURES AND OTHER USES	¢	20 605	¢	(43 497)	¢	(10 220)	¢	6 000	¢	(45.007)
EAFENDITURES AND UTHER USES	\$	39,695	\$	(43,487)	Φ	(18,338)	Φ	6,233	\$	(15,897)
FUND BALANCE - BEGINNING		460 026		1 491 609		353 562		(22.240)		2 281 057
FUND DALANCE - DEGININING	_	469,026		1,481,608		353,563		(22,240)		2,281,957
FUND BALANCE - ENDING	¢	508,721	c	1,438,121	¢	335,225	\$	(16,007)	\$	2,266,060
	Φ	00,721	J J	1,430,121	<u>.</u>		9	[10,007]		2,200,000

RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Net Assets are different because:		
Net Change in Fund Balance - Total Governmental Funds	<u>\$</u>	(15,897)
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures while governmental activities report deprecation expense to allocate those expenditures over the life of the assets: Capital Asset Purchases Capitalized Depreciation Expense	\$ <u>\$</u>	56,671 (26,989) 29,682
In the statement of activities, only the gain on the disposition of the furniture and equipment is reported, whereas in the governmental funds, the proceeds from the sale increase financial resources. Thus, the change in net assets differs from the change in fund balance by cost of furniture and equipment that was disposed of in the current period.		(148)
Change in Net Assets of Governmental Activities	<u>\$</u>	13,637

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2004

Supreme Court Receipts 393,090 39	9,903 3,090 0,523 7,907 <u>3,320</u> 4 743
Court Fees \$201,808 \$498,788 \$319,636 \$29,671 \$1,04 Supreme Court Receipts 393,090 39	3,090 0,523 7,907 <u>3,320</u>
Supreme Court Receipts 393,090 39	3,090 0,523 7,907 <u>3,320</u>
	0,523 7,907 <u>3,320</u>
	7,907 3,320
Interest Income 7,811 35,405 4,691 4	<u>3,320</u>
	4 743
Total Revenue \$ 375,983 \$ 534,266 \$ 324,672 \$ 479,822 \$ 1,71	1,1 -0
EXPENDITURES	
	1,308
	0,896
Court Reporter/Process Costs 5,415 2,466	7,881
	7,234
Internet Access 1,353 1,373 462	3,188
Miscellaneous 2,843 391 1,301	4,535
Office Supplies and Postage 13,914 1,800 1	5,714
Payroll Taxes 4,060 7,704 7,157 1,548 2	0,469
Professional Fees 44,064 69,814 19,676 280,724 41	4,278
	2,656
	3,874
Repair, Maintenance, & Warranty 5,799 1,549 1,463 61	8,872
	8,921
	6,509
	0,656
	7,586
Telephone & Utility Expense 1,184 1,222 1,818 1,097	<u>5,321</u>
Total Expenditures \$ 405,372 \$ 541,972 \$ 311,159 \$ 491,395 \$ 1,74	9,898
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF	
REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES: <u>\$ (29,389) \$ (7,706) \$ 13,513 \$ (11,573) \$ (3</u>	<u>85,155</u>)
Other Financing Sources (Uses)	
	30,000
	<u>30,000</u>)
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses) \$ 30,000 \$ (30,000) \$ \$ \$	0
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES AND	
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES OVER	
EXPENDITURES AND OTHER USES \$ 611 \$ (37,706) \$ 13,513 \$ (11,573) \$ (3	85,155)
FUND BALANCE - BEGINNING 468,415 1,519,314 340,050 (10,667) 2,3	17,112
FUND BALANCE - ENDING <u>\$ 469,026 \$ 1,481,608 \$ 353,563 \$ (22,240) \$ 2,26</u>	<u>31,957</u>

RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2004

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Net Assets are different because:	
Net Change in Fund Balance - Total Governmental Funds	<u>\$ (35,155)</u>
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures while governmental activities report deprecation expense to allocate those expenditures over the life of the assets: Capital Asset Purchases Capitalized Depreciation Expense	\$ 36,845 <u>(27,986</u>) <u>\$8,859</u>
In the statement of activities, only the gain on the disposition of the furniture and equipment is reported, whereas in the governmental funds, the proceeds from the sale increase financial resources. Thus, the change in net assets differs from the change in fund balance by cost of furniture and equipment that was disposed of in the current period.	(<u>376</u>)
Change in Net Assets of Governmental Activities	<u>\$ (26,672</u>)

,

STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET ASSETS JUNE 30, 2005

ASSETS	Agency Funds
Cash and Cash Equivalents	<u>\$ 5,271</u>
Total Assets	<u>\$ 5,271</u>
LIABILITIES Restitution to Court Ordered Recipients Payable	<u>\$5,271</u>
Total Liabilities	<u>\$5,271</u>

.

÷

STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET ASSETS JUNE 30, 2004

ASSETS	Agency Funds
Cash and Cash Equivalents	<u>\$ 2,529</u>
Total Assets	<u>\$2,529</u>
LIABILITIES Restitution to Court Ordered Recipients Payable	<u>\$2,529</u>
Total Liabilities	<u>\$529</u>

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Judicial Expense Fund complies with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). GAAP includes all relevant Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) pronouncements. In the government-wide financial statements, Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) pronouncements and Accounting Principles Board (APB) opinions issued on or before November 30, 1989 have been applied unless those pronouncements conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncements, in which case, GASB prevails. The accounting and reporting framework and the more significant accounting policies are discussed in subsequent sections of this note. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003, the Judicial Expense Fund has adopted the new financial reporting requirements of GASB Statement Nos. 33 and 34. As a result, an entirely new financial presentation format has been implemented.

<u>FINANCIAL REPORTING ENTITY</u>: The Fourth Judicial District Court, General Fund (Judicial Expense Fund), was created under the Act No. 52 of 1984, effective on the 60th day after final adjournment of the 1984 legislative session of the State of Louisiana. The effective date of funding was set on November 1, 1984.

The purpose of the fund is to provide sufficient funding to expedite efficient operation of the court. In general, the fund is established and may be used for any purpose or purposes connected with, incidental to or related to the proper administration of the court.

The Fourth Judicial District Court, Special Revenue Fund (Child Support), authority was created under the Act No. 517 of 1986, effective within one year after final adjournment of the 1986 legislative session of the State of Louisiana. In accordance with Louisiana Revised Statutes, § 46: 236.5 the Fourth Judicial District Court implemented this process beginning November 1, 1990.

The purpose of the fund is to provide an expedited process for establishment and enforcement of support obligations which are brought by the Department of Health and Human Resources. Revenues are to be expended to administer the proceedings related to the expedited process.

The Fourth Judicial District Court, Special Revenue Fund (Misdemeanor Probation), authority was created under the Louisiana Code of Criminal Procedure Article 895.1C. The Fourth Judicial District Court issued an order signed en banc February 26, 1993 implementing this process.

