PECTIVE 03 GEO 22 PM

FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND MONROE, LOUISIANA

COMPONENT UNIT FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
JUNE 30, 2003

AND INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Under provisions of state law, this report is a public document. A copy of the report has been submitted to the entity and other appropriate public officials. The report is available for public inspection at the Baton Rouge office of the Legislative Auditor and, where appropriate, at the office of the parish clerk of court

Release Date 2 - 11 - 04

COMPONENT UNIT FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS OF AND FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2003 WITH SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULES

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditors' Report	1-2
Required Supplementary Information (Part A) Management's Discussion and Analysis	3-7
Government-Wide Financial Statements: Statement of Net Assets Statement of Activities	8 9
Fund Financial Statements: Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances - Governmental Funds	10 11
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Assets	12
Notes to Financial Statements	13-26
Required Supplementary Information (Part B) Budgetary Comparison Schedule	
General Fund	27
Child Support Fund	28
Court Services Fund	29
Supplementary Information	
Grant Activity	30-31
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	32-33
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	34-35
Schedule of Judges	36

CAMERON, HINES & HARTT

(A Professional Accounting Corporation)

Certified Public Accountants

104 Regency Place
West Monroe, Louisiana 71291

Phone (318) 323-1717 Fax (318) 322-5121 E-Mail: chhcpas@bellsouth.net

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

The Honorable Judges of the Fourth Judicial District Court Judicial Expense Fund Monroe, Louisiana

Mailing Address:

West Monroe, LA 71294-2474

P. O. Box 2474

We have audited the accompanying component unit financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund, a component unit of the Ouachita Parish Police Jury as of and for the year ended June 30, 2003, which collectively comprise the Court's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund's management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund, as of June 30, 2003, and the respective changes in financial position thereof of the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The Honorable Judges of the Fourth Judicial District Court Judicial Expense Fund Page 2

Management's discussion and analysis and budgetary comparison information on pages 2 through 6 and 26 through 28 are not a required part of the component unit financial statements but are supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, with consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund's component unit financial statements. Management's discussion and analysis and budgetary comparison information are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the component unit financial statements. Management's discussion and analysis and budgetary comparison information have been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the component unit financial statements and, in our opinion, are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole. Management's discussion and analysis and budgetary comparison information have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

Cameron, Hines & Hartt (APAC)

West Monroe, Louisiana November 18, 2003

Required Supplemental Information (Part A)

Management Discussion and Analysis

STATE OF LOUISIANA



Fourth Judicial Bistrict Court

PARISHES OF MOREHOUSE AND OUACHITA 300 ST. JOHN - SUITE 400

Monroe. Conteins 71201

TELEPHONE (318) 361-2252 FAX (318) 361-2230 E-MAIL: skadar@4jdc.com

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Our discussion and analysis of the Fourth Judicial District Court provides an overview of the Court's activities for the year ended June 30, 2003. Please read it in conjunction with the Court's financial statements.

USING THIS ANNUAL REPORT

This annual report consists of a series of financial statements. The Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities provide information about the activities of the Court as a whole and present a longer-term view of the Court's finances. Fund financial statements tell how governmental activities were financed in the short term as well as what remains for future spending. Fund financial statements also report the Court's operations in more detail than the government-wide statements by also providing information about all of the Court governmental funds.

Reporting the Court as a Whole

The Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities

These statements include all assets and liabilities using the accrual basis of accounting, which is similar to the accounting used by most private-sector companies. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are taken into account regardless of when cash is received or paid.

These two statements report the Court's net assets and changes in them. The Court's net assets - the difference between assets and liabilities - measure the Court's financial position. The increases or decreases in the Court's net assets are an indicator of whether its financial position is improving or deteriorating. Other non-financial factors, however, such as number of cases handled by District Court as well as the number of judgeships approved by the State Legislature and the State's economic condition should be considered in order to assess the overall condition of the Court.

Currently, the Court has only governmental activities that provide for personnel, equipment, supplies, and other costs related to the proper administration of the District Court. Primarily, court costs, fees, grants, and interest finance these activities.

Reporting the Court's Funds

Fund Financial Statements

The fund financial statements provide detailed information about the Court's funds, not the Court as a whole. In addition to accounting for the court costs and fees and other revenues that finance activities of District Court, the Court also accounts for the appropriations received from the Department of Health and Human Resources, State of Louisiana, related to child support cases and from the State of Louisiana Supreme Court to administer the Drug Court. The General Fund, the Child Support Fund, and the Court Services Fund are all governmental funds that are available for spending. These funds are reported using an accounting method called modified accrual accounting, which measures only cash and other financial assets that can readily be converted to cash. The governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view of the Court's operations and the services it provides. Governmental fund information helps you determine the amount of financial resources available to be spent in the near future to finance the Court's programs. The relationship (or differences) between governmental activities (reported in the Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities) and governmental funds are shown in a reconciliation following the fund financial statements.

THE COURT AS A WHOLE

For the year ended June 30, 2003, net assets changed as follows:

Beginning net assets	\$ 2,261,911
Increase in net assets	123,020
Ending net assets	\$ 2,384,931

This reflects an increase in governmental activities for the year. It should be noted that there was a net increase of \$46,677 in court costs and fees from the prior year. Also, in 2003 the Court obtained \$60,220 in additional grants for its Truancy program.

Since this is the first year to report all activities on the accrual basis of accounting, a more detailed comparison to the prior year on the same basis is not possible. However, in future years, this section will explain the differences between the current and prior-year assets, liabilities, and changes in net assets.

Governmental Activities

Again, because this is the first year to report governmental activities on the accrual basis of accounting, a comparison to the prior year is not possible. However, in next year's discussion this section will show a condensed financial comparison of revenues and expenses with explanations for significant differences.

To aid in the understanding of the Statement of Activities some additional explanation is provided. Its format is significantly different than that of the Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Balance. The expenses are listed in the first column with revenues from that particular program reported to the right. The result is a Net Revenue/ (Expense). The reason for this kind of format is to highlight the relative financial burden of each of the governmental functions. It also identifies how much each function draws from the general revenues or if it is self-financing through fees and grants. Court costs and fees reported represent the majority of the revenues for the District Court function.

All other governmental revenues (such as interest) are reported as general.

THE COURT'S FUNDS

With the implementation of two new accounting standards, an analysis of current and prior-year governmental fund balance sheets is difficult to do. In subsequent years, this section will discuss and analyze significant differences.

The following schedule presents a summary of general and special revenue fund revenues and expenditures for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003, and the amount and percentage of increases and decreases in relation to the prior year.

			Increase	
			(Decrease)	Percent
	FYE 2003	Percent	\mathbf{from}	Increase
Revenues:	Amount	of Total	FYE 2002	(Decrease)
Fees	\$ 1,029,132	63%	\$ 46,677	5%
Intergovernmental	165,981	10%	60,220	57%
Charges for services	385,368	24%	(12,132)	(3%)
Interest	51,028	3%	(6,481)	(11%)
Other	45	-	(2,364)	(98%)
Total revenues	\$ 1,631,554	100%	\$ 85,921	6%

Fees experienced a moderate increase this year. Intergovernmental revenues increased due to additional grant revenue.

