CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA FINANCIAL REPORT

For the Year Ended June 30, 2017



CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Independent Auditors' Report	1-3
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Required Supplemental Information (Part A) Management's Discussion and Analysis	4-12
Government-Wide Financial Statements Statement of Net Position Statement of Activities	13 14
Fund Financial Statements Governmental Funds: Balance Sheet	15
Reconciliation of the Governmental Fund Balance Sheet to the Government-Wide Statement of Net Position	16
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures	17
And Changes in Fund Balances to the Statement of Activities	18
Proprietary Funds: Statement of Net Position Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position Statement of Cash Flows	
Fiduciary Funds: Statement of Net Position Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position	22 23
Combining Statement of Net Position All Discretely Presented Component Units Combining Statement of Activities	24
All Discretely Presented Component Units	25
Notes to Financial Statements	26-62

CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

n

Required Supplemental Information (Part B) Budgetary Comparison Schedules	
General Fund	63
Capital Fund	64
Notes to Budgetary Comparison Schedules	65
Schedule of Funding Progress	66
Schedule of Employer Contributions	66
Schedule of Proportionate Share of Net Pension Liability	67-68
Schedule of the Employer's Pension Contribution	69-70

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULES

Nonmajor Special Revenue Funds:	
Combining Balance Sheet	71-72
Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and	
Changes in Fund Balances	73-74
West Ouachita Senior Center	
Balance Sheets	75
Schedules of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances	76
Nonmajor Debt Service Funds:	
Combining Balance Sheet	77
Combining Schedules of Revenues, Expenditures and	
Changes in Fund Balances	78
Nonmajor Capital Project Funds:	
Combining Balance Sheet	79
Combining Schedules of Revenues, Expenditures and	
Changes in Fund Balances	80

OTHER SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

All Funds -	
Schedule of Assessed Valuation and Ad Valorem	
Property Tax Levy for 2016	81
Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures –	
Convention Center	82
Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures –	
Ike Hamilton Expo Center	83
Schedule of Compensation Paid to Council Members	84

CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA <u>FINANCIAL REPORT</u> FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

REPORTS REQUIRED BY GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS AND UNIFORM GUIDANCE

Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based On an Audit of Financial Statements Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards	85-86
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance	87-89
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	90-92
Corrective Action Plan for Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs	93-94
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	95
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	96
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	97-99
Schedule of Compensation, Reimbursements, Benefits and Other Payments To Agency Head	100

CAMERON, HINES & COMPANY

(A Professional Accounting Corporation) Certified Public Accountants 104 Regency Place West Monroe, Louisiana 71291

Phone (318) 323-1717 Fax (318) 322-5121

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Honorable Mayor and Board of Aldermen of the City of West Monroe, Louisiana

Report on the Financial Statements

Mailing Address:

West Monroe, LA 71294-2474

P. O. Box 2474

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of West Monroe, Louisiana (which is "the City"), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting principles used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

Honorable Mayor and Board of Aldermen of the City of West Monroe, Louisiana Page 2

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of June 30, 2017, and the respective changes in financial position and cash flows, where applicable, thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis, budgetary comparison information, schedule of funding progress, schedule of proportionate share of net pension liability, and the schedule of contributions on pages 4 through 12 and 63 through 70, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who consider it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economical, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. The introductory section, combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements, and the schedule of compensation, reimbursements, benefits and other payments to agency head are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, and is also not a required part of the basic financial statements.

```
Honorable Mayor and Board of Aldermen of the
City of West Monroe, Louisiana
Page 3
```

The combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements and Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements and Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards are fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The introductory section has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on them.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated November 20, 2017, on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Cameron, Hines & Company (APAC)

West Monroe, Louisiana November 20, 2017

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION (PART A) MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA

Management's Discussion and Analysis Year Ended June 30, 2017_____

As management of the City of West Monroe, we offer readers of the financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the City for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017. We encourage readers to consider the information presented here in conjunction with the accompanying transmittal letter and the accompanying basic financial statements. The intent of this discussion and analysis is to look at the City's financial performance as a whole.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

- The assets of the City of West Monroe, on a government-wide basis, exceeded its liabilities at the close of the fiscal year by \$30.72 million (net position).
- The City's total net position decreased by \$6,419,532 for the current fiscal year.
- At the end of the current fiscal year, the City of West Monroe's governmental funds reported combined ending fund balances of \$15.95 million.
- At the end of the current fiscal year, the unreserved fund balance for the general fund was \$5.50 million, or 27% of the total general fund expenditures.
- The general fund reported a surplus of \$1,935,254 before transfers, and a surplus of \$83,409 after transfers.
- The City's bonded debt had a net decrease of \$1,963,996. Total bonded debt at 6/30/2017 was \$30,652,661.

OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the City of West Monroe's basic financial statements. The City's basic financial statements comprise three components:

- Government-wide financial statements,
- Fund financial statements,
- Notes to the financial statements.

This report contains other supplementary information in addition to the basic financial statements themselves.

The basic financial statements include two kinds of statements that present different views of the City:

- The first two statements are government-wide financial statements that provide both long-term and short-term information about the City's overall financial status.
- The remaining statements are fund financial statements that focus on individual parts of the City's government, reporting the City's operations in more detail than the government-wide statements.
 - The governmental fund statements tell how general government services like public safety were financed in the short term as well as what amounts remain for future spending.
 - Proprietary fund statements offer short-and long-term financial information about the activities the government operates like businesses, such as the public utilities (water, sewer, sewer treatment systems).
 - Fiduciary fund statements provide information about the financial relationship in which the City acts solely as a trustee or agent for the benefit of others, to whom the resources in question belong.

The financial statements also include notes that explain some of the information in the financial statements and provide more detailed data. The statements are followed by a section of required supplementary information that further explains and supports the information in the financial statements.

In the past, the primary focus of local government financial statements has been summarized fund type information on a current financial resources basis. However, with the implementation of Statement No. 34 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB Statement No. 34) for June 30, 2003, the focus is on both the City as a whole (government-wide) and the fund financial statements. Each view provides a different snapshot of the City's finances. The government-wide financial statements provide both long-term and short-term information about the City's overall financial status. The fund financial statements focus on the individual parts of the City government, reporting the City's operations in more detail than the government-wide statements. Both perspectives (government-wide and fund) allow the user to address relevant questions, broaden the basis of comparison (year-to-year or government-to-government) and enhance the City's accountability.