The purpose of the fund is to provide misdemeanor probation services by collecting monthly fines for establishment and enforcement of the probationary period. Revenues are to be expended to administer the proceedings related to the probation process.

The Fourth Judicial District Court, Special Revenue Fund (Drug Court), authority was created under the Louisiana Code of Criminal Procedure Article 193 and Article 18 by Rule XI of this court. The drug treatment and probation program shall be established in accordance with the provisions of LSAR.5.13:5301-5304. The Fourth Judicial District Court issued an order signed en banc February 4, 2000 implementing the process effective January 1, 2000.

The purpose of the fund is to administer drug offense charges. Revenues are to be expended to administer the monitoring, counseling, and enforcement of drug offense probation.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont'd)

The accounting and reporting policies of the Fourth Judicial Court, Judicial Expense Fund conform to generally accepted accounting principles as applicable to governments. Such accounting and reporting procedures also conform to the requirements of Louisiana Municipal Audit and Accounting Guide, the industry audit guide, Audits of State and Local Governmental Units; Standards for Audit of Governmental Organizations, Programs, Activities, and Functions, and/or, where applicable, Public Law 98-502, the Single Audit Act of 1996, and OMB Circular A-133.

For financial reporting purposes, in conformity with the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB), the Judicial Expense Fund and Child Support is a part of the Fourth Judicial District Court, a component of the Ouachita Parish Police Jury judicial system. The financial reporting entity consists of (a) the primary government (Ouachita Parish Policy Jury), (b) organization for which the primary government is financially accountable, and (c) other organizations for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the primary government are such that exclusion would cause the reporting entity's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete.

Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 14 established criteria for determining which component units should be considered part of the Ouachita Parish Police Jury for financial reporting purposes. The basic criterion for including a potential component unit within the reporting entity is financial accountability. The GASB has set forth criteria to be considered in determining financial accountability.

This criteria includes:

- 1. Appointing a voting majority of an organization's governing body, and
 - a. The ability of the Ouachita Parish Policy Jury to impose its will on that organization and/or
 - b. The potential for the organization to provide specific financial benefits to or impose specific financial burdens on the Ouachita Parish Police Jury.
- 2. Organizations for which the Ouachita Parish Police Jury does not appoint a voting majority but are fiscally dependent on the Ouachita Parish Police Jury.
- 3. Organizations for which the reporting entity's financial statements would be misleading if data of the organization is not included because of the nature or significance of the relationship.

Because the Ouachita Parish Police Jury provides for the operation and maintenance of the Courtroom and office space of the Judges in its parish courthouse, the Fourth Judicial District Court was determined to be a component unit of the Ouachita Parish Police Jury, the financial reporting entity. The accompanying financial statements present information only on the funds maintained by the Fourth Judicial District Court and do not present information on the Ouachita Parish Police Jury, the general government services provided by that governmental unit or the other governmental units that comprise the financial reporting entity.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont'd)

. . . ____

<u>BASIS OF PRESENTATION</u>: In June, 1999, the GASB unanimously approved Statement No. 34, *Basic Financial Statements - and Management's Discussion and Analysis - for State and Local Governments*. Certain of the significant changes in the presentation of its funds financial information include, for the first time a Management Discussion and Analysis (MD & A) section providing an analysis of the Judicial Expense Fund's overall financial position and results of operations and financial statements prepared using full accrual accounting for all Judicial Expense Fund's activities. These and other changes are reflected in the accompanying financial statements including the notes to the financial statements. The Judicial Expense Fund has elected to implement the general provisions of the Statement in the current year and plans to retroactively report capital assets acquired prior to July 1, 2002.

<u>BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>: Government-wide financial statements - The statement of net assets and statement of activities display information about the reporting government as a whole. They include all funds of the reporting entity. Governmental activities generally are financed through taxes, intergovernmental revenues, and other nonexchange revenues.

Fund financial statements - The financial transactions of the Judicial Expense Fund are reported in individual funds in the fund financial statements. Each fund is accounted for by a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprises its assets, liabilities, fund equity, revenues, and expenditures.

The funds of the Judicial Expense Fund are described as follows:

General Fund - The general fund is the general operating fund and accounts for all activities of the Judicial Expense Fund except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

Special Revenue Fund - Special revenue funds are used to account for specific revenue sources that are legally restricted to expenditures for specified purposes. Included in special revenue funds are the Child Support Fund and Court Services Fund which includes Misdemeanor Probation and Drug Court.

This report includes funds which are controlled by the Fourth Judicial District Court (Chief Judge and Judges) but determined to be a component unit of the Ouachita Parish Police Jury. The Ouachita Parish Police Jury has significant control over the Judicial Expense Fund in the area of necessary capital outlay. The Ouachita Parish Police Jury would present this component unit in a discreet presentation format if it were included in their financial statements. The funds are administered by the Court Administrator.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont'd)

<u>MEASUREMENT FOCUS AND BASIS OF ACCOUNTING</u>; Measurement focus is a term used to describe "which" transactions are recorded within the various financial statements. Basis of accounting refers to "when" transactions are recorded regardless of measurement focus applied.

Measurement focus - The government-wide financial statements are presented using the economic resources measurement focus. The accounting objectives of this measurement focus are the determination of operating income, changes in net assets, and financial position. All assets and liabilities (whether current or non-current) associated with their activities are reported. All governmental funds utilize a current financial resources measurement focus in the fund financial statements. Only current assets and liabilities are generally included on the balance sheet. Operating statements present sources and uses of available spendable financial resources at the end of the period.

Basis of accounting - The government-wide financial statements are presented using the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recognized when incurred or economic assets are used. Revenues, expenses, gains, losses, assets, and liabilities resulting from exchange and exchange-like transactions are recognized when the exchange takes place. In the fund financial statements, governmental funds are presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when "measurable and available" Measurable means the amount of the transaction can be determined, and available means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Expenditures (including capital outlay) are recorded when the related fund liability is incurred.

<u>BUDGETS AND BUDGETARY ACCOUNTING</u>: The Fourth Judicial District Court follows these procedures in establishing the budgetary data reflected in these financial statements:

- 1. The Judicial Administrator prepares a proposed budget for the General Fund and each Special Revenue Fund and submits same to the Chief Judge, prior to the beginning of each fiscal year.
- 2. The Chief Judge, Fourth Judicial District Court, signs written approval of the budgets.
- Budgetary amendments involving the transfer of funds from one program or function to another or involving increases in expenditures resulting from revenues exceeding amounts estimated require the approval of the Chief Judge.
- 4. All budgetary appropriations lapse at the end of each fiscal year.
- 5. Budgets for the General Fund (Judicial Expense Fund), and Special Revenue Funds (Child Support Fund, Misdemeanor Probation Fund, and Drug Court Fund), are adopted on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).
- 6. Amendments of the budget are prepared by the Judicial Administrator and the process is the same as indicated for the original budget during the fiscal year.