			Increase	
			(Decrease)	Percent
	FYE 2003	Percent	from	Increase
Expenditures:	Amount	of Total	FYE 2002	(Decrease)
District Court	\$ 1,470,011	97%	\$ 121,585	9%
Capital Outlay	42,961	3%	553	1%
Total expenditures	\$ 1,512,972	100%	\$ 122,138	9%

District Court expenditures increased moderately this year. The increase in District Court expenditures was due to increases in salaries, related retirement expense, insurance and supplies.

GENERAL FUND BUDGETARY HIGHLIGHTS

During the year, the General Fund and Special Revenue Funds budgets were revised one time. The General Fund budget amendment was an increase in anticipated grant receipts and a reduced amount of court costs collected from the Morehouse Parish Sheriff's Office and Ouachita Parish Sheriff's Office. The Special Revenue Funds budget amendments were due to an increase in appropriations as a result of additional fees received as well as an increase in insurance, travel, and supplies expenditures.

The actual general fund revenues were less than the final budget by \$151,301. Actual general fund expenditures were less than the final budget by \$152,230. This reflects grant revenue that was anticipated in the final budget that did not materialize during the current fiscal year.

CAPITAL ASSET AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

Capital Assets

At the end of June 30, 2003, the Court had \$67,819 invested in capital assets including computer equipment, and office furniture and equipment (See table below).

	2003
Computer equipment, including software	\$ 38,836
Office equipment and furniture	<u>28,983</u>
Totals	\$ 67,819

This year's major additions included a Ricoh scanner, eight computers, a laser printer, a star fish satellite speakerphone system, a projector and telephone system cabinet upgrade.

Debt

At year end, the Court had a total of \$33,055 estimated for accrued compensated absences that represents the future liability for vacation earned but not used by District Court employees. That is an increase of one percent as shown in the following table.

	2003	2002
Accrued compensated absences	\$ 33,055	\$ 28,789

ECONOMIC FACTORS AND NEXT YEAR'S BUDGETS AND RATES

Court operations are funded extensively by the Parishes of Morehouse and Ouachita. The annual budget for the Court anticipates a flat growth with very little change except for grant participation beyond previous activity.

CONTACTING THE DISTRICT'S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers, customers, and creditors with general overview of the Court's finances and to show the Court's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact the Judicial Administrator's Office at The Fourth Judicial District Court, 300 St. John, Suite 400, Monroe, Louisiana.

Scott F. Kadar

Judicial Administrator

Sett S. Cadan

Government-Wide Financial Statements

STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS JUNE 30, 2003

ASSETS	GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES
Cash In Bank Investments - LAMP Prepaid Expenses and Deposits Due From Other Governmental Units Accounts Receivable Capital Assets	\$ 1,536,879 766,543 450 92,436 12,867 67,819
Total Assets	<u>\$ 2,476,994</u>
LIABILITIES	
Accrued and Other Liabilities Due to State of Louisiana Group Benefits Plan (insurance withheld)	\$ 32,818 135
Due to Other Governmental Units (Payroll taxes and overpaid court fees) Compensated Absences Payable	26,055 33,055
Total Liabilities	<u>\$ 92,063</u>
NET ASSETS	
Invested in Capital Assets, Net of Related Debt Unrestricted	\$ 67,819 <u>2,317,112</u>
Total Net Assets	<u>\$ 2,384,931</u>
Total Liabilities and Net Assets	<u>\$ 2,476,994</u>

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2003

			Program F	Revenues	
	<u>Expenses</u>	Charges for Services	Operating Grants and Contributions	Capital Grants and Contribution	•
Function/Program Activities: Government Activities: Judicial Expense Fund Child Support Court Services	\$ 294,179 439,568 	\$ 0 0 385,368	\$ 63,849 0 102,132	, \$	\$ (230,330) 0 (439,568) 0 (287,287)
Total Government Activities	<u>\$1,508,534</u>	\$ <u>385,368</u>	\$ 16 <u>5,981</u>	\$	<u>\$ (957,185</u>)
	Inter Inter Othe		rues		\$ 1,029,132 51,028 <u>45</u> \$ 1,080,205
	Char	nges in Net Asse	ets		\$ 123,020
	NET	ASSETS-BEGI	NNING		2,261,911
	NET	ASSETS - END	ING		<u>\$ 2,384,931</u>

Fund Financial Statements

BALANCE SHEET GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS JUNE 30, 2003

		General Fund	Chil	d Support Fund	С	ourt Services Fund	G	Total overnmentai <u>Funds</u>
ASSETS								
Cash In Bank Investments-LAMP Prepaid Expenses and Deposits Due From Other Governmental Units Due From Other Funds Accounts Receivable	\$	367,467 104,635 0 26,631 0 129	\$	1,030,330 448,216 450 43,834 10,000 12,588	\$	139,082 213,692 0 21,971 0 150	\$	1,536,879 766,543 450 92,436 10,000 12,867
Total Assets	<u>\$</u>	498,862	\$	1,545,418	\$	374,895	\$	2,419,175
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES								
Liabilities								
Accrued and Other Liabilities Due to State of Louisiana Group	\$	9,995	\$	11,606	\$	11,217	\$	32,818
Benefits Plan (insurance withheld) Due to Other Governmental Units		135		0		0		135
(Payroll taxes and overpaid court fees)		4,333		7,685		14,037		26,055
Compensated Absences Payable		5,984		6,813		20,258		33,055
Due to Other Funds		10,000		0		0		10,000
Total Liabilities	<u>\$</u>	30,447		<u>26,104</u>		<u>45,512</u>		<u> 102,063</u>
Fund Balances - Unreserved								
Unrestricted	\$	445,255		1,519,314		329,383		2,293,952
Designated		23,160				0		23,160
Total Fund Balances	\$	468,415		1,519,314		329,383		2,317,112
Total Liabilities and								
Fund Balances	<u>\$</u>	4 98,862	\$	<u>1,545,418</u>	\$	374,895	\$	<u>2,419,175</u>

RECONCILIATION OF TOTAL GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE TO NET ASSETS OF GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES JUNE 30, 2003

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Net Assets are different because:

Total Fund Balances - Total Governmental Funds

Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and therefore are not reported in the funds