GOVERNMENT-WIDE STATEMENTS

The government-wide statements report information about the City of West Monroe as a whole using accounting methods similar to those used by private-sector companies. The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities, which are the government-wide statements, include all of the government's assets and liabilities using the accrual basis of accounting, which is similar to the accounting used by most private-sector companies. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are taken into account regardless of when cash is received or paid.

These two government-wide statements report the City's net position and how they have changed. Net position-the difference between the City's assets and liabilities – are one way to measure the City's financial health, or financial position. Over time, increases or decreases in the City's net position is an indicator of whether its financial health is improving or deteriorating. Other nonfinancial factors such as changes in the City's property tax base and the condition of the City's roads and other infrastructure may need to be considered to assess the overall health of the City.

In the Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities, the City is divided into two categories:

- Governmental activities Most of the City's basic services are included here, such as the activities of the police, fire, public works, social services, and parks and recreation departments, and general administration. Sales taxes and grants finance most of these activities.
- Business-type activities The City charges fees to customers to cover the cost of certain services it provides. The City's water, sewer, and sewer treatment are included here.

Government-wide financial statements include not only the City of West Monroe itself (the primary government), but also a legally separate City Court and legally separate City Marshal for which the City of West Monroe is financially accountable. Financial information for these component units is reported separately from the financial information presented for the primary government itself.

FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The format of the fund financial statements will be more familiar to traditional users of government financial statements. The fund financial statements provide more detailed information about the City's most significant funds – not the City as a whole. Funds are accounting mechanisms that the City uses to keep track of specific sources of funding and spending for particular purposes.

The City has three kinds of funds:

- Governmental funds Most of the City's basic services are included in governmental funds, which focus on (1) how cash and other financial assets that can readily be converted to cash flow in and out and (2) the balances remaining at year-end that are available for spending. Consequently, the governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view that helps the reader determine whether there are more or fewer financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance the City's programs. Because this information does not encompass the long-term focus of the government-wide statements, additional information is provided on a subsequent page that explains the relationship (or differences) between the government-wide and fund statements.
- Proprietary funds Services for which the City charges customers a fee are generally reported in proprietary funds. Proprietary funds, like the government-wide statements, provide both short-term and long-term financial information. The City's enterprise funds (one type of proprietary fund) are the same as its business-type activities, but they provide more detail and additional information, such as cash flows. The City also uses internal service funds (another kind of proprietary fund) to report activities that provide supplies and services for the City's other programs and activities such as the Employees Health Insurance Fund.
- Fiduciary funds Fiduciary funds are used to report assets held in a trustee or agency capacity for others outside the government. The City maintains a Cemetery Trust Fund and a Workers Compensation Reserve Fund. These activities are reported in a separate statement of fiduciary net assets. The City excludes this activity from its government-wide financial statements because the City cannot use these assets to finance its operations.

The Total Governmental Funds column requires reconciliation because of the different measurement focus from the government-wide statements (current financial resources versus total economic resources) which is reflected at the bottom of or following each statement. The flow of

current financial resources will reflect bond proceeds and interfund transfers as other financing sources as well as capital expenditures and bond principal payments as expenditures. The reconciliation will eliminate these transactions and incorporate the capital assets and long-term obligations (bond and others) into the Governmental Activities column (in the government-wide statements).

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE CITY AS A WHOLE

The City's combined net position for the 2016-2017 fiscal year decreased by \$6,419,532. Table 1 shows the statement of net position for the year ending 2016 and the year ending 2017. The table also shows the net position for governmental activities, business type activities and combines them into the total primary government.

Statement of Net Position

The following table reflects the condensed statement of net position:

(in Millions)					
		NMENTAL	BUSINESS TYPE ACTIVITIES	TOTAL PRIM	
ASSETS:	2016	2017	2016 2017	2016	2017
Current and other assets	\$ 27.09	\$ 15.18	\$ 0.37 \$ 0.57	\$ 27.46 \$	15.75
Capital Assets	42.25	44.18	22.43 25.79	64.68	69.97
Total Assets	\$ 69.34	\$ 59.36	\$ 22.80 \$ 26.36	\$ 92.14 \$	85.72
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES: Deferred Outflows	\$ 6.76	\$ 10.31	\$ 0.74 \$ 1.08	\$ 7.50 \$	5 11.39
LIABILITIES Long-term debt outstanding	\$ 54.10	\$ 57.34	\$ 3.25 \$ 3.76	\$ 57.35 \$	61.10
Other liabilities	3.18	3.63	0.36 0.40	3.54	4.03
Total Liabilities	\$ 57.28	\$ 60.97	\$ 3.61 \$ 4.16	\$ 60.89 \$	65.13
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES: Deferred Inflows	\$ 1.52	\$ 1.17	\$ 0.09 \$ 0.09	\$ 1.61 \$	6 1.26
NET POSITION: Invested in Capital Assets					
Net of debt	\$ 10.90	\$ 14.71	\$ 21.42 \$ 24.83	\$ 32.32 \$	39.54
Restricted	-		.08 .08	.08	.08
Unrestricted	\$ 6.40	\$ (7.18)	\$ (1.66) \$ (1.72)	\$ 4.74 \$	\$ (8.90)
Total Net Position	\$ 17.30	\$ 7.53	\$ 19.84 \$ 23.19	\$ 37.14	\$ 30.72

TABLE 1 NET POSITION OF GOVERNMENTAL AND BUSINESS TYPE ACTIVITIES

Net position (assets less liabilities) may serve over time as a useful indicator of a government's financial position. The City of West Monroe's assets exceeded liabilities by \$30.72 million at the

close of the fiscal year. Investment in capital assets (e.g., land, buildings, equipment, infrastructure, less accumulated depreciation and less any related outstanding debt used to acquire those assets) are used to provide services to its citizens and these assets are not available for spending. The resources to repay any debt related to these capital assets will be provided from other sources.

Eighty thousand of the City's net position is subject to restrictions. The unrestricted net position of \$13 million (excluding net pension liability, related deferred inflows/outflows, and unfunded postretirement benefits - see statement of net position) may be used to meet the City's ongoing obligations)

Governmental Activities

Net position of the City's governmental activities decreased from \$17.30 million to \$7.53 million.

Business-type Activities

Net position of the City's business-type activities increased from \$19.84 million to \$23.19 million.