<u>BUDGET VARIANCE</u>: The significant variation of actual expenses in the areas of insurance expense, payroll related expenses, supplies and professional fees were primarily due to the uncertainties of budgeting. The Chief Judge and Judges were aware of the variances and approved the additional expenses.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont'd).

<u>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</u>: Cash includes amounts in demand deposits, interest-bearing demand deposits, money market accounts, and time deposits with state banks organized under Louisiana law and national banks having their principal offices in Louisiana.

<u>INVESTMENTS</u>: In accordance with GASB Statement No. 31, the Court's general policy is to report money market investments and short-term participating interest-earning investment contracts at amortized cost and to report nonparticipating interest-earning investment contracts using a cost-based measure. However, if the fair value of an investment is significantly affected by the credit standing of the issuer or by other factors, it is reported at fair value. The term "short-term" refers to investments, which have a remaining term of one year or less at time of purchase. The term "nonparticipating" means that the investment's value does not vary with market interest rate changes.

INTERFUND RECEIVABLES/PAYABLES: Short-term interfund loans are classified as interfund receivables/payables.

<u>PREPAID ITEMS</u>: Advance payments for rent are expensed as the period lapses. The balance in prepaid expense represents advance rent payments due to expire during the subsequent month.

<u>CAPITAL ASSETS</u>: In the government-wide financial statements, capital assets purchased or acquired with an original cost of \$1,000, or more are reported at historical cost. Additions, improvement and other capital outlays that significantly extend the useful life of an asset are capitalized. Other costs incurred for repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred. Depreciation on all assets is provided on the straight-line basis over the following estimated useful lives:

Computer equipment, including software	5-10 years
Other office equipment	5-10 years
Furniture and fixtures	10-20 years

In the fund financial statements, fixed assets are accounted for as capital outlay expenditures of the fund upon acquisition. Capital assets reported herein include only those assets purchased by the Judicial Expense Fund and do not reflect assets of the court obtained from other sources.

<u>COMPENSATED ABSENCES</u>: The Fourth Judicial District Court accrues compensated absence expense based on unused vacation available to employees as of the last day of the fiscal year. Effective January 1, 1998, unused vacation will be accumulated, therefore all of the accrued compensated absence is recognized as a current year expenditure in the governmental funds. Accumulated sick leave is non-compensable, therefore, no provision has been made for unused sick leave.

<u>NET ASSETS</u>: Net assets comprise the various net earnings from operating income, nonoperating revenues and expenses, and capital contributions. Net assets are classified in the following three components:

Invested in capital assets, net of related debt - This component of net assets consists of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by the outstanding balances of any bonds, mortgages, notes or other borrowings that are attributable to the acquisition of those assets. There was no outstanding debt attributable to these fixed assets as of June 30, 2005 and 2004.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont'd) .

Restricted - This component of net assets consists of constraints imposed by creditors (such as through debt covenants), grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments or constraints imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. There were no restricted net assets as of June 30, 2005 and 2004.

Unrestricted net assets - This component of net assets consists of net assets that do not meet the definition of "restricted" or "invested in capital assets, net of related debt".

FUND EQUITY: Designated fund balances represent tentative plans for future use of financial resources.

<u>INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS</u>: Transactions that constitute reimbursements to a fund for expenditures/expenses initially made from it that are properly applicable to another fund are recorded as expenditures/expenses in the reimbursing fund and as reductions of expenditures/expenses in the fund that is reimbursed.

<u>COMPARATIVE DATA</u>: Comparative total data for the prior year have been presented in the accompanying financial statements in order to provide an understanding of changes in the Fourth Judicial District Court's financial position and operations. However, comparative (ie., presentation of prior year totals by fund type) data have not been presented in each of the statements since their inclusion would make the statements unduly complex and difficult to read.

<u>USE OF ESTIMATES:</u> The preparation of component unit financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that effect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE 2 EXPENDITURES - ACTUAL AND BUDGET

The Judicial Expense Fund had total actual expenditures greater than total budgeted expenses for the year ended June 30, 2005 by \$7,109.

The Unfavorable variances for the current period were due to the uncertainties involved with budgeting. The Chief Judge and Judges were aware of the variances and approved the additional expenses.

NOTE 3 DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

A. Cash and Cash Equivalents

Included as cash and cash equivalents are bank accounts and short-term investments, especially certificates of deposit.

At June 30, 2005 and 2004, the Fourth Judicial District Court had cash and cash equivalents (book balances) totaling \$2,231,116 and \$2,270,935 as follows:

-		2005	2004			
Interest-bearing demand deposits Time deposits	\$	256,116 1,975,000	\$	200,935 2,070,000		
Total	<u>\$</u>	2,231,116	<u>\$</u>	2,270,935		

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 3 DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS (Cont'd)

Custodial Credit Risks - Deposits

These deposits are stated at cost, which approximates market. Under state law, these deposits (or the resulting bank balances) must be secured by federal deposit insurance or the pledge of securities owned by the fiscal agent bank. The market value of the pledged securities plus the federal deposit insurance must at all times equal the amount on deposit with the fiscal agent. At June 30, 2005 and 2004, the Fourth Judicial District Court had \$2,269,839 and \$2,404,421, respectively in deposits (collected bank balances). These deposits are secured from risk by \$690,000 and \$780,000, respectively of federal deposit insurance (Category 1) and \$1,579,839 and \$1,624,421 of pledged securities held by the bank's agent in the name of the bank (Category 3) as of June 30, 2005 and 2004.

In accordance with LRS 49:321, state depositing authorities shall require as security for deposit of state funds authorized bonds or other interest bearing notes; authorized promissory notes, warrants, or certificates of indebtedness unmatured or payable on demand. Fair value, excluding interest, of such securities held by the depositing authority shall be equal to 100% of the amount on deposit to the credit of the depositing authority except that portion appropriately insured. Designated depositories may be granted a period not to exceed five days from the date of any deposit to post the necessary security.

Category 1 represents deposits insured (inclusive of FDIC, SIPC, or similar federal security) or collateralized with securities held by the Court or its agent in the Court's name. Category 2 represents deposits collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent in the Court's name. Category 3 represents deposits uncollateralized including any securities held for the entity but not in the Court's name.

Even though the pledged securities are considered uncollateralized (Category 3) under the provisions of GASB Statement 3, Louisiana Revised Statute 39:1229 imposes a statutory requirement on the custodial bank to advertise and sell the securities within 10 days of being notified by the Fourth Judicial District Court that the fiscal agent has failed to pay deposited funds upon demand.