Net Assets of Governmental Activities

\$ 2,317,112

\$ 2,317,112

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2003

		General Fund	Chil	d Support Fund	С	ourt Services Fund	G	Total overnmental Funds
REVENUES								
Court Fees	\$	207,156	\$	509,504	\$	312,472	\$	1,029,132
Active Disorder Receipts	•	0	•	0	•	385,368	-	385,368
Grant Revenue		63,849		Ö		102,132		165,981
Interest Income		10,481		35,883		4,664		51,028
Other Income		. 0		, O		45		45
Total Revenue	<u>\$</u>	281,486	\$	545,387	\$	804,681	\$	1,631,554
EXPENDITURES								
Asset Expenditures	\$	22,980	\$	12,299	\$	7,682	\$	42,961
Small Asset Expenditures	•	10,787	•	1,748	•	778	·	13,313
Court Reporter Costs		5,648		0		0		5,648
Insurance Expense		13,764		29,794		48,870		92,428
Internet Access		3,483		3,275		0		6,758
Miscellaneous		1,742				1,466		3,209
Office Supplies and Postage		12,481		10,164		6,255		28,900
Payroll Taxes		3,752		6,060		4,025		13,837
Professional Fees		43,355		83,126		308,255		434,736
Reference Material and Dues		1,990		48,714		60		50,764
Rent - Equipment & Parking		14,428		15,927		3,098		33,453
Repair, Maintenance, & Warranty		10,695		1,825		669		13,189
Retirement Expense		14,094		26,822		22,449		63,365
Salaries		121,141		196,896		269,930		587,967
Seminars, Meetings, Travel & Training		18,451		3,667		16,456		38,574
Supplies		Ó		0		76,656		76,656
Telephone & Utility Expense		874		673		5,667		7,214
Total Expenditures	\$	299,665	\$	440,991	\$	772,316	\$	1,512,972
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF								
REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES:	\$	(18,179)	\$	104,396	\$	32,365	\$	118,582
Other Finensing Courses (Uses)								
Other Financing Sources (Uses)		17 500		^		0		17 500
Operating Transfers In		17,500		(47 500)		0		17,500
Operating Transfers Out	<u>_</u>	17 500		(17,500)	•		•	<u>(17,500</u>)
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	<u>a</u>	17,500		(17,500)	<u> </u>		Φ_	<u> </u>
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES AND								
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES OVER EXPENDITURES AND OTHER USES	\$	(679)	\$	86,896	\$	32,365	\$	118,582
EVERINDI OUES WIND OTHER 09E9	Ψ	(019)	Ф	00,030	Ψ	JZ,JUJ	Ψ	1 10,002
FUND BALANCE - BEGINNING		469,094	_	1,432,418		297,018		<u>2,198,530</u>
FUND BALANCE - ENDING	<u>\$</u>	468,415	\$_	1,519,314	\$	329,383	\$	2,317,112

RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2003

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Net Assets are different because:

Net Change in Fund Balance - Total Governmental Funds	<u>\$ 118,582</u>
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures while governmental activities report deprecation expense to allocate those expenditures over the life of the assets: Capital Asset Purchases Capitalized Depreciation Expense	\$ 10,538 (6,100) \$ 4,438
Change in Net Assets of Governmental Activities	<u>\$ 123,020</u>

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY NET ASSETS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2003

	Misdemeanor Probation Fines Fund			Indigent Defender Board Fund	F	sdemeanor Probation Restitution Fund		Total
CHANGES IN NET ASSETS								
ADDITIONS Fines collected for Fourth District	\$	13,315	\$	0	\$	0	\$	13,315
Indigent Defender Board fees collected for Fourth District		0		3,350		0		3,350
Restitution payments collected for court ordered distribution		0		0		53,182		53,182
Total Additions	\$	13,315	\$	3,350	\$	53,182	\$	69,847
REDUCTIONS Disbursements to Ouachita	ø	44.540	œ	_	•	^	œ	44.540
Parish Sheriff's Office Disbursements to Morehouse Parish Sheriff's Office Disbursements to Indiana Defenden	\$	11,540 1,775	\$	0	\$	0	\$	11,5 4 0 1,775
Disbursements to Indigent Defender Board Disbursements of Restitution		0		3,350		0		3,350
to Court Ordered Recipients		0		0		53,182	<u> </u>	<u>53,182</u>
Total Reductions	<u>\$</u>	13,315	\$	3,350	\$	53,182	\$	69,847
CHANGES IN NET ASSETS	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
NET ASSETS - BEGINNING		0		0		0		<u>0</u>
NET ASSETS - ENDING	<u>\$</u>	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Judicial Expense Fund complies with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). GAAP includes all relevant Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) pronouncements. In the government-wide financial statements, Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) pronouncements and Accounting Principles Board (APB) opinions issued on or before November 30, 1989 have been applied unless those pronouncements conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncements, in which case, GASB prevails. The accounting and reporting framework and the more significant accounting policies are discussed in subsequent sections of this note. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003, the Judicial Expense Fund has adopted the new financial reporting requirements of GASB Statement Nos. 33 and 34. As a result, an entirely new financial presentation format has been implemented.

<u>FINANCIAL REPORTING ENTITY</u>: The Fourth Judicial District Court, General Fund (Judicial Expense Fund), was created under the Act No. 52 of 1984, effective on the 60th day after final adjournment of the 1984 legislative session of the State of Louisiana. The effective date of funding was set on November 1, 1984.

The purpose of the fund is to provide sufficient funding to expedite efficient operation of the court. In general, the fund is established and may be used for any purpose or purposes connected with, incidental to or related to the proper administration of the court.

The Fourth Judicial District Court, Special Revenue Fund (Child Support), authority was created under the Act No. 517 of 1986, effective within one year after final adjournment of the 1986 legislative session of the State of Louisiana. In accordance with Louisiana Revised Statutes, § 46: 236.5 the Fourth Judicial District Court implemented this process beginning November 1, 1990.

The purpose of the fund is to provide an expedited process for establishment and enforcement of support obligations which are brought by the Department of Health and Human Resources. Revenues are to be expended to administer the proceedings related to the expedited process.

The Fourth Judicial District Court, Special Revenue Fund (Misdemeanor Probation), authority was created under the Louisiana Code of Criminal Procedure Article 895.1C. The Fourth Judicial District Court issued an order signed en banc February 26, 1993 implementing this process.

The purpose of the fund is to provide misdemeanor probation services by collecting monthly fines for establishment and enforcement of the probationary period. Revenues are to be expended to administer the proceedings related to the probation process.

The Fourth Judicial District Court, Special Revenue Fund (Drug Court), authority was created under the Louisiana Code of Criminal Procedure Article 193 and Article 18 by Rule XI of this court. The drug treatment and probation program shall be established in accordance with the provisions of LSAR.5.13:5301-5304. The Fourth Judicial District Court issued an order signed en banc February 4, 2000 implementing the process effective January 1, 2000.

The purpose of the fund is to administer drug offense charges. Revenues are to be expended to administer the monitoring, counseling, and enforcement of drug offense probation.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont'd)

The accounting and reporting policies of the Fourth Judicial Court, Judicial Expense Fund conform to generally accepted accounting principles as applicable to governments. Such accounting and reporting procedures also conform to the requirements of Louisiana Municipal Audit and Accounting Guide, the industry audit guide, Audits of State and Local Governmental Units; Standards for Audit of Governmental Organizations, Programs, Activities, and Functions, and/or, where applicable, Public Law 98-502, the Single Audit Act of 1996, and OMB Circular A-133.

For financial reporting purposes, in conformity with the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB), the Judicial Expense Fund and Child Support is a part of the Fourth Judicial District Court, a component of the Ouachita Parish Police Jury judicial system. The financial reporting entity consists of (a) the primary government (Ouachita Parish Policy Jury), (b) organization fo which the primary government is financially accountable, and (c) other organizations for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the primary government are such that exclusion would cause the reporting entity's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete.

Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 14 established criteria for determining which component units should be considered part of the Ouachita Parish Police Jury for financial reporting purposes. The basic criterion for including a potential component unit within the reporting entity is financial accountability. The GASB has set forth criteria to be considered in determining financial accountability.