Statement of Activities

The following table shows the revenues and expenses of the governmental and business-type activities:

	CHANGE	S IN NET PO	SITION			
(in Millions)						
		IMENTAL /ITIES		SS TYPE	TOTAL P GOVER	
	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017
REVENUES:						
Program Revenues						
Charges for services	\$ 4.30	\$ 4.41	\$ 4.14	\$ 4.27	\$ 8.44	\$ 8.68
Operating grants and contributions	2.26	3.05			2.26	3.05
Capital grants and contributions	0.82	0.55			0.82	0.55
General Revenues						
Property Taxes	1.24	1.28			1.24	1.28
Other taxes	22.84	22.92			22.84	22.92
Intergovernmental	0.35	0.17			0.35	0.17
Franchise	0.81	0.80			0.81	0.80
Excess Bond Proceeds						
Sale of Assets	0.06				0.06	
Interest earned	0.12	0.13			0.12	0.13
Capital contributions		•	0.38	5.00	0.38	5.00
Total Revenues	\$ 32.80	\$ 33.31	\$ 4.52	\$ 9.27	\$ 37.32	\$ 42.58

TABLE 2

EXPENSES:					
General government	\$ 9.06	\$ 10.46		\$ 9.06 \$	10.46
Public safety	13.16	14.76		13.16	14.76
Public works	4.68	5.06		4.68	5.06
Culture and recreations	4.90	4.91		4.90	4.91
Urban Development	1.29	1.11		1.29	1.11
Health and welfare	3.14	4.58		3.14	4.58
Interest on long-term debt	1.20	1.14		1.20	1.14
Utilities	-	-	6.34 6.79	6.34	6.79
Total Expenses	\$ 37.43	\$ 42.02	\$ 6.34 \$ 6.79	\$ 43.77	48.81
Increase (Decrease) in net position					
Before transfers	\$ (4.63)	\$ (8.70)	\$ (1.82) \$ 2.47	\$ (6.45) \$	6.23)
Transfers	(0.78)	(1.07)	0.58 0.88	(0.20)	(0.19)
Increase/decrease in position	\$ (5.41)	\$ (9.77)	\$ (1.24) \$ 3.35	\$ (6.65)	6.42)

Governmental Activities

The City's total revenues from governmental activities increased from \$32.80 million (year end 2016) to \$33.31 million (year end 2017). West Monroe's largest source of general revenue (\$24.20 million) is taxes, composed of property tax, sales tax, insurance premium taxes, and beer tax.

Seventy-three percent of the City's revenue from governmental activities comes from these taxes. Charges for services (\$4.41 million) were the second largest revenue source for governmental activities.

The City's expenses from governmental activities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017 were \$42.02 million, an increase of \$4.59 million from year end 2016. These expenses cover a wide range of services with the largest being public safety \$14.76 million or 35%, and general government \$10.46 million or 25%.

Business-Type Activities

The total revenues from business-type activities were \$9.27 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017. Charges for services increased by approximately \$130,000. Expenses for the City's business-type activities were \$6.79 million.

FINANACIAL ANALYSIS OF THE CITY'S FUNDS

The City of West Monroe uses Fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements.

Government Funds

The focus of the City's governmental funds is to provide information on near-term inflows, outflows, and balances of spendable resources. Such information is useful in assessing the City's financing requirements. In particular, unreserved fund balance may serve as a useful measure of a government's net resources available for spending at the end of the fiscal year.

At the end of the current fiscal year, the City's governmental funds reported combined ending fund balances of \$15.95 million.

The general fund is the chief operating fund of the City. At the end of the fiscal year, unreserved fund balance of the general fund was \$5.49 million. As a measure of the general fund liquidity, it may be useful to compare unreserved fund balance to total expenditures. Unassigned fund balance represents 27% of the total general fund expenditures. Due to the uncertain economic conditions, expenditures were closely monitored and correlated to revenues received.

The City spent a total of \$4.84 million out of the 86 Sales Tax Capital Fund to fund major capital projects and purchases. This amount decreased by \$1.82 million from last year. The fund transferred out \$1,138,126 to pay for debt service.

Proprietary Funds

The City's proprietary funds provide the same type of information found in the government-wide financial statements, but in more detail.

Net position of the Utilities Enterprise Fund at the end of the year were \$23.19 million. The fund had an operating surplus for the year of \$3.36 million after depreciation.

Net position of the Internal Service fund (Employees Health Insurance Fund) at the end of the year was \$(1,434,085).

General Fund Budgetary Highlights

Over the course of the year, the City Council revised the City budget twice. The difference between the original expenditure budget and the actual amount of expenditures was an increase of \$125,915. Actual total revenues were \$152,705 more than the original budget.

CAPITAL ASSETS AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

Capital Assets

The following table shows the Capital Assets (net of depreciation) of the governmental and business type activities:

TABLE 3
CAPITAL ASSETS
(NET OF DEPRECIATION in millions)

		GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES						то	FAL	
	2016	2017		2016		2017		2016		2017
Land	\$ 5.93	\$ 6.36	\$	0.06	\$	0.06	\$	5.99	\$	6.42
Construction in progress	1.45	2.36		-		4.93		1.45		7.29
Buildings	17.51	16.90		-				17.51		16.90
Improvements	2.54	2.54		-		-		2.54		2.54
Equipment	0.53	0.74		0.16		0.21		0.69		0.95
Vehicles	1.61	1.18		-		•		1.61		1.18
Infrastructure	12.68	14.09		•				12.68		14.09
Water Plant	-	-		5.06		4.72		5.06		4.72
Treatment Plant	-	-		17.16		15.87		17.16		15.87
Total	\$ 42.25	\$ 44.17	_\$	22.44	\$	25.79	_\$	64.69	\$	69.96

The City of West Monroe's investment in Capital assets for its governmental and business-type activities as of June 30, 2017, amounts to \$69.96 million (net of depreciation). This is an increase of \$5.27 million from last year. This investment includes land, construction in progress, buildings and improvements, equipment, vehicles, infrastructure, water and sewer treatment plant.

Long-term Debt

The following table shows the City's outstanding debt:

	YR END 6/30/16	YR END 6/30/17
Bond Payable Series 2007	\$ 3,155,000	\$ 2,950,000
Bond Payable Series 2009	\$ 1,085,000	\$ 735,000
Bond Payable Series 2010	\$ 1,018,000	\$ 959,000
Bond Payable Series 2011 Community Dev. Block Grant	\$ 2,540,000	\$ 2,145,000
for Economic Development	\$ 253,657	\$ 228,661
Bond Payable Series 2015	\$ 24,565,000	\$ 23,635,000
Heart and Lung Disability	\$ 518,390	\$ 490,505
Vacation, Sick and Comp. Time	\$ 1,096,216	<u>\$ 1,198,210</u>
Total	\$ <u>34,231,263</u>	<u>\$ 32,341,376</u>

TABLE 4 OUTSTANDING DEBT AT YEAR END

At the end of the fiscal year, the City of West Monroe had total bonded debt outstanding of \$30.42 million. This is a decrease of \$1.94 million in total bond debt.