B. Investments

Similar to cash deposits, investments held at a financial institution can be categorized according to three levels of risk. These three levels of risk are:

- Category 1: Investments that are insured, registered or held by the entity or by its agent in the Court's name.
- Category 2: Investments that are uninsured and unregistered held by the counter party's trust department or agent in the Court's name.
- Category 3: Uninsured and unregistered investments held by the counterparty, it's trust or it's agent, but not in the Court's name.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 4 DUE FROM OTHER GOVERNMENTAL UNITS

.

r

Amounts due from other governmental units consisted of the following:

	June 30, 2005 2004		
General Fund	2005	2004	
Judicial Expense Fund			
Court fees-Ouachita Parish, Sheriff Department			
State of Louisiana (includes interest)	\$ 13,430	\$ 8,110	
Court fees-Ouachita Parish, Clerk of Court	• • • • •		
State of Louisiana	3,345	3,690	
Court fees-Morehouse Parish, Sheriff Department			
State of Louisiana	1,698	1,960	
Court fees-Morehouse Parish, Clerk of Court	·		
State of Louisiana	1,170	1,230	
Travel expense reimbursement - Justice Department,			
State of Louisiana	6,409	7,838	
Truancy expense reimbursement - Justice Department,			
State of Louisiana	30,865	43,055	
Repairs reimbursement - Ouachita Parish Police Jury,			
State of Louisiana	390	<u>819</u>	
Totals	<u>\$ 57,307</u>	<u>\$ 66,702</u>	
Special Revenue Funds			
Child Support			
Court fees - Department of Health and Human Resources,			
State of Louisiana	\$ 45,400	\$ 45,644	
Equipment rental reimbursement - Monroe City Court,			
Monroe, Louisiana	61		
Drug Court Fund			
Operation fees - Supreme Court, State of Louisiana		12,748	
Totals	<u>\$ 96,930</u>	<u>\$ 58,392</u>	

FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND MONROE, LOUISIANA

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 5 CAPITAL ASSETS

1

Capital asset activity for the year ended June 30, 2005 was as follows:

	Balance June 30, <u>2004 Additions Retirem</u>	Balance June 30, ents 2005
Government Activities: Computers & Printers Equipment	\$ 159,356	
Totals at Historical Cost	<u>\$ 270,755 </u>	<u>07) \$298,519</u>
Less Accumulated Depreciation for: Computers Equipment	\$ 103,997 \$ (20,872) \$ 25,7 90,456 (6,117) 2,9	
	<u>\$ 194,453 </u>	<u>59 \$ 192,683</u>
Governmental Activities Capital Assets Net	<u>\$ 76,302 </u>	<u>48) \$105,836</u>

Capital asset activity for the year ended June 30, 2004 was as follows:

	Balance June 30, 2003	Ad	ldition <u>s</u>	R	etirements		Balance June 30, 2004
Government Activities: Computers & Printers Equipment	\$ 142,392 16,359	\$	34,468 2,377	\$	(17,504) (7,337)	-	159,356 111,399
Totals at Historical Cost	<u>\$ 258,751</u>	\$	<u>36,845</u>	<u>\$</u>	(24,841)	\$ 2	<u>270,755</u>
Less Accumulated Depreciation for: Computers Equipment	\$ 103,556 <u>87,376</u> \$ 190,932		(17,790) (10,196) (27,986)	\$	17,349 7,116 24,465		103,997 _ <u>90,456</u> 194,453
Governmental Activities Capital Assets Net	<u>\$_67,819</u>	\$	8,859	<u>\$</u>	(376)	\$	76,302
Depreciation expense was charged to governmenta	l functions as	; fol	lows:		2005		2004
Judicial Expense Fund Child Support Fund Court Services Fund				\$	17,678 5,284 4,027	\$	20,420 5,598 1,968
				<u>\$</u>	26,989	\$	27,986

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 6 PENSION PLANS

<u>Plan Description</u>. Many employees of the Court are members of the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System (LASERS), a cost-sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan administered by a separate board of trustees. The LASERS provides retirement benefits as well as disability and survivor benefits. Benefits are established and amended by state statute. Benefits granted by the LASERS are guaranteed by the State of Louisiana under provisions of the Louisiana Constitution of 1974. The LASERS issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. That report may be obtained by writing to the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement, Post Office Box 44213, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70804-4213, or by calling (225) 922-0600.

<u>Funding Policy</u>. Plan members of the Court are required by state statute to contribute 7.5 percent of their annual covered salary and the Court (employer) is required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate. The current employer rate is 17.8 and 19.1 percent of annual covered payroll as of June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The contribution requirements of plan members and the Court are established and may be amended by state statute. As provided by state statute, the employer contributions are determined by actuarial valuation and are subject to change each year based on the results of the valuation for the prior fiscal year. The Court's employer contributions to the LASERS for the years ending June 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003, were \$54,911, \$42,900, and \$35,068, respectively, equal to the required contributions for each year.

<u>Other Plan Description</u>. The employees that are not members of LASERS are members of the Parochial Employees' Retirement System of Louisiana (System), a multiple-employer (cost-sharing), Public Employee Retirement System (PERS), controlled and administered by a separate board of trustees. This System is composed of two distinct plans, Plan A and Plan B with separate assets and benefit provisions. The System issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. That report may be obtained by writing to the Parochial Employees' Retirement System of Louisiana, P.O. Box 14619, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70898-4619 or by calling (225) 928-1361.

<u>Other Plan Funding Policy</u>. To this system plan members are required to contribute 9.5 percent of their annual covered salary and this court reimburses the primary government, the Ouachita Parish Police Jury and Morehouse Parish Clerk of Court for retirement contributions at actuarially determined rates made into the System. The employer rate is 12.75 percent and 11.75 percent of annual covered payroll effective as of January 1, 2005 and January 1, 2004, respectively. The Court reimbursed \$55,909 and \$42,813, to the Ouachita Parish Police Jury for contributions to the System during the years ending June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, as its share of contributions, equal to the required contributions to the System during the year ending June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively as its share of contributions to the required contributions, equal to the required contributions to the System during the year ending June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively as its share of contributions to the required contributions to the System during the year ending June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively as its share of contributions to the required contributions to the System during the year ending June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively as its share of contributions, equal to the required contributions for each year.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 7 DUE TO OTHER GOVERNMENTAL UNITS

Accounts due to other governmental units consist of the following:

		Jur	ie 30).
		2005		2004
General Fund			-	
Judicial Expense Fund				
Ouachita Parish Sheriff Department-State of Louisiana	\$	771	\$	715
Ouachita Parish Police Jury - State of Louisiana		14,129		5,124
State of Louisiana, Department of Revenue and Taxation		78		77
Total General Fund	<u>\$</u>	14,978	<u>\$</u>	5,916
Special Revenue Funds				
Child Support Fund				
Ouachita Parish Sheriff Department - State of Louisiana	\$	771	\$	715
Ouachita Parish Police Jury - State of Louisiana		5,162		1,777
Morehouse Parish Clerk of Court - State of Louisiana		3,024		2,881
Court Services Fund (Misdemeanor and Drug Court)				
Ouachita Parish Sheriff Department - State of Louisiana		771		715
Ouachita Parish Police Jury - State of Louisiana		27,744		11,683
Morehouse Parish Sheriff Department - State of Louisiana		-		2,512
State of Louisiana, Department of Health and				
Human Resources Fund		<u></u>		2,833
Total Special Revenue Funds	<u>\$</u>	37,472	\$	23,116

NOTE 8 COMPENSATED ABSENCES

At June 30, 2005, employees of the Fourth Judicial District Court have accumulated and vested \$53,751 of employee leave benefits, which was computed in accordance with GASB Codification Section C60. Of this amount, \$13,728 is recorded as an obligation of the General Fund and \$40,023 is recorded as an obligation of the Special Revenue Funds.

At June 30, 2004, employees of the Fourth Judicial District Court have accumulated and vested \$54,930 of employee leave benefits, which was computed in accordance with GASB Codification Section C60. Of this amount, \$13,312 is recorded as an obligation of the General Fund and \$41,618 is recorded as an obligation of the Special Revenue Funds.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 9 CHANGES IN AGENCY FUND DEPOSITS DUE OTHERS

•--•

A summary of changes in agency fund deposits due others follows:

2005	Be	lance at eginning f Year	Additions	Reductions	а	alance it End f Year
Agency Funds:						
Misdemeanor Probation Fund						
Ouachita Parish Sheriff's Department,						
Monroe, Louisiana	\$	0	\$ 34,037	\$ 34,037	\$	0
Morehouse Parish Sheriff's Department,						
Bastrop, Louisiana		0	1,443	1,443		0
Indigent Defender Board, Monroe,						
Louisiana		0	6,355	6,355		0
Restitution Recipients, Fourth District,						
State of Louisiana		<u>2,529</u>	<u> </u>	48,774		<u>5,271</u>
	<u>\$</u>	2,529	<u>\$ 93,351</u>	<u>\$ 90,609</u>	\$	<u>5,271</u>

2004	Beg	ince at Jinning Year	Additions	Reductions	a	alance t End f Yea <u>r</u>
Agency Funds:						
Misdemeanor Probation Fund						
Ouachita Parish Sheriff's Department,						
Monroe, Louisiana	\$	0	\$ 17,119	\$ 17,119	\$	0
Morehouse Parish Sheriff's Department,						_
Bastrop, Louisiana		0	1,320	1,320		0
Indigent Defender Board, Monroe,						
Louisiana		0	4,840	4,840		0
Restitution Recipients, Fourth District,						
State of Louisiana			56,683	<u> </u>		2,529
	•	-	•	•	•	
	<u>\$</u>	0	<u>\$ 79,962</u>	<u> </u>	<u> \$ </u>	<u>2,529</u>

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 10 JOINT VENTURES

The Child Support Fund has entered into agreements with the Ouachita Parish Police Jury, Ouachita Clerk of Court, and the Morehouse Clerk of Court for reimbursement of salaries, payroll taxes, group insurance, retirement and workman's compensation related to employees. The Misdemeanor Probation Fund and Drug Court Fund have also entered into agreements with the Ouachita Parish Police Jury for reimbursement of salaries, payroll taxes, group insurance, retirement and workman's compensation related to employees. The Ouachita Parish Police Jury and Morehouse Parish Police Jury are reimbursed one dollar per page per case filed by those offices for costs directly related to the indigent cases of the Judicial Expense Fund included in these financial statements as a general fund expense. As the Fourth Judicial District Court, Child Support Fund, Misdemeanor Probation Fund, and Drug Court Fund reimburses the other governmental units, the appropriate expense accounts are debited and reflected in the financial statements.

NOTE 11 GRANT PROGRAM

Families in Need of Services (FINS)

The Court was ordered to furnish the administration and implementation of Families In Need of Services, per Title VII of the Louisiana Children's Code, within the Fourth Judicial District, State of Louisiana.

The Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund, continues to participate in another contract with the Louisiana Supreme Court, State of Louisiana under the "Families In Need of Services Program" (FINS). The contract was continued for the period beginning July 1, 2004 until June 30, 2005 and funded monthly from July 1, 2004 until June 30, 2005 for \$44,466.

The Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund, continued to participate in another contract with the Louisiana Supreme Court, State of Louisiana under the "Families In Need of Services Program" (FINS). The contract was continued for the period beginning July 1, 2003 until June 30, 2004 and funded monthly from July 1, 2003 until June 30, 2004 for \$44,466.

The total expenditures of the Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund related to the FINS programs was \$74,817 and \$61,365 for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 11 GRANT PROGRAM (Cont'd)

Truancy Assessment and Service Center (TASC)

The Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund, continues to participate in another contract with the Louisiana State University, Office of Social Services Research and Development, State of Louisiana under the "Truancy Assessment and Service Center (TASC) Assistance Program". The contract was approved for the period beginning July 1, 2004 until June 30, 2005 and funded based upon monthly statements of plan expenditures. The Court received \$158,279 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005.

The Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund, has entered into a contract with the Louisiana State University, Office of Social Services Research and Development, State of Louisiana under the "Truancy Assessment and Service Center (TASC) Assistance Program". The contract was approved for the period beginning July 1, 2003 until June 30, 2004 and funded based upon monthly statements of plan expenditures. The court received \$119,197 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004.

The total expenditures of the Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund related to this program were \$165,002 and \$123,881 for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)

The Fourth Judicial District Court, Court Services Fund, has been awarded from the Louisiana Supreme Court, State of Louisiana Drug Court Program Office funds that include federal grants totaling \$73,499 and \$56,860 during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, from the "Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program.

The total expenditures of the Fourth Judicial District Court related to this program was \$83,712 and \$87,553 for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

NOTE 12 RISK MANAGEMENT

The Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions and natural disasters for which the Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund carries commercial insurance. There have been no significant reductions in coverage from the prior year and settlements have not exceeded coverage in the past three years.