This criteria includes:

- Appointing a voting majority of an organization's governing body, and
 - a. The ability of the Ouachita Parish Policy Jury to impose its will on that organization and/or
 - b. The potential for the organization to provide specific financial benefits to or impose specific financial burdens on the Ouachita Parish Police Jury.
- Organizations for which the Ouachita Parish Police Jury does not appoint a voting majority but are fiscally dependent on the Ouachita Parish Police Jury.
- 3. Organizations for which the reporting entity's financial statements would be misleading if data of the organization is not included because of the nature or significance of the relationship.

Because the Ouachita Parish Police Jury provides for the operation and maintenance of the Courtroom and office space of the Judges in its parish courthouse, the Fourth Judicial District Court was determined to be a component unit of the Ouachita Parish Police Jury, the financial reporting entity. The accompanying financial statements present information only on the funds maintained by the Fourth Judicial District Court and do not present information on the Ouachita Parish Police Jury, the general government services provided by that governmental unit or the other governmental units that comprise the financial reporting entity.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont'd)

BASIS OF PRESENTATION: In June, 1999, the GASB unanimously approved Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statements - and Management's Discussion and Analysis - for State and Local Governments. Certain of the significant changes in the presentation of its funds financial information include, for the first time a Management Discussion and Analysis (MD & A) section providing an analysis of the Judicial Expense Fund's overall financial position and results of operations and financial statements prepared using full accrual accounting for all Judicial Expense Fund's activities. These and other changes are reflected in the accompanying financial statements including the notes to the financial statements. The Judicial Expense Fund has elected to implement the general provisions of the Statement in the current year and plans to retroactively report capital assets acquired prior to July 1, 2002.

<u>BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>: Government-wide financial statements - The statement of net assets and statement of activities display information about the reporting government as a whole. They include all funds of the reporting entity. Governmental activities generally are financed through taxes, intergovernmental revenues, and other nonexchange revenues.

Fund financial statements - The financial transactions of the Judicial Expense Fund are reported in individual funds in the fund financial statements. Each fund is accounted for by a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprises its assets, liabilities, fund equity, revenues, and expenditures.

The funds of the Judicial Expense Fund are described as follows:

General Fund - The general fund is the general operating fund and accounts for all activities of the Judicial Expense Fund except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

Special Revenue Fund - Special revenue funds are used to account for specific revenue sources that are legally restricted to expenditures for specified purposes. Included in special revenue funds are the Child Support Fund and Court Services Fund which includes Misdemeanor Probation and Drug Court.

This report includes funds which are controlled by the Fourth Judicial District Court (Chief Judge and Judges) but determined to be a component unit of the Ouachita Parish Police Jury. The Ouachita Parish Police Jury has significant control over the Judicial Expense Fund in the area of necessary capital outlay. The Ouachita Parish Police Jury would present this component unit in a discreet presentation format if it were included in their financial statements. The funds are administered by the Court Administrator.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont'd)

<u>MEASUREMENT FOCUS AND BASIS OF ACCOUNTING:</u> Measurement focus is a term used to describe "which" transactions are recorded within the various financial statements. Basis of accounting refers to "when" transactions are recorded regardless of measurement focus applied.

Measurement focus - The government-wide financial statements are presented using the economic resources measurement focus. The accounting objectives of this measurement focus are the determination of operating income, changes in net assets, and financial position. All assets and liabilities (whether current or non-current) associated with their activities are reported. All governmental funds utilize a current financial resources measurement focus in the fund financial statements. Only current assets and liabilities are generally included on the balance sheet. Operating statements present sources and uses of available spendable financial resources during a given period. The fund balance is the measure of available spendable financial resources at the end of the period.

Basis of accounting - The government-wide financial statements are presented using the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recognized when incurred or economic assets are used. Revenues, expenses, gains, losses, assets, and liabilities resulting from exchange and exchange-like transactions are recognized when the exchange takes place. In the fund financial statements, governmental funds are presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when "measurable and available" Measurable means the amount of the transaction can be determined, and available means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Expenditures (including capital outlay) are recorded when the related fund liability is incurred.

BUDGETS AND BUDGETARY ACCOUNTING: The Fourth Judicial District Court follows these procedures in establishing the budgetary data reflected in these financial statements:

- The Court Administrator prepares a proposed budget for the General Fund and each Special Revenue Fund and submits same to the Chief Judge, prior to the beginning of each fiscal year.
- 2. The Chief Judge, Fourth Judicial District Court, signs written approval of the budgets.
- Budgetary amendments involving the transfer of funds from one program or function to another or involving increases in expenditures resulting from revenues exceeding amounts estimated require the approval of the Chief Judge.
- All budgetary appropriations lapse at the end of each fiscal year.
- 5. Budgets for the General Fund (Judicial Expense Fund), and Special Revenue Funds (Child Support Fund, Misdemeanor Probation Fund, and Drug Court Fund), are adopted on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).
- Amendments of the budget are prepared by the Court Administrator and the process is the same as indicated for the original budget during the fiscal year.

<u>BUDGET VARIANCE</u>: The significant variation of actual expenses in the areas of asset expenditures, payroll related expenses, seminars, meetings, travel, and insurance were primarily due to the uncertainties of budgeting. The Chief Judge and Judges were aware of the variances and approved the additional expenses.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont'd).

<u>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</u>: Cash includes amounts in demand deposits, interest-bearing demand deposits, money market accounts, and time deposits with state banks organized under Louisiana law and national banks having their principal offices in Louisiana.

<u>INVESTMENTS</u>: In accordance with GASB Statement No. 31, the Court's general policy is to report money market investments and short-term participating interest-earning investment contracts at amortized cost and to report nonparticipating interest-earning investment contracts using a cost-based measure. However, if the fair value of an investment is significantly affected by the credit standing of the issuer or by other factors, it is reported at fair value. The term "short-term" refers to investments, which have a remaining term of one year or less at time of purchase. The term "nonparticipating" means that the investment's value does not vary with market interest rate changes.

INTERFUND RECEIVABLES/PAYABLES: Short-term interfund loans are classified as interfund receivables/payables.

PREPAID ITEMS: Advance payments for rent are expensed as the period lapses. The balance in prepaid expense represents advance rent payments due to expire during the subsequent month.

<u>CAPITAL ASSETS</u>: In the government-wide financial statements, capital assets purchased or acquired with an original cost of \$1,000, or more are reported at historical cost. Additions, improvement and other capital outlays that significantly extend the useful life of an asset are capitalized. Other costs incurred for repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred. Depreciation on all assets is provided on the straight-line basis over the following estimated useful lives:

Computer equipment, including software 5-10 years
Other office equipment 5-10 years
Furniture and fixtures 10-20 years

In the fund financial statements, fixed assets are accounted for as capital outlay expenditures of the fund upon acquisition. Capital assets reported herein include only those assets purchased by the Judicial Expense Fund and do not reflect assets of the court obtained from other sources.

<u>COMPENSATED ABSENCES</u>: The Fourth Judicial District Court accrues compensated absence expense based on unused vacation available to employees as of the last day of the fiscal year. Effective January 1, 1998, unused vacation will be accumulated, therefore all of the accrued compensated absence is recognized as a current year expenditure in the governmental funds. Accumulated sick leave is non-compensable, therefore, no provision has been made for unused sick leave.