The City of West Monroe's total long-term debt obligations at year end were \$32.34 million.

Additional information of the City's long-term debt can be found in Note 12 in the Notes to the Financial Statements.

ECONOMIC FACTORS AND NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET

The City's management and elected officials considered many factors when preparing the 2017-2018 budget. The major factor in preparing the budget is to determine the revenue that will be generated from sales tax. Sales tax accounts for 69% of the total General Fund revenue. The sales tax income projected for the 2017-2018 budget is \$14.48 million which is an increase of 4.83%. All other General Fund revenues should remain fairly consistent with prior year collections. The total General Fund revenue budget for the 2017-2018 fiscal year is \$22 million compared to \$22.38 million of actual revenue for 2016-2017.

During the 2017-2018 fiscal year, the City will continue to develop the 60 acres along I-20 into a retail center.

The budgeted expenses for the 2017-2018 fiscal year are \$21.34 million, an increase of \$419,639 over the prior year. The City gave all employees a 2% pay raise. The largest expense for the City is personnel cost. The City's administration has taken steps to reduce all overtime to minimal levels in the current year's budget.

Most of the City's expenses have remained fairly constant. The City's matching contributions to the pension systems have increased slightly for the 2017-2018 fiscal year. However, depending on the condition of the investment markets and other factors the City's contribution to the pension systems should remain constant this fiscal year or the next. The City's administration will closely monitor all revenues and expenses to ensure the City maintains a positive fund balance. The projected General Fund balance for the year ending June 30, 2018 is \$6.44 million.

OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

In the year ended June 30, 2017, the City of West Monroe has complied with requirements of Government Accounting Standards Board Statement Number 45, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Post Employment Benefits Other than Pensions. This statement requires that employers disclose the Annual Required Contribution and Net Post-employment Benefit Obligation as determined by actuarial computations. The disclosure of these amounts are presented in more detail in the Notes to the Financial Statements.

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

The financial report is designed to provide citizens, taxpayer, customers, investors, and creditors with a general overview of the City's finances and to demonstrate the City's accountability for the funds it receives. If you have any questions about this report or need additional information, contact the Finance Director, City of West Monroe, 2305 North 7th Street, West Monroe, Louisiana 71291.

PRIMARY GOVERNMENT FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA STATEMENT OF NET POSITION JUNE 30, 2017

	Р					
	Primary Government Governmental Business-Type			- Component		
	Activities	Activities	Total		Units	
ASSETS						
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 2.294,715	\$ 209,293	\$ 2,504,008	\$	301,429	
Cash with Fiscal Agent	16,800	-	16,800		-	
Investments	9,236,290	-	9,236,290		-	
Accounts Receivable	400,828	787,470	1,188,298		8,863	
Minimum Lease Payments Receivable	231,435	-	231,435		-	
Due From Other Governments	2,548,860	-	2,548,860		-	
Internal Balances	433,741	(431,741)	2,000		-	
Inventories	27,623	•	27,623		-	
Capital Assets:						
Non-Depreciable	8,717,275	4,992,209	13,709,484		-	
Depreciable	35,455,958	20,799,958	56,255,916		•	
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 59,363,525	\$ 26,357,189	\$ 85,720,714	<u> </u>	310,292	
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	10,309,307	1,084,480	11,393,787		-	
LIABILITIES						
Accounts Payable	\$ 544.436	\$ 1,451	\$ 545,887	\$	3,355	
Deposits Payable	77.576	133.079	210.655	-	-	
Non-Current Liabilities			,			
Due Within One Year						
Bonds Payable	1,945,000	60,000	2,005,000		-	
Notes Payable	25,000	-	25,000		-	
Compensated Absences	1,037,257	208,061	1,245,318		-	
Due in More Than One Year						
Bonds Payable	27,520,000	899,000	28,419,000		-	
Notes Payable	203,661	-	203,661		-	
Compensated Absences	443,397	-	443,397		-	
Net Pension Liability	27,370,212	2,855,734	30,225,946		-	
Unfunded Postretirement Benefits	1.806,728		1.806,728		-	
TOTAL LIABILITIES	60,973,267	4,157,325	65,130,592		3,355	
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	1.169,618	90,271	1,259.889		-	
NET POSITION						
Net Investment in Capital Assets	14,708,233	24,833,167	39,541,400		-	
Restricted for Debt Service	•	76,214	76,214			
Unrestricted, Capital Projects	10,491,884	-	10,491,884		-	
Unrestricted, Debt Service	(51,378)	-	(51,378)		-	
Unrestricted, Unreserved	(17,618,792)	(1,715,308)	(19,334,100)		306,937	
TOTAL NET POSITION	\$ 7,529,947	\$ 23,194,073	\$ 30,724,020	\$	306,937	

CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

			Program Revenues	
		() () () () () () () () () () () () () (Operating	Capital
		Charges for	Grants and	Grants and
	Expenses	Services	Contributions	Contributions
Function/Program Activities				
Primary Government:				
Government Activities:				
General Government	\$ 10,454,341	\$ 1,742,912	\$ 234,477	\$ 50,000
Public Safety	14,763,368	224,996	303,045	-
Public Works	5,062,775	780,036	-	497,112
Culture and Recreation	4,912,330	1,623,891	212,599	-
Urban Redevelopment	1,105,412	1.0	-	-
Health and Welfare	4,583,537	38,109	2,304,358	-
Interest on Long-Term Debt	1,135,617	-	-	-
Total Governmental Activities	42,017,380	4,409,944	3,054,479	547,112
Business-Type Activities:				
Utilities	6,793,980	4,268,981	-	-
Total Primary Government	\$ 48,811,360	\$ 8,678,925	\$ 3,054,479	\$ 547,112
Common and Haster				
Component Units:	* • • • • • • •	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	•	^
City Court	\$ 143,943	\$ 208,408	\$ -	\$ -
City Marshal	111,489	86,367	-	
Total Component Units	\$ 255,432	\$ 294,775	\$ -	\$ -