(

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION (PART B) BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULES

5

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - GENERAL FUND (JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND) FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

		Budgeted A ginal	<u>mounts</u> Final	Actual nounts	Fi	riance With nal Budget Positive <u>Negative)</u>
Resources (Inflows): Court Fees - Ouachita Parish Court Fees - Morehouse Parish Grant Revenue Interest Income Other Income Transfers In From Other Funds Amounts Available for Appropriation	\$ 	157,280 43,000 213,250 7,875 <u>30,000</u> 451,405	\$ 209,300 51,200 213,250 9,588 975 30,000 \$ 514,313	\$ 212,203 49,599 202,744 9,778 1,095 <u>30,000</u> 505,419	\$\$	2,903 (1,601) (10,506) 190 120 0
Charges to Appropriation (Outflows: Assets Expenditures Small Asset Expenditure Court Reporter Costs Insurance Expense Internet Access Miscellaneous Office Supplies and Postage Payroll Taxes Professional Fees Reference Materials and Dues Rent - Equipment & Parking Repair, Maintenance and Warranty Retirement Expense Salaries Seminars, Meetings, and Travel Telephone Expense Training Total Charges to Appropriations	\$	38,611 11,900 6,000 19,103 1,481 1,212 15,185 4,863 58,377 1,400 15,664 7,145 18,809 253,342 24,500 7,140 1,350 486,082	\$ 6,595 17,860 4,277 23,300 3,625 568 13,427 5,024 58,092 850 15,874 4,719 20,177 263,752 26,457 2,903 270 \$ 467,770	\$ 6,594 18,667 3,351 23,208 3,717 556 12,488 4,983 60,136 845 15,933 4,917 20,125 261,373 25,114 3,447 270 465,724	\$	$ \begin{array}{r} 1\\ (807)\\ 926\\ 92\\ (92)\\ 12\\ 939\\ 41\\ (2,044)\\ 5\\ (59)\\ (198)\\ 52\\ 2,379\\ 1,343\\ (544)\\ \hline 2,046\\ \end{array} $
Excess of Resources Over (Under) Charges for Appropriations	\$	(34,677)	\$ 46,543	\$ 39,695	\$	(6,848)
Fund Balance - Beginning Fund Balance - Ending	<u>\$</u>	469,026 434,349	469,026 \$ 515,569	\$ 469,026 508,721	\$	<u>(6,848</u>)

1

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - GENERAL FUND (JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND) FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2004

		<u>Budgeted A</u> ginal	mou	i <u>nts</u> Final	Actual nounts	Fi	riance With nal Budget Positive <u>Negative)</u>
Resources (Inflows): Court Fees - Ouachita Parish Court Fees - Morehouse Parish Grant Revenue Interest Income Other Income Transfers In From Other Funds Amounts Available for	\$	169,500 41,350 213,250 10,500 <u>30,000</u>	2	157,280 43,000 213,250 7,877 <u>30,000</u>	\$ 157,607 44,201 163,663 7,811 2,701 30,000	\$	327 1,201 (49,587) (66) 2,701
Appropriation	<u>\$</u>	464,600	\$ 4	451,407	\$ 405,983	\$	(45,424)
Charges to Appropriation (Outflows: Assets Expenditures Small Asset Expenditure Court Reporter Costs Insurance Expense Internet Access Miscellaneous Office Supplies and Postage Payroll Taxes Professional Fees Reference Materials and Dues Rent - Equipment & Parking Repair, Maintenance and Warranty Retirement Expense Salaries Seminars, Meetings, Travel and Training Telephone and Utility Expense Total Charges to Appropriations	\$	29,100 10,500 6,000 17,439 1,200 4,263 18,575 2,538 67,043 2,050 11,952 9,900 12,502 267,524 25,300 1,875 487,761		25,109 16,413 5,475 15,876 1,373 14,827 12,816 3,895 54,887 1,282 13,224 5,927 16,668 239,059 24,142 3,125 454,098	\$ 25,100 16,555 5,415 15,574 1,353 2,843 13,914 4,060 44,064 1,644 14,946 5,799 17,500 212,153 23,268 1,184 405,372	\$\$	9 (142) 60 302 20 11,984 (1,098) (165) 10,823 (362) (1,722) 128 (832) 26,906 874 1,941 48,726
Excess of Resources Over (Under) Charges for Appropriations	\$	(23,161)	\$	(2,691)	\$ 611	\$	3,302
Fund Balance - Beginning		468,415	4	468,415	468,415		
Fund Balance - Ending	<u>\$</u>	445,254 \$		4 <u>65,724</u>	\$ 469,026	\$	3,302

····

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - SPECIAL REVENUE FUND (CHILD SUPPORT FUND) FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

	<u>0</u>	<u>Budgeted</u> riginal	Am	<u>ounts</u> Final	-	ounts	Fir	riance With nal Budget Positive Negative)
Resources (Inflows): Court Fees - Interest Income	\$	500,000 35,500	\$	538,000 32,760	\$	540,288 32,832	\$	2,288 72
Other Income		13		133		133		<u>.</u>
Amounts Available for								
Appropriation	<u>\$</u>	535,513	\$	570,893	\$	<u>573,253</u>	<u>\$</u> _	2,360
Charges to Appropriation (Outflows):								
Assets Expenditures	\$	14,000	\$	8,141	\$	8,141	\$	
Small Asset Expenditure	•	10,000	Ŧ	4,684	¥	4,804	Ψ	(120)
Court Process/Operation Fee		2,466		2,466		2,466		()
Insurance Expense		36,280		39,366		39,414		(48)
Internet Access		1,481		1,511		1,491		20
Miscellaneous		400		607		621		(14)
Payroll Taxes		10,266		9,179		9,185		(6)
Professional Fees		63,977		59,081		54,700		4,381
Reference Materials and Dues		40,000		42,500		44,461		(1,961)
Rent		11,801		16,028		15,716		312
Repair, Maintenance and Warranty		1,550		728		2,046		(1,318)
Retirement Expense		51,241		54,072		53,948		124
Salaries		382,175		327,299		330,040		(2,741)
Seminars, Meetings & Travel		7,200		7,350		7,661		(311)
Supplies		9,810		10,500		10,779		(279)
Telephone and Utility Expense		1,140		1,260		1,257		3
Training		500		10		10		
Transfers to Other Funds		30,000		<u>30,368</u>		30,000		368
Total Charges to Appropriations	<u>\$</u>	674,287	\$	615,1 <u>50</u>	\$	616,740	\$	<u>(1,590</u>)
Excess of Resources Over (Under)								
Charges for Appropriations	\$	(138,774)	\$	(44,257)	\$	(43,487)	\$	770
Fund Balance - Beginning		1,481,608		1,481 <u>,608</u>		1,481,608		
Fund Balance - Ending	<u>\$</u>	<u>1,342,834</u>	\$	<u>1,437,351</u>	<u>\$</u>	1,4 <u>38,121</u>	\$	770

Varianc

FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND MONROE, LOUISIANA

.