FUND EQUITY: Designated fund balances represent tentative plans for future use of financial resources.

<u>INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS</u>: Transactions that constitute reimbursements to a fund for expenditures/expenses initially made from it that are properly applicable to another fund are recorded as expenditures/expenses in the reimbursing fund and as reductions of expenditures/expenses in the fund that is reimbursed.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont'd).

<u>COMPARATIVE DATA</u>: Comparative total data for the prior year have been presented in the accompanying financial statements in order to provide an understanding of changes in the Fourth Judicial District Court's financial position and operations. However, comparative (ie., presentation of prior year totals by fund type) data have not been presented in each of the statements since their inclusion would make the statements unduly complex and difficult to read.

<u>USE OF ESTIMATES:</u> The preparation of component unit financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that effect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE 2 EXPENDITURES - ACTUAL AND BUDGET

The Judicial Expense Fund had total actual expenditures less than total budgeted expenses for the year ended June 30, 2003 by \$152,230.

The Unfavorable variances for the current period were due to the uncertainties involved with budgeting. The Chief Judge and Judges were aware of the variances and approved the additional expenses. Truancy Assessment and Service Center (TASC) Assistance Program revenue of \$149,401 was not available for expenditure due to a change in the administration of the program.

NOTE 3 DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

A. Cash and Cash Equivalents

Included as cash and cash equivalents are bank accounts and short-term investments, especially certificates of deposit.

At June 30, 2003, the Fourth Judicial District Court had cash and cash equivalents (book balances) totaling \$1,346,879 as follows:

Interest-bearing demand deposits Time deposits	\$	166,879 1,180,000
Total	<u>\$</u>	1,346,879

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 3 DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS (Cont'd)

These deposits are stated at cost, which approximates market. Under state law, these deposits (or the resulting bank balances) must be secured by federal deposit insurance or the pledge of securities owned by the fiscal agent bank. The market value of the pledged securities plus the federal deposit insurance must at all times equal the amount on deposit with the fiscal agent. At June 30, 2003, the Fourth Judicial District Court had \$1,454,258 in deposits (collected bank balances). These deposits are secured from risk by \$585,000 of federal deposit insurance (Category 1) and \$869,258 of pledged securities held by the bank's agent in the name of the bank (Category 3).

In accordance with LRS 49:321, state depositing authorities shall require as security for deposit of state funds authorized bonds or other interest bearing notes; authorized promissory notes, warrants, or certificates of indebtedness unmatured or payable on demand. Fair value, excluding interest, of such securities held by the depositing authority shall be equal to 100% of the amount on deposit to the credit of the depositing authority except that portion appropriately insured. Designated depositories may be granted a period not to exceed five days from the date of any deposit to post the necessary security.

Category 1 represents deposits insured (inclusive of FDIC, SIPC, or similar federal security) or collateralized with securities held by the Court or its agent in the Court's name. Category 2 represents deposits collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent in the Court's name. Category 3 represents deposits uncollateralized including any securities held for the entity but not in the Court's name.

Even though the pledged securities are considered uncollateralized (Category 3) under the provisions of GASB Statement 3, Louisiana Revised Statute 39:1229 imposes a statutory requirement on the custodial bank to advertise and sell the securities within 10 days of being notified by the Fourth Judicial District Court that the fiscal agent has failed to pay deposited funds upon demand.

B. Investments

Similar to cash deposits, investments held at a financial institution can be categorized according to three levels of risk. These three levels of risk are:

- Category 1: Investments that are insured, registered or held by the entity or by its agent in the Court's name.
- Category 2: Investments that are uninsured and unregistered held by the counterparty's trust department or agent in the Court's name.
- Category 3: Uninsured and unregistered investments held by the counterparty, it's trust or it's agent, but not in the Court's name.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 3 DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS (Cont'd)

The Court invests in the Louisiana Asset Management Pool (LAMP) which is administered by LAMP, Inc. These approved investments are carried at cost (See Note 1), which approximates market and may be liquidated as needed. This investment pool has not been assigned a risk category since the Court is not issued securities, but rather owns an undivided beneficial interest in the assets of the pool. The carrying value of investments owned at year end was \$766,543 (Louisiana Asset Management Pool or LAMP) which approximates market as required by GASB 31.

Louisiana Asset Management Pool (LAMP) was created as a cooperative endeavor in 1993 to assist local Louisiana governmental entities with the investment of their cash balances. LAMP is not a bank and an investment in LAMP constitutes a direct investment in the assets constituting the pool, not a deposit. Investments in LAMP are not insured by the Federal Depository Insurance Corporation or any other federal agency. Collateralization requirements are not applicable when participating in the pool.

NOTE 4 DUE FROM OTHER GOVERNMENTAL UNITS

Amounts due from other governmental units consisted of the following:

		June 30,
	2003	2002
General Fund Judicial Expense Fund Court fees-Ouachita Parish, Sheriff Department		
State of Louisiana	\$ 6,448	\$ 19,173
Court fees-Ouachita Parish, Clerk of Court State of Louisiana Court fees Massing Desire Chariff Deportment	0	6,120
Court fees-Morehouse Parish, Sheriff Department State of Louisiana	2,149	4,750
Court fees-Morehouse Parish, Clerk of Court State of Louisiana Travel expense reimburgement dustice Department	1,320	975
Travel expense reimbursement - Justice Department, State of Louisiana Travelers of Papartment	8,698	6,880
Truancy expense reimbursement - Justice Department, State of Louisiana Family in needs services expense reimbursement-	4,777	4,025
Justice Department State of Louisiana	<u>3,239</u>	0
Totals	<u>\$ 26,631</u>	<u>\$ 41,923</u>
Special Revenue Funds Child Support Court fees - Department of Health and Human Resources,		
State of Louisiana Drug Court Fund	\$ 43,834	\$ 37,750
Addictive Disorders - Department of Health and Human Resources, State of Louisiana	21,971	<u>46,250</u>
Totals	\$ 65,805	<u>\$ 84,000</u>

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 5 CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset activity for the year ended June 30, 2003 was as follows:

	Balance June 30, 2002	Additions	Retirements	Balance June 30, 2003
Government Activities: Computers & Printers Equipment	\$ 136,624 111,589	\$ 19,940 12,786	\$ (14,172) (8,016)	\$ 142,392 116,359
Totals at Historical Cost	<u>\$ 248,213</u>	\$ 32,726	\$ (22,188)	<u>\$258,751</u>
Less Accumulated Depreciation for: Computers Equipment	\$ 100,152 <u>84,680</u>	\$ (15,921) (10,712)	\$ 12,517 8,016	\$ 103,556 <u>87,376</u>
	<u>\$ 184,832</u>	\$ (26,633)	\$ <u>20,533</u>	\$ 190 <u>,932</u>
Governmental Activities Capital Assets Net	<u>\$ 63,381</u>	\$ 6,093	\$ (1,655) __	\$ 67,819
Depreciation expense was charged to governmenta	l functions as	follows:		
Judicial Expense Fund Child Support Fund Court Services Fund				\$ 7,366 9,820 9,447
				<u>\$ 26,633</u>

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 6 PENSION PLANS

<u>Plan Description</u>. Many employees of the Court are members of the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System (LASERS), a cost-sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan administered by a separate board of trustees. The LASERS provides retirement benefits as well as disability and survivor benefits. Benefits are established and amended by state statute. Benefits granted by the LASERS are guaranteed by the State of Louisiana under provisions of the Louisiana Constitution of 1974. The LASERS issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. That report may be obtained by writing to the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement, Post Office Box 44213, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70804-4213, or by calling (225) 922-0600.