General Revenues:

Taxes:

Property Taxes Levied for General Purposes Property Taxes Levied for Street Maintenance Sales Taxes Insurance Premium Taxes Intergovernmental Revenues Franchise Revenue Miscellaneous

Special Item - Sale of Assets

Interest Earned

Capital Contributions

Transfers

Total General Revenues, Special Items and Transfers Changes in Net Position

Net Position - Beginning

Net Position - Ending

	t (Expense) R Changes in Ne Primary Gove	et Asset	\$			
Governmental	Business-T		•		Co	mponent
Activities Activities Total					ÇĽ	Units
Activities	Activitie	.3		10141		Onns
\$ (8,426,952)	\$	-	\$	(8,426,952)	\$	
(14,235,327)		-		(14,235,327)		-
(3,785,627)		-		(3,785,627)		-
(3,075,840)		-		(3,075,840)		-
(1,105,412)		-		(1,105,412)		-
(2,241,070)		-		(2,241,070)		-
(1,135,617)		-		(1,135,617)		-
(34,005,845)		-		(34,005,845)		-
	(2,524,9	<u>999)</u>		(2,524,999)		-
\$ (34,005,845)	\$ (2,524,9	<u>999)</u>	\$	(36,530,844)	\$	-
\$-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	64,465
		-		-		(25,122)
\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	39,343
1,038,631 245,718 22,401,276 466,780 165,563 804,610 48,785 2,110 127,770	4,998,3			1,038,631 245,718 22,401,276 466,780 165,563 804,610 48,785 2,110 127,770 4,998,398		
(1,070,306)	881,9	77		(188,329)		-
24,230,937	5,880,3			30,111,312		291
(9,774,908)	3,355,3	576		(6,419,532)		39,634
17,304,855	19,838,6	<u>.</u> 97		37,143,552	<u></u>	267,303
\$ 7,529,947	\$ 23,194,0)73	\$	30,724,020	\$	306,937

PRIMARY GOVERNMENT FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA BALANCE SHEET GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS JUNE 30, 2017

	Ger	neral Fund	Sales Tax Capital Fund	2015	5 Debt Capital Fund
<u>ASSETS</u>					
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$	322,742	\$ 520,835	\$	1,184,036
Cash with Fiscal Agent		16,800	-		-
Investments		-	-		9,236,290
Accounts Receivable		388,593	668		-
Minimum Lease Payment Receivable		231,435	-		-
Due From Other Governments		1,324,965	364,543		496,178
Due From Other Funds		3,738,972	135,541		-
Inventories		27,623	 <u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	6,051,130	\$ 1.021.587	\$	10,916,504
LIABILITIES					
Accounts Payable	\$	31,077	\$ 84,350	\$	235,857
Due To Other Funds		135,541	1,132,302		•
Deposits Payable		77,576	 -		-
Total Liabilities	-	244,194	 1.216.652		235,857
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES					
Unearned State Revenue		57,054	-		-
Unearned Direct Financing Lease Revenue		-	-		-
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources		57,054	 -		-
FUND BALANCE					
Nonspendable		259,058	-		-
Restricted		-	-		10.680,647
Committed		-	-		-
Assigned		-	-		-
Unassigned		5,490,824	 (195,065)		-
Total Fund Balances	_	5,749,882	(195,065)		10,680,647
TOTAL LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF			 		
RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCE	\$	6.051,130	 1,021,587		10,916,504

	Total		
N	lonmajor		Total
Go	vernmental	G	overnmental
	Funds		Funds
\$	267,102	\$	2,294,715
	•		16,800
	-		9,236,290
	6,574		395,835
	-		231,435
	363,174		2,548,860
	99,780		3,974,293
	-		27,623
\$	736,630	\$	18,725,851
	•		
\$	41,290	\$	392,574
Ψ	985,493	Φ	2,253,336
	705,475		77,576
	1,026,783		2,723,486
	1,020,70,5		2,725,400
			57,054
			51,054
			57,054
	-		57,054
			250 059
	-		259,058
	63,424		10,744,071
			-
	89,794		89,794
	(443,371)		4.852,388
	(290,153)		15,945,311
\$	736,630	\$	18,725,851

CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA RECONCILIATION OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS BALANCES TO STATEMENT OF NET POSITION JUNE 30, 2017

Total Governmental Fund Balances	\$ 15,945,311
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because:	
Capital assets used in governmental	
activities are not financial resources and therefore are not reported in the funds.	44,173,233
Unfunded postretirement benefit plan obligations are not financial resources and therefore are not reported in the funds	(1,806,728)
Net Pension Liability	(27,370,212)
Deferred Outflows Related to Pensions Deferred Inflows Related to Pensions Total Deferred Inflows/Outflows Related to Pensions	 10,309,307 (1,112,564) 9,196,743
Long-term liabilities, including bonds and notes payable, capital leases and compensated absences are not due and payable in the current period and therefore are not reported in the funds.	(31,174,315)
The internal service fund is used by management to charge the cost of certain activities to individual funds. The assets and liabilities of the internal service fund are included in governmental activities in the statement of net position.	(1,434,085)
Net Position of Governmental Activities	\$ 7.529.947

<u>CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA</u> <u>STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN</u> <u>FUND BALANCES</u> <u>GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS</u> <u>FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017</u>

	General Fund	86 Sales Tax 20 eneral Fund 75% Capital Fund	
Revenues			Fund
Taxes	\$ 16,742,859	\$ 5,611,022	\$-
Intergovernmental	586,717	50,000	497,112
Licenses and Permits	1,218,299	-	-
Charges for Services	2,480,432	•	•
Fines and Forfeitures	524,613	-	•
Interest Earned	2,577	1,006	123,774
Franchise Revenue	804,610	•	-
Sale of Assets	2,110	-	-
Other	18,938	21,333	-
Total Revenues	22,381,155	5,683,361	620,886
Expenditures			
General Government	4,851,444	-	-
Public Safety	9,241,894	•	-
Public Works	2,725,828	-	
Culture and Recreation	2,889,820	•	-
Urban Redevelopment	736,915	-	•
Health and Welfare	-	-	-
Capital Improvements	-	4,837,364	11,277,414
Claims Paid	-		-
Debt Service:			
Principal Payments	-	-	-
Interest and Other	-		-
Total Expenditures	20,445,901	4,837,364	11,277,414
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues			
<u>Over Expenditures</u>	1,935,254	845,997	(10,656,528)
Other Financing Sources and (Uses)			
Proceeds From General Obligation Bonds	-	-	-
Transfers In	-	-	-
Transfers Out	(1,851,845)	(1,138,126)	
Total Other Financing			
Sources and Uses	(1,851,845)	(1,138,126)	
Net Change in Fund Balance	83,409	(292,129)	(10,656,528)
Fund Balances - Beginning	5,666,473	97,064	21,337,175
FUND BALANCES - ENDING	\$ 5,749,882	<u>\$ (195,065)</u>	\$ 10,680,647