4

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - SPECIAL REVENUE FUND (CHILD SUPPORT FUND) FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2004

	0	<u>Budgeted</u> riginal	Am	<u>ounts</u> Final	-	Actual nounts		e Witl e Witl inal Budget Positive (<u>Negative)</u>
	$\overline{\mathbf{a}}$	nginai				nounts		(Negative)
Resources (Inflows):								
Court Fees -	\$	510,000	\$	500,000	\$	498,788	\$	(1,212)
Interest Income	•	35,942	•	35,526		35,405	•	(121)
Other Income				13		73		60
Amounts Available for		·		<u></u>				
Appropriation	<u>\$</u>	545,942	\$	<u>535,539</u>	\$	534,266	\$	(1,273)
Charges to Appropriation (Outflows):								
Assets Expenditures	\$	9,000	\$	3,968	\$	3,968	\$	
Small Asset Expenditure	Ψ	1,500	Ψ	11,831	Ψ	11,331	Ψ	500
Court Process/Operation Fee		2,466		2,466		2,466		000
Insurance Expense		22,436		32,421		32,821		(400)
Internet Access		720		1,373		1,373		(100)
Miscellaneous		500		390		391		(1)
Payroll Taxes		6,491		7,706		7,704		2
Professional Fees		68,703		70,015		69,814		201
Reference Materials and Dues		50,000		38,000		40,952		(2,952)
Rent		16,398		13,913		13,913		(-),
Repair, Maintenance and Warranty		1,487		1,671		1,549		122
Retirement Expense		25,556		39,937		40,105		(168)
Salaries		230,137		281,307		292,287		(10,980)
Seminars, Meetings, Travel and				,		,		(,
Training		9,000		8,045		8,125		(80)
Supplies		10,000		13,200		13,951		(751)
Telephone and Utility Expense		1,000		1,231		1,222		` 9
Transfers to Other Funds		30,000		30,000		30,000		
Total Charges to Appropriations	\$	485,394	\$	557,474	\$	<u>571,972</u>	\$	(14,498)
Excess of Resources Over (Under)								
Charges for Appropriations	\$	60,548	\$	(21,935)	\$	(37,706)	\$	(15,771)
Fund Balance - Beginning		<u>1,519,314</u>		1,519,314		1,519,314		
Fund Balance - Ending	<u>\$</u>	1,579,862	\$	<u>1,497,379</u>	\$	1,481,608	\$	<u>(15,771</u>)

ı.

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE -SPECIAL REVENUE FUND (MISDEMEANOR PROBATION FUND) FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

	<u>0</u>	Budgeted riginal	Am	ounts Final	•	Actual nounts	Fi	riance With nal Budget Positive Negative)
Resources (Inflows): Court Fees - Interest Income Other Income	\$	324,500 2,500	\$	347,490 6,527 _ 574	\$	342,908 7,364 574	\$	(4,582) 837
Amounts Available for Appropriation	<u>\$</u>	327,000	\$	354,591	\$	350,846	<u>\$</u>	<u>(3,745</u>)
Charges to Appropriation (Outflows): Assets Expenditures	\$	25,000	\$	37,215	\$	37,215	\$	
Small Asset Expenditure Insurance Expense Internet Expense		49,294 720		5,207 58,265 1,889		5,472 42,643 1,829		(265) 15,622 60
Miscellaneous Postage Payroll Taxes		3,216		703 2,400 3,012		650 2,404 3,361		53 (4) (349)
Professional Fees Reference Materials and Dues Rent		20,423 500 4,240		35,042 170 7,616		33,844 170 7,579		1,198 37
Repair, Maintenance and Warranty Retirement Expense Salaries		125 23,965 209,418		2,019 23,425 179,827		2,019 25,257 187,914		(1,832) (8,087)
Seminars, Meetings and Travel Supplies Telephone and Utility Expense		1,432 5,300 4,380		2,174 8,892 3,888		2,135 11,635 4,138		39 (2,743) (250)
Training Total Charges to Appropriations	\$	348,013	\$	<u>919</u> <u>3</u> 72,663	\$	<u>919</u> 369,184	\$	3,479
Excess of Resources Over (Under) Charges for Appropriations	\$	(21,013)	\$	(18,072)	\$	(18,338)	\$	(266)
Fund Balance - Beginning		353,563		353,563		353,563		
Fund Balance - Ending	<u>\$</u>	332,550	\$	335,491	\$	335,225	<u></u>	<u>(266</u>)

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE -SPECIAL REVENUE FUND (MISDEMEANOR PROBATION FUND) FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2004

	<u>0</u>	<u>Budgeted</u> riginal	Am	<u>ounts</u> Final	-	Actual mounts	Fi	riance With inal Budget Positive (Negative)
Resources (Inflows): Court Fees - Interest Income Other Income	\$	276,500	\$	321,209 2,796 <u>384</u>	\$	319,636 4,691 345	\$	(1,573) 1,895 (<u>39</u>)
Amounts Available for Appropriation	<u>\$</u>	276,500	\$	324,389	\$	324,672	\$	283
Charges to Appropriation (Outflows):								
Assets Expenditures	\$		\$	2,240	\$	2,240	\$	
Small Asset Expenditure Insurance Expense		36,496		2,112 44,298		2,112 43,512		786
Miscellaneous		30,490		1,124		1,301		(177)
Postage		1,200		1,800		1,800		(17)
Payroll Taxes		2,708		7,868		7,157		711
Professional Fees		20,423		20,712		19,676		1,036
Reference Materials and Dues		500		60		60		· • • = = =
Rent		895		4,587		4,255		332
Repair, Maintenance and Warranty		125		1,394		1,463		(69)
Retirement Expense		14,001		19,255		20,603		(1,348)
Salaries		178,070		185,508		196,532		(11,024)
Seminars, Meetings, Travel and								
Training		1,433		846		1,227		(381)
Supplies		1,050		4,270		7,403		(3,133)
Telephone and Utility Expense	-	420		1,825		1,818		7
Total Charges to Appropriations	<u>\$</u>	257,321	\$	297,899	\$	311,159	\$	(13,260)
Excess of Resources Over (Under)								
Charges for Appropriations	\$	19,179	\$	26,490	\$	13,513	\$	(12,977)
Fund Balance - Beginning		340,050		340,050		340,050		
Fund Balance - Ending	<u>\$</u>	359,229	\$	366,540	\$	353,563	\$	<u>(12,977</u>)

.