<u>Funding Policy</u>. Plan members of the Court are required by state statute to contribute 7.5 percent of their annual covered salary and the Court (employer) is required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate. The current employer rate is 14.1 and 13 percent of annual covered payroll as of June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively. The contribution requirements of plan members and the Court are established and may be amended by state statute. As provided by state statute, the employer contributions are determined by actuarial valuation and are subject to change each year based on the results of the valuation for the prior fiscal year. The Court's employer contributions to the LASERS for the years ending June 30, 2003, 2002, and 2001, were \$35,068, \$31,511, and \$25,466, respectively, equal to the required contributions for each year.

Other Plan Description. The employees that are not members of LASERS are members of the Parochial Employees' Retirement System of Louisiana (System), a multiple-employer (cost-sharing), Public Employee Retirement System (PERS), controlled and administered by a separate board of trustees. This System is composed of two distinct plans, Plan A and Plan B with separate assets and benefit provisions. The System issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. That report may be obtained by writing to the Parochial Employees' Retirement System of Louisiana, P.O. Box 14619, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70898-4619 or by calling (225) 928-1361.

Other Plan Funding Policy. To this system plan members are required to contribute 9.5 percent of their annual covered salary and this court reimburses the primary government, the Ouachita Parish Police Jury and Morehouse Parish Clerk of Court for retirement contributions at actuarially determined rates made into the System. The current employer rate is 7.75 percent of annual covered payroll. The Court reimbursed \$26,152, \$17,934, and \$13,945 to the Ouachita Parish Police Jury for contributions to the System during the years ending June 30, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively, as its share of contributions, equal to the required contributions for each year. The Court reimbursed \$2,145, \$1,940, and \$1,719 to the Morehouse Parish Clerk of Court for contributions to the System during the year ending June 30, 2003, 2002, and 2001, respectively as its share of contributions, equal to the required contributions for each year.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 7 DUE TO OTHER GOVERNMENTAL UNITS

Accounts due to other governmental units consist of the following:

ccounts due to other governmental units consist of the following.		Jun	e 30	1
		2003		2002
General Fund Judicial Expense Fund Ouachita Parish Sheriff Department-State of Louisiana Ouachita Parish Police Jury - State of Louisiana	\$	648 2,161	\$	554 341
Morehouse Parish Police Jury - State of Louisiana Louisiana State Employee's Retirement System State of Louisiana, Department of Revenue and Taxation		986 538		140 874 612
Total General Fund	<u>\$</u>	4,333	\$	2,521
Special Revenue Funds Child Support Fund State of Louisiana, Department of Health and				
Human Resources Ouachita Parish Sheriff Department - State of Louisiana	\$	18 648	\$	31 0
Ouachita Parish Police Jury - State of Louisiana		1,175		3,345
Morehouse Parish Clerk of Court - State of Louisiana State of Louisiana, Unemployment Compensation		2,621		2,446
Control System		802		950
Louisiana State Employee's Retirement System Court Services Fund (Misdemeanor and Drug Court)		2,421		2,365
Ouachita Parish Sheriff Department - State of Louisiana		648		0
Ouachita Parish Police Jury - State of Louisiana		7,617		17,697
Morehouse Parish Sheriff Department - State of Louisiana		2,454		0
Ouachita Parish District Attorney - State of Louisiana		250		0
Louisiana State Employee's Retirement System State of Louisiana, Unemployment Compensation		307		279
Control System State of Louisiana, Department of Health and		94		109
Human Resources Fund		2,667		<u> 10,118</u>
Total Special Revenue Funds	<u>\$</u>	21,722	\$	<u> 37,340</u>

NOTE 8 COMPENSATED ABSENCES

At June 30, 2003, employees of the Fourth Judicial District Court have accumulated and vested \$33,055 of employee leave benefits, which was computed in accordance with GASB Codification Section C60. Of this amount, \$5,984 is recorded as an obligation of the General Fund and \$27,071 is recorded as an obligation of the Special Revenue Funds.

At June 30, 2002, employees of the Fourth Judicial District Court had accumulated and vested \$28,789 of employee leave benefits, which was computed in accordance with GASB Codification Section C60. Of this amount, \$3,248 is recorded as an obligation of the General Fund and \$25,541 is recorded as an obligation of the Special Revenue Funds.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 9 COMMITMENTS

The Fourth Judicial District Court has operating leases as follows:

Special Revenue Funds

Misdemeanor Probation Fund: On August 19, 1998, the Fourth Judicial District Court agreed to sublease from the Ouachita Parish Police Jury a noncancellable operating lease for office space at 102 St. John, Monroe, Louisiana. The lease term is for twelve (12) months, commencing September 1, 1998 and ending August 31,1999. An option to renew this lease was exercised and extended same for one (1) year period with a five (5%) percent increase. The lease term was then for twelve (12) months, c o m m e n c i n g S e p t e m b e r 1, 1999 a n d e n d i n g A u g u s t 31, 2000. An option to renew this lease has been exercised and extended same for another one (1) year period with a five (5%) percent increase. The new lease term was for twelve (12) months, commencing September 1, 2000 and ending August 31, 2001. The facility was rented monthly from September 1, 2001 until January 10, 2002. Rental expense under this operating lease agreement was \$10,860 during the fiscal year ending June 30, 2002.

There are no minimum annual commitments under noncancellable operating leases.

NOTE 10 CHANGES IN AGENCY FUND DEPOSITS DUE OTHERS

A summary of changes in agency fund deposits due others follows:

	Balan Begir	•					Balance at End
	of Ye	ear	Additions	<u>Re</u>	<u>ductions</u>		of Year
Agency Funds:							
Misdemeanor Probation Fund							
Ouachita Parish Sheriff's Department,							
Monroe, Louisiana	\$	0	\$11,540	\$	11,540	\$	0
Morehouse Parish Sheriff's Department,					·		
Bastrop, Louisiana		0	1,775		1,775		0
Indigent Defender Board, Monroe,			·		·		
Louisiana		0	3,350		3,350		0
Restitution Recipients, Fourth District,					·		
State of Louisiana		0	53,182		<u>53,182</u>		0
	_	_					_
	<u>\$</u>	0	<u>\$69,847</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>69,847</u>	<u>\$</u>	0

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 11 JOINT VENTURES

The Child Support Fund has entered into agreements with the Ouachita Parish Police Jury, Ouachita Clerk of Court, and the Morehouse Clerk of Court for reimbursement of salaries, payroll taxes, group insurance, retirement and workman's compensation related to employees. The Misdemeanor Probation Fund and Drug Court Fund have also entered into agreements with the Ouachita Parish Police Jury for reimbursement of salaries, payroll taxes, group insurance, retirement and workman's compensation related to employees. The Fourth Judicial District Court, Misdemeanor Probation Fund have also entered into agreements with the Ouachita Parish Police Jury to reimburse them for rent. (see Note 9 - Commitments). The Ouachita Parish Police Jury also bills the Child Support Fund one dollar per page filed by that office to reimburse it for costs directly related to the Child Support enforcement program included in these financial statements as a special revenue fund. The Ouachita Parish Police Jury and Morehouse Parish Police Jury are reimbursed one dollar per page per case filed by those offices for costs directly related to the indigent cases of the Judicial Expense Fund included in these financial statements as a general fund expense. As the Fourth Judicial District Court, Child Support Fund, Misdemeanor Probation Fund, and Drug Court Fund reimburses the other governmental units, the appropriate expense accounts are debited and reflected in the financial statements.