Nonmajor Governmental Funds	Total Governmental Funds
\$ 1,807,038 2,398,499	\$ 24,160,919 3,532,328
-	1,218,299
-	2,480,432
-	524,613
413	127,770
-	804,610
-	2,110
421,426	461,697
4,627,376	33,312,778
-	4,851,444
232,780	9,474,674
404,288	3,130,116
342,025	3,231,845
-	736,915
2,572,010	2,572,010
-	16,114,778
-	-
1,904,996	1,904,996
1,135,617	1,135,617
6,591,716	43,152,395
(1,964,340)	(9,839,617)
1,419,730 (65)	1,419,730 (2,990,036)
1,419,665	(1,570,306)
(544,675)	(11,409,923)
254,522	27,355,234
\$ (290,153)	\$ 15,945,311

CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

Net Change in Fund Balances - Total Governmental Funds	\$ (11,409,923)
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:	
Government funds report capital outlays as expenditures while governmental activities report depreciation expense to allocate those expenditures over the life of the assets: Capital asset purchases capitalized	5,143,943
Depreciation expense	 (3,222,325) 1,921,618
Postretirement benefit plan expenditures	(345,497)
Pension net expenditures - GASB 68	(999,361)
Repayment of debt principal, including capital leases, is an expenditure in the governmental funds, but the repayment reduces long-term liabilities in the statement of net position. The issuance of long-term debt (e.g. capital leases, bonds) provides current financial resources to	1,904,996
government funds, but the repayment reduces long-term liabilities in the statements of net assets.	-
Internal Service fund is used by management to charge the cost of certain activities to individual funds. The net \of the internal service fund is included in governmental activities in the statement of net position.	(812,389)
do not require the use of current financial resources and therefore are not reported as	
expenditures in governmental funds	 (34,352)
Change in Net Position in Governmental Activities	\$ (9,774,908)
	 (2,,200)

CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA STATEMENT OF NET POSITION PROPRIETARY FUNDS JUNE 30, 2017

ASSETS	<u>JUNE 30, 2017</u>	Business-Type Activities Utility Enterprise Fund		Governmenta Activities Internal Servio Fund	
Current Assets					
Accounts Receivable. Net of Allowance		\$	787,470	\$	4,993
Due From Other Funds			-		
Total Current Assets			787,470		4,993
Non-Current Assets					
Restricted:					
Cash - Customer Deposits			133,079		-
Cash - Sinking Fund			41,518		-
Cash - Reserve Fund			34,696		-
Total Restricted Cash			209,293		-
Capital Assets:					
Land			57,750		-
Construction in Process			4,934,459		-
Sparta Reuse Plant			19,233,250		•
Buildings			73,436		-
Water Plant			6,474,634		-
Wastewater Treatment Plant			14,688,740		-
Machinery, Equipment & Other			1.751,641		-
Less: Accumulated Depreciation			(21,421,743)		
Total Capital Assets			25,792,167		
TOTAL ASSETS		\$	26,788,930	<u> </u>	4,993
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES			1,084,480		-
LIABILITIES					
<u>Current Liabilities</u>					
Accounts Payable		5	1.451	\$	151,862
Customer Deposits			133,079		-
Accrued Vacation and Sick Pay			208,061		-
Sewer Bonds Payable			60,000		-
Due to Other Funds Total Current Liabilities			431.741 834,332		1.287,216 1,439,078
			894,392		1,439,078
Long Term Liabilities					
Net Pension Liability			2,855,734		-
Sewer Bonds Payable - Long-Term			899,000		· · _
Total Liabilities			4,589,066		1,439,078
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES			90,271		-
NET POSITION					
Net Investment in Capital Assets			24,833,167		-
Reserved for Debt Retirement			76,214		-
Unrestricted			(1,715,308)		(1,434,085)
TOTAL NET POSITION		\$	23,194,073	\$	(1,434,085)
The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial	statamant				

<u>CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA</u> <u>STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND</u> <u>CHANGES IN NET POSITION</u> <u>PROPRIETARY FUNDS</u> <u>FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017</u>

	Business-Type Activities Utility Enterprise Fund			overnmental Activities ernal Service Fund
Operating Revenues				
User Charges	\$	1,583,291	\$	2,395,440
Treatment Plant Fees	-	1,192,487	•	-,,
Water Penalties and Turn-on Fees		114,450		-
Sewer District 5 Contributions		1,378,753		-
Total Operating Revenues		4,268,981		2,395,440
Operating Expenses				
Waterworks		897,355		-
Sewerage		504,993		-
Water Treatment Plant		635,701		-
Sewer Treatment Plant		2,463,586		-
Public Works Construction		16,940		-
Public Works Administrative		271,712		-
Other Administrative		356,347		480,361
Claims Paid		-		3,227,468
Interest Expense		4,324		-
Depreciation		1,643,022	_	-
Total Operating Expenses		6,793,980		3,707,829
Operating Income (Loss)		(2,524,999)		(1,312,389)
Contributed Capital		4,998,398		-
Transfers In (Out)		881,977		500,000
Total		5,880,375		500,000
Changes in Net Position		3,355,376		(812,389)
Net Position - Beginning		19,838,697		(621,696)
NET POSITION - ENDING	\$	23,194,073	\$	(1,434,085)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

.

CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS PROPRIETARY FUNDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

		siness-Type Activities Utility erprise Fund		overnmental Activities ernal Service Fund
Cash Flows From Operations	•	10/504/	¢	
Receipts From Customers	\$	4,265,046	\$	-
Receipts From Group Contributions Payments to Provide Services		-		2,483,222
Payments for General and Administrative		(4,731,626)		(2,002,861)
Net Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities	-	(360,671)		(480,361)
Net Cash Frovided (Used) by Operating Activities		(827,251)		-
Cash Flows From Noncapital Financing Activities				
Increase in Customer Deposits		1,106		-
Operating Transfers In (Out)		886,551		500,000
Net Cash Flows From Noncapital				
Financing Activities		887,657		500,000
Cash Flows From Capital and Related Financing Activities Acquisitions of Capital Assets Capital Contribution From Other Funds Bond Proceeds Principal Paid on Bonds Net Cash Flows From Capital and Related Financing Activities		(4,998,398) 4,998,398 - (59,000) (59,000)		(500,000) - - (500,000)
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents		1,406		-
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year		207,887		-
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF YEAR	\$	209,293	\$	-
Classified As:				
Cash in Bank	\$		\$	-
Restricted Assets	Ψ	209,293	Ψ	-
Notificieu Abbelb		207,275	<u> </u>	
TOTAL CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	\$	209,293	\$	-

<u>CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA</u> <u>STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (CONTINUED)</u> <u>PROPRIETARY FUNDS</u> <u>FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017</u>

	Business-Type Activities Utility Enterprise Fund		Governmen Activities Internal Serv Fund	
<u>Reconciliation of Operating Loss to Net Cash</u> <u>Provided by Operating Activities:</u>				
Chapters in Nat Pasitian	¢	(2 520 572)	¢	(010 200)
Changes in Net Position	\$	(2,529,573)	\$	(812,389)
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Loss to Net				
Cash Used by Operating Activities		1 (12 022		
Depreciation		1,643,022		-
(Increase) Decrease in Accounts Receivable		639		87,781
Increase in Accounts Payable		220		151,862
Decrease in Due From Other Funds		-		-
Increase (Decrease) in Due to Other Funds		(196,284)		572,746
Increase in Net Pension Liability and Deferrals (Net)		214,968		
Increase in Accrued Vacation and Sick Pay		39,757		-
Total Adjustments		1,702,322		812,389
Net Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities	\$	(827,251)	\$	-

CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA STATEMENT OF NET POSITION FIDUCIARY FUNDS JUNE 30, 2017

	Employees' Workmen's Compensation Reserve Fund		General Insurance Fund		Hasley Cemetery _Fund		Kiroli Foundation Fund		Total Nonmajor Expendable Trust Funds		•		onent Unit cy Funds City Marshal	
<u>ASSETS</u>														
Cash and Cash Equivalents Investments Accounts Receivable Due From Other Funds	\$	528,442 6,057	\$	2,000	s	526,927 12,134	\$	5.144	\$	1,060,513 18,191 2,000	\$	365,691	\$	107,121
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	534,499	\$	2,000	\$	539,061	5	5,144	\$	1,080,704	\$	365,691	\$	107,121
LIABILITIES														
Due to Other Funds Due to Others	s 		s 	2,000	\$		\$	-	\$	2,000	<u> </u>	365.691	\$	107,121
TOTAL LIABILITES	\$	-	\$	2,000	\$		\$	-	\$	2,000	\$	365,691	\$	107,121
NET POSITION														
Reserved: Workmen's Compensation Claim Park Playground Equipment	I	534,499				-		5,144		534,499 5,144		-		-
Cemetery Maintenance		-		-		539.061		•		539.061		-		
Insurance Claims Total Net Position		534,499		<u> </u>	_	539,061		5,144		1,078,704				<u> </u>
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND NET POSITION	5	534.499	S	2,000	\$	539,061	\$	5,144	\$	1.080,704		365,691	\$	107,121

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

.

CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY NET POSITION FIDUCIARY FUNDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

	Employees' Workmen's Compensation Reserve Fund		General Insurance Fund		Hasley Cemetery Fund		Kiroli Foundation Fund		Total Nonmajor Expendable Trust Funds	
Revenues										
Sales Tax	\$	-	\$	24,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	24,000
Lot Sales		-		-		12,025		-		12,025
Insurance Proceeds		-		12,463		-		-		12,463
Contributions		-		-		-		91,014		91,014
Interest		-		-		-		-		-
Total Revenues		-		36,463		12,025		91,014		139,502
Expenditures										
Hasley Cemetery		-		-		•		-		-
Claims Paid		-		224,792		-		-		224,792
Miscellaneous	_	-				-		113,760		113,760
Total Expenditures		-		224,792		-		113,760		338,552
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over Expenditures		-		(188,329)		12,025		(22,746)		(199,050)
Other Financing Sources (Uses) Operating Transfers - In (Out)				188,329		-	_	-		188,329
Change in Net Position		-		-		12,025		(22,746)		(10,721)
Net Position - Beginning		534,499		-		527,036		27,890		1,089,425
NET POSITION - ENDING	\$	534,499	\$	-	\$	539,061	\$	5,144	\$	1,078,704

CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA COMBINING STATEMENT OF NET POSITION ALL DISCRETELY PRESENTED COMPONENT UNITS JUNE 30, 2017

ASSETS	City Court			City Iarshal	Total		
Cash and Cash Equivalents Accounts Receivable Due From Other Funds	\$	295,514 - -	\$	5,915 8,863 -	\$	301,429 8,863	
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	295,514	\$	14,778	\$	310,292	
LIABILITIES							
Payroll Liabilities Due to Fiduciary Fund - Component Unit Due to City of West Monroe	\$	555 - -	\$	2,800	\$	555 2,800 	
TOTAL LIABILITIES		555		2,800		3,355	
NET POSITION							
Unrestricted, unreserved Total Net Position		294,959 294,959		<u>11,978</u> 11,978		<u>306,937</u> <u>306,937</u>	
<u>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND</u> <u>NET POSITION</u>	\$	295,514	<u>\$</u>	14,778	\$	310,292	

CITY OF WEST MONROE, LA COMBINING STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES ALL DISCRETELY PRESENTED COMPONENT UNITS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

				Net (Expenses) Revenues and						
			gram Revenues	Changes in Net Position						
		Charges	Intergovernmental -							
		for	City of West	City	City	Total				
Activities	Expenses	Services	Monroe	<u>Court</u>	<u>Marshal</u>					
City Court										
Judicial	\$ 683,383	\$ 208,408	\$ 539,440	\$ 64,465	\$-	\$ 64,465				
City Marshal	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	,			,				
Judicial	598,320	86,367	486,831	-	(25,122)	(25,122)				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
Total Governmental										
Activities	\$1,281,703	\$ 294,775	\$1,026,271	\$ 64,465	\$ (25,122)	\$ 39,343				
						<u> </u>				
	General Rever									
	Interest	iues.		291		291				
	Interest									
	Change in Net	Position		64,756	(25,122)	39,634				
	enange in rie			01,700	(20,122)	57,021				
	Net Position J	ulv 1. 2016		230,203	37,100	267,303				
	Net Position J	une 30, 2017		\$ 294,959	\$ 11,978	\$ 306,937				

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

INTRODUCTION

The City of West Monroe, Louisiana (the City) consists of an executive branch of government headed by a mayor and a legislative branch of government consisting of five aldermen. The City's major operations include police and fire protection, garbage and trash collection, a senior center, street and drainage maintenance, administrative services, and other health and welfare activities. In addition, the City operates a Utility Enterprise Fund to provide water and sewerage services.