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - SPECIAL REVENUE FUND (DRUG COURT FUND) FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

	<u>O</u> i	<u>Budgeted</u>	Am	ounts Final		Actual nounts	F	ariance With inal Budget Positive (Negative)
Resources (Inflows): Drug Screen Fees - Supreme Court Supreme Court - TANF	\$	33,700 450,000	\$	23,941 393,054 56,372	\$	23,191 376,501 73,499	\$	(750) (16,553) 17,127
Amounts Available for Appropriation	<u>\$</u>	<u>483,700</u>	\$	473,367	\$_	473,191	\$	(176)
Charges to Appropriation (Outflows):	¢		¢	4 704	¢	4 704	•	
Asset Expenditure Small Asset Expenditure Insurance Expense Internet Expense Miscellaneous	\$	20,939	\$	4,721 5,902 20,034 265 19	\$	4,721 6,197 15,735 270 19	\$	(295) 4,299 (5)
Payroll Taxes Professional Fees Rent		1,754 291,903 1,759		1,818 207,995 468		1,891 208,774 446		(73) (779) 22
Repair, Maintenance and Warranty Retirement Expense Salaries Seminars, Meetings &Travel		125 13,442 126,506 5,398		190 15,483 130,750 11,318		190 16,062 138,066 11,435		(579) (7,316) (117)
Supplies Telephone and Utility Expense Training	_	250 1,500		52,861 2,249 1,841		58,987 2,324 <u>1,841</u>		(6,126) (75)
Total Charges to Appropriations Excess of Resources Over (Under)	<u>\$</u>	463,576	\$	455,914	\$_	466,958	\$	<u>(11,044</u>)
Charges for Appropriations	\$	20,124	\$	17,453	\$	6,233	\$	(11,220)
Fund Balance - Beginning Fund Balance - Ending	\$	(22,240) (2,11 <u>6</u>)	\$	(22,240) (4,787)	\$	<u>(22,240)</u> (16,007)	\$	(11,220)
	<u>Ψ</u>		Ψ	(4,101)	Ψ	[10,007]	ų.	(11,220)

.....

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - SPECIAL REVENUE FUND (DRUG COURT FUND) FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2004

	<u>0</u>	<u>Budgeted</u> riginal	<u>Am</u>	<u>ounts</u> Final		otual	Fi	riance With nal Budget Positive <u>Negative)</u>
Resources (Inflows): Drug Screen Fees - Supreme Court Supreme Court - TANF Other Income	\$	26,000 450,000	\$	32,024 450,000 201	\$	29,671 393,090 56,860 201	\$	(2,353) (56,910) 56,860
Amounts Available for Appropriation	<u>\$</u>	476,000	\$	482,225	\$	479,822	\$	(2,403)
Charges to Appropriation (Outflows): Small Asset Expenditure Insurance Expense Miscellaneous Payroll Taxes Professional Fees Rent Repair, Maintenance and Warranty Retirement Expense Salaries Seminars, Meetings, Travel and Training Supplies Telephone and Utility Expense Total Charges to Appropriations	\$ \$	16,586 1,140 261,918 895 125 8,262 104,429 8,279 63,626 1,500 466,760	\$	200 14,974 442 1,422 251,695 859 61 9,143 103,777 7,356 49,271 1,030 440,230	\$	898 15,327 462 1,548 280,724 760 61 10,713 115,537 8,036 56,232 1,097 491,395	\$	(698) (353) (20) (126) (29,029) 99 (1,570) (11,760) (11,760) (680) (6,961) (6,961) (67) (51,165)
Excess of Resources Over (Under) Charges for Appropriations	\$	9,240	\$	41,995	\$	(11,573)	\$	(53,568)
Fund Balance - Beginning		(10,667)		(10,667)		(10,667)		
Fund Balance - Ending	<u>\$</u>	(1,427)	\$	<u>31,328</u>	<u>\$</u>	(22,240)	<u>\$</u>	<u>(53,568</u>)

CAMERON, HINES & HARTT

and a second second

(A Professional Accounting Corporation) Certified Public Accountants 104 Regency Place West Monroe, Louisiana 71291

Phone (318) 323-1717 Fax (318) 322-5121 E-Mail: chhcpas@bellsouth.net

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

The Honorable Judges of the Fourth Judicial District Court Judicial Expense Fund Monroe, Louisiana

Mailing Address:

West Monroe, LA 71294-2474

P. O. Box 2474

We have audited the component unit financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund, a component unit of the Ouachita Parish Police Jury, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2005, which collectively comprise the Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund's component unit financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated October 20, 2005. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the component unit financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

The Honorable Judges of the Fourth Judicial District Court Judicial Expense Fund Page 2

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund's component unit financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, others within the organization, Louisiana Legislative Auditor and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. Under Louisiana Revised Statute 24:513, this report is distributed by the Legislative Auditor as a public document.

Cameron, Hines & Hartt (APAC)

West Monroe, Louisiana October 20, 2005

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

The Honorable Judges of the Fourth Judicial District Court Judicial Expense Fund Monroe, Louisiana

We have audited the component unit financial statements of the Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund as of and for the year ended June 30, 2005, and have issued our report thereon dated October 20, 2005. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Our audit of the financial statements as of June 30, 2005, resulted in an unqualified opinion.

- Section I- <u>Summary of Auditor's Reports</u>
 - A. Report on Internal Control and Compliance Material to the Financial Statements
 - Internal Control Material Weakness yes X no Reportable Conditions yes X no
 - Compliance Compliance Material to Financial Statements yes X no
 - B. Federal Awards N/A

Internal Control

Material Weakness___yes __no Reportable Conditions___yes___no

Type of Opinion on Compliance For Major Programs

.

Unqualified _____ Qualified _____ Disclaimer ____ Adverse _____

Are their findings required to be reported in accordance with Circular A-133, Section .510 (a)?

C. Identification of Major Programs: N/A

CFDA Number(s) Name of Federal Program (or cluster)

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B Programs. N/A

Is the auditee a "low-risk" auditee, as defined by OMB Circular A-133? N/

Section II- <u>Financial Statement Findings</u> No matters were reported.

Section III- Federal Award Findings and Question Costs- N/A

SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

Section I- Internal Control and Compliance Material to the Financial Statements

This section is not applicable for this entity.

Section II- Internal Control and Compliance Material to Federal Awards

This section is not applicable for this entity.

Section III- Management Letter

No management letter was issued.

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS WITH NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

		Recognized	Expenditures
93 558	\$ 231 778	\$ 231 778	\$ 231,778
;	93.558	93.558 <u>\$_231,778</u>	93.558 <u>\$ 231,778 \$ 231,778</u>

1. General

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activity of all federal award programs of the Fourth Judicial District Court. The Fourth Judicial District Court did not pass through any of its federal awards to a subrecipient during the year.

2. Basis of Accounting

.

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented using the accrual basis of accounting as contemplated under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and which is the same basis of accounting used for presenting the component unit financial statements. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations.* Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the basic financial statements.

.

FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND MONROE, LOUISIANA

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULES SCHEDULE OF JUDGES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

- Division AJudge Jimmy N. DimosDivision BJudge Sharon I. MarchmanDivision CJudge Wilson RamboDivision DJudge H. Stephens WintersDivision EJudge Marcus R. Clark
- Division F Judge C. Wendell Manning
- Division G Chief Judge Carl Van Sharp
- Division H Judge Benjamin Jones
- Division I Judge Alvin R. Sharp