NOTE 12 GRANT PROGRAM

Families in Need of Services (FINS)

The Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund, has entered into a contract with the Office of Community Services, State of Louisiana under the "Families In Need of Services Program" (FINS). The Court agreed to furnish the administration and implementation of Families In Need of Services, Title VII of the Louisiana Children's Code, within the Fourth Judicial District, State of Louisiana.

The Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund, had entered into a contract with the Louisiana Supreme Court, State of Louisiana under the "Families In Need of Services Program" (FINS). The contract was approved for the period beginning January 1, 2002 until December 31, 2002 and funded monthly from January 1, 2002 until June 30, 2002 for \$22,235 and July 1, 2002 until December 31, 2002 for \$22,235.

The Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund, continues to participate in another contract with the Louisiana Supreme Court, State of Louisiana under the "Families In Need of Services Program" (FINS). The contract was continued for the period beginning January 1, 2003 until December 31, 2003 and funded monthly from January 1, 2003 until June 30, 2003 for \$25,473.

The total expenditures of the Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund related to the FINS programs was \$47,441 and \$45,070 for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 12 GRANT PROGRAM (Cont'd)

Truancy Assessment and Service Center (TASC)

The Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund, has entered into a contract with the Louisiana Supreme Court, State of Louisiana under the "Truancy Assessment and Service Center (TASC) Assistance Program". The contract was approved for the period beginning July 1, 2002 until June 30, 2003 and funded based upon monthly statements of plan expenditures. The court received \$16,140 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003.

The Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund, has entered into a contract with the Louisiana Supreme Court, State of Louisiana under the "Truancy Assessment and Service Center (TASC) Assistance Program". The contract was approved for the period beginning December 1, 2001 until June 30, 2002 and funded monthly from December 1, 2001 until June 30, 2002 for \$56,140.

The total expenditures of the Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund related to this program were \$15,868 and \$58,773 for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)

The Fourth Judicial District Court, Court Services Fund, has been awarded from the Louisiana Supreme Court, State of Louisiana Drug Court Program Office funds that include federal grants totaling \$102,132 during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003 from the "Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program.

The total expenditures of the Fourth Judicial District Court related to this program was \$107,158 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2003.

NOTE 13 RISK MANAGEMENT

The Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions and natural disasters for which the Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund carries commercial insurance. There have been no significant reductions in coverage from the prior year and settlements have not exceeded coverage in the past three years.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION (PART B)
BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULES

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - GENERAL FUND (JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND) FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2003

	[Budgeted A	<u>mounts</u>	Α	ctual		ariance With inal Budget Over
	-	ginal	Final	An	nounts		(Under)
Resources (Inflows):	æ	185 420	\$ 168,500	\$	166,531	\$	(1,969)
Court Fees - Quachita Parish	\$	185,429	40,530	Ψ	40,625	Ψ	95
Court Fees - Morehouse Parish		50,210 106,826	213,250		63,849		(149,401)
Grant Revenue		13,500	10,507		10,481		(26)
Interest Income		30,000	17,5 <u>00</u>		17,500		(_0 /
Transfers in From Other Funds			17,500	 -	11,000		
Amounts Available for Appropriation	<u>\$</u>	385,965	\$ 450 <u>,287</u>		298,986	\$	(151,301)
Charges to Appropriation (Outflows:							•
Assets Expenditures	\$	38,155	\$ 26,824	\$	22,980	\$	3,844
Small Asset Expenditure	•	0	10,570	-	10,787		(217)
Court Reporter Costs		4,900	6,000		5,648		352
Insurance Expense		11,469	17,439		13,764		3,675
Internet Access		5,669	3,290		3,483		(193)
Miscellaneous		1,556	7,058		1,742		5,316
Office Supplies and Postage		16,022	16,448		12,481		3,967
Payroll Taxes		5,560	2,772		3,752		(980)
Professional Fees		43,446	63,977		43,355		20,622
Reference Materials and Dues		1,000	2,050		1,990		60
Rent - Equipment & Parking		35,874	10,539		14,428		(3,889)
Repair, Maintenance and Warranty		6,433	9,400		10,695		(1,295)
Retirement Expense		11,083	11,837		14,094		(2,257)
Salaries		183,924	241,803		121,141		120,662
Seminars, Meetings, Travel and							
Training		11,276	21,263		18,451		2,812
Telephone and Utility Expense		2,866	<u>625</u>		<u>874</u>		<u>(249</u>)
Total Charges to Appropriations	<u>\$</u>	379,233	<u>\$ 451,895</u>	\$_	<u> 299,665</u>	\$_	<u>152,230</u>
Excess of Resources Over (Under)							
Charges for Appropriations	\$	6,732	\$ (1,608)	\$	(679)	\$	929
Fund Balance - Beginning		469,094	<u>469,094</u>		469,094		0
Fund Balance - Ending	<u>\$</u>	475,826	\$ 467 <u>,486</u>	\$	<u>468,415</u>	\$	929

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - CHILD SUPPORT FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2003

		<u>Budgeted</u>	Am	<u>ounts</u>	Æ	ctual		riance With nal Budget Over
	<u>O</u>	riginal		<u>Final</u>	Ar	<u>nounts</u>		(Under)
Resources (Inflows):	•	477 500	ው	509 000	\$	509,504	\$	1,504
Court Fees -	\$	477,500	\$	508,000	Φ	35,883	Ψ	(27)
Interest Income		36,000		35,910		33,003 N		(<i>E1)</i>
Other Income		100						
Amounts Available for	φ	E42 600	æ	E42 010	¢	545,387	\$	1,477
Appropriation	<u> </u>	<u>513,600</u>	<u> </u>	<u>543,910</u>	Ψ	<u> </u>	_Ψ_	<u> </u>
Charges to Appropriation (Outflows):				•				
Assets Expenditures	\$	53,000	\$	12,299	\$	12,299	\$	0
Small Asset Expenditure		0		1,351		1,748		(397)
Court Process/Operation Fee		2,466		2,466		0		0
Insurance Expense		27,286		27,379		29,794		(2,415)
Internet Access		4,175		3,275		3,275		0
Miscellaneous		1,000		0		1		(1)
Office Supplies and Postage		0		0		10,164		(10,164)
Payroli Taxes		6,427		6,352		6,060		292
Professional Fees		54,431		75,315		83,126		(7,811)
Reference Materials and Dues		40,000		50,888		48,714		2,174
Rent		10,841		16,075		15,927		148
Repair, Maintenance and Warranty		1,847		1,607		1,825		(218)
Retirement Expense		25,224		24,650		26,822		(2,172)
Salaries		220,209		196,549		196,896		(347)
Seminars, Meetings, Travel and								
Training		7,350		6,092		3,667		2,425
Supplies		8,500		9,800		0		9,800
Telephone and Utility Expense		840		685		673		12
Transfers to Other Funds		<u>0</u>		<u> 17,500</u>		<u> 17,500</u>		0
Total Charges to Appropriations	<u>\$</u>	<u>463,596</u>	\$	<u>452,283</u>	\$	<u>458,491</u>	\$	<u>(8,674</u>)
Excess of Resources Over (Under)						,		
Charges for Appropriations	\$	50,004	\$	91,627	\$	86,896	\$	10,151
Fund Balance - Beginning	_	<u>1,425,504</u>		<u>1,432,418</u>		<u>1,432,418</u>	<u>.</u> .	0
Fund Balance - Ending	<u>\$</u>	1, <u>475,508</u>	\$	1, <u>524,045</u>	\$	<u>1,519,314</u>	\$	10,1 <u>51</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - COURT SERVICES FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2003

		Budgeted /	Δm	nunte	Δ	ctual		ariance With inal Budget Over
	Or	<u>iginal</u>	71111	Final	_	nounts		(Under)
	<u> </u>	19111011				<u> </u>		
Resources (Inflows):							_	
Court Fees -	\$	47,400	\$	24,550	\$	312,472	\$	287,922
Addictive Disorder		450,000		487,500		385,368		(102,132)
Grant Income		232,500		279,905		102,132		(177,773)
Interest Income		4,500		1,500		4,664		3,164
Other Income		0_		0	_	<u>45</u>		<u>45</u>
Amounts Available for					_		•	44.000
Appropriation	<u>\$</u>	<u>734,400</u>	\$_	<u>793,455</u>	\$	804,681	_\$_	<u>11,226</u>
Charges to Appropriation (Outflows):								
Assets Expenditures	\$	0	\$	5,545	\$	7,682	\$	(2,137)
Small Asset Expenditure		0		0		778		(778)
Insurance Expense		46,730		52,973		48,870		4,103
Internet Access		0		0		0		0
Miscellaneous		200		1,513		1,466		47
Office Supplies and Postage		1,200		1,800		6,255		(4,455)
Payroll Taxes		3,848		5,303		4,025		1,278
Professional Fees		323,359		317,524		308,255		9,269
Reference Materials and Dues		1,000		60		60		0
Rent		1,872		3,316		3,098		218
Repair, Maintenance and Warranty		250		2,413		669		1,744
Retirement Expense		20,406		22,028		22,449		(421)
Salaries		264,954		250,272		269,930		(19,658)
Seminars, Meetings, Travel and								
Training		12,433		15,829		16,456		(627)
Supplies		64,788		70,258		76,656		(6,398)
Telephone and Utility Expense		420		<u>4,484</u>		<u>5,667</u>		<u>(1,183</u>)
Total Charges to Appropriations	\$	741,460	\$	<u>753,318</u>	\$_	772,316	\$_	<u>(18,998</u>)
Excess of Resources Over (Under) Charges for Appropriations	\$	(7,060) \$	40,137	\$	32,365	\$	30,224
Fund Balance - Beginning	_	278,900		<u>297,018</u>		297,018		0
Fund Balance - Ending	<u>\$</u>	271,840	\$	<u>337,155</u>	\$	329,383	<u>\$</u>	30,224

Other Supplemental Information Grant Activity

CAMERON, HINES & HARTT

(A Professional Accounting Corporation)

Certified Public Accountants

104 Regency Place
West Monroe, Louisiana 71291

Mailing Address: P. O. Box 2474 West Monroe, LA 71294-2474

Phone (318) 323-1717 Fax (318) 322-5121 E-Mail: chhcpas@bellsouth.net

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

The Honorable Judges of the Fourth Judicial District Court Judicial Expense Fund Monroe, Louisiana

We have audited the component unit financial statements of the Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund and a component unit of the Ouachita Parish Police Jury, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2003, and have issued our report thereon dated November 18, 2003. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund's component unit financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the component unit financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses.

The Honorable Judges of the Fourth Judicial District Court Judicial Expense Fund Page 2

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatement in the amounts would be material in relation to the general purpose financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, others within the organization, Louisiana Legislative Auditor and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other that these specified parties. Under Louisiana Revised Statute 24:513, this report is distributed by the Legislative Auditor as a public document.

Cameron, Hines & Hartt (APAC)

West Monroe, Louisiana November 18, 2003

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2003

The Honorable Judges of the Fourth Judicial District Court Judicial Expense Fund Monroe, Louisiana

We have audited the component unit financial statements of the Fourth Judicial District Court, Judicial Expense Fund as of and for the year ended June 30, 2003, and have issued our report thereon dated November 18, 2003. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Our audit of the financial statements as of June 30, 2003, resulted in an unqualified opinion.

Section I-	Summary of Auditor's Reports
A.	Report on Internal Control and Compliance Material to the Financial Statements
	<u>Internal Control</u> Material Weakness yes _X_no Reportable Conditions yes _X_no
	Compliance Compliance Material to Financial Statements yes _X no
₽.	Federal Awards – N/A
	<u>Internal Control</u> Material Weaknessyesno Reportable Conditionsyesno
	Type of Opinion on Compliance Unqualified Qualified For Major Programs Disclaimer Adverse
	Are their findings required to be reported in accordance with Circular A-133, Section .510 (a)?
C.	Identification of Major Programs: N/A
	CFDA Number(s) Name of Federal Program (or cluster)
	Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type E Programs. N/A
	Is the auditee a "low-risk" auditee, as defined by OMB Circular A-133? N/A

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2003

(Continued)

Section II- Financial Statement Findings

No matters were reported.

Section III- Federal Award Findings and Question Costs- N/A

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS WITH NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2003

Federal Program

CFDA or Award Revenue

Number Amount Recognized Expenditures

Federal Grants/Pass Through Grantor/Program Title

Program Passed Through the Louisiana State Supreme Court:

Department of Health and Human Services – Administration for Children and Families: Temporary Assistance

For Needy Families 93.558 <u>\$ 102,132</u> <u>\$ 102,132</u> <u>\$ 102,132</u>

1. General

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activity of all federal award programs of the Fourth Judicial District Court. The Fourth Judicial District Court did not pass through any of its federal awards to a subrecipient during the year.

2. Basis of Accounting

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented using the modified accrual basis of accounting as contemplated under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and which is the same basis of accounting used for presenting the component unit financial statements. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the basic financial statements.

SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2003

Section I- Internal Control and Compliance Material to the Financial Statements

This section is not applicable for this entity.

Section II- Internal Control and Compliance Material to Federal Awards

This section is not applicable for this entity.

Section III- Management Letter

No management letter was issued.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULES SCHEDULE OF JUDGES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2003

Judge C. Wendall Manning

Judge Wilson Rambo

Judge Jimmy N. Dimos

Judge Sharon I. Marchman

Judge Benjamin Jones

Chief Judge Carl Van Sharp

Judge John Larry Lolley

Judge Alvin R. Sharp

Judge Marcus R. Clark

Judge Lisa Trammel, Pro Tempore