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) promulgates generally accepted accounting principles and reporting standards with respect to activities and transactions of state and local government entities. The GASB has issued a codification of governmental accounting and financial reporting standards. This codification and subsequent GASB pronouncements are recognized as generally accepted accounting principles for state and local government. The financial reporting practices of the City comply with the financial reporting standards established by the GASB.

A. Financial Reporting Entity

As the municipal governing authority, for reporting purposes, the City of West Monroe, Louisiana is considered a separate financial reporting entity. The financial reporting entity consists of (a) the primary government, the City of West Monroe, Louisiana, (b) organizations for which the primary government is financially accountable, and (c) other organizations for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the City are such that exclusion would cause the reporting entity's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete.

GASB Statement 14 established criteria for determining which, if any, component units should be considered part of the City of West Monroe, Louisiana for financial reporting purposes. The basic criterion for including a potential component unit within the reporting entity is accountability. The GASB has set forth criteria to be considered in determining financial accountability. These criteria include appointing a voting majority of an organization's governing body and (1) the ability of the City to impose its will on that organization or (2) the potential for the organization to provide specific financial benefits to, or impose specific financial burdens on, the City. Organizations for which the City does not appoint a voting majority but are fiscally dependent on the City and organizations for which the reporting entity financial statements would be misleading if data of the organization is not included because of the nature or significance of the relationship. Based on the previous criteria, the City has determined that the following component units are part of the reporting entity.

Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

A. Financial Reporting Entity (continued)

City Court of West Monroe

The City Court of West Monroe is a legally separate entity. The Judge of the Court is an independently elected official. The City provides office space, court facilities including some furnishings, and compensation for court employees. The Court provides no direct services to the City. However, based on second criteria noted above, it has been determined that the court is a component unit of the City and should be included in the City's financial statements through discrete presentation.

City Marshal of West Monroe

The City Marshal of West Monroe office is a legally separate entity. The City Marshal is an independently elected official. The City provides office space, furnishings and compensation for Marshal employees. Based on second criteria above, it has been determined that the City Marshal of West Monroe is a component unit of the City and should be included in the City's financial statements through discrete presentation.

B. Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements (the statement of net position and the statement of activities) report information of all of the nonfiduciary activities of the City. For the most part, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from these government-wide statements. Governmental activities, which normally are supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues, are reported separately from business-type activities, which rely, to a significant extent on fees and charges for support.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function, segment or component unit are offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function, segment or component unit. Program revenues include charges to customers who purchase, use or directly benefit from goods or services provided by a given function, segment or component unit. Program revenues also include grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function, segment or component unit. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues. Resources that are dedicated internally are reported as general revenues rather than as program revenues. The City does not allocate general government (indirect) expenses to other functions.

Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds, proprietary funds and fiduciary funds. However, the fiduciary funds are not included in the government-wide financial statements. Major individual government funds and major individual enterprise funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.

<u>CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA</u> <u>NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u> <u>FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017</u>

Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Government-Wide Financial Statements – The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

C. Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statement Presentation

Governmental Fund Financial Statements – The governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities for the current period. For this purpose, the City considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal year end. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to claims and judgment, are recorded when payment is due.

Sales taxes, excise taxes, franchise taxes, licenses and investment interest associated with the current fiscal period are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period. All other revenue items are considered to be measurable and available only when cash is received by the city.

Because governmental fund statements are presented using a measurement focus and basis of accounting different from that used in the government-wide statement' governmental column, a reconciliation is presented that briefly explains the adjustments necessary to reconcile ending net position and the change in net position.

In the fund financial statement, financial transactions and accounts of the City are organized on the basis of funds. The operation of each fund is considered to be an independent fiscal and separate accounting entity, with a self-balancing set of accounts recording cash and/or other financial resources together with all related liabilities and residual equities or balances, and changes therein, which are segregated for the purpose of carrying on specific activities or attaining certain objectives in accordance with special regulations, restriction or limitations.

Proprietary Funds and Fiduciary Funds – The financial statements of the proprietary and fiduciary funds are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting, similar to the government-wide statements described above.

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

C. Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statement Presentation (continued)

Each proprietary fund has the option under Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB), Statement 20, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Proprietary Funds and Other Governmental Entities That Use Proprietary Fund Accounting to elect to apply all Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) pronouncements issued after November 30, 1989, unless FASB conflicts with GASB. The City's enterprise fund has elected to not apply FASBs issued after the applicable date.

Proprietary funds distinguish operating revenues and expenses from nonoperating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services and producing and delivering goods in connection with a proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations. Revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as nonoperating revenues and expenses.

D. Fund Accounting

The financial activities of the City are recorded in individual funds, each of which is deemed to be a separate accounting entity. The City uses fund accounting to report on its financial position and results of operations. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts.

1. Major Governmental Funds

General Fund – This fund accounts for all activities of the City not specifically required to be accounted for in other funds. Included are transactions for services such as general government, health services, public safety, regulatory services and social services.

1986 Sales Tax 75% Capital Fund – These funds account for monthly transfers from sales tax collections 75% of the one cent sales tax passed in 1986. The funds are predominately used for capital expenditures.

2015 Debt Capital Fund – These funds are predominately used for capital expenditures. The income is provided by proceeds from general obligation bonds for that purpose.

- Special Revenue Funds These funds are used to account for the proceeds of specific revenue sources (other than capital projects) that are legally restricted to expenditures for specified purposes.
- 3. Debt Service Funds These funds are used to account for the accumulation of resources for, and the payment of, general long-term debt principal, interest and related costs.

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

- D. Fund Accounting (continued)
 - 4. Capital Projects Funds These funds are used to account for financial resources to be used for the acquisition or construction of major capital facilities.

5. Proprietary Funds

Utilities Enterprise Fund – This fund is to account for the provision of water and sewerage to residents of the City of West Monroe. This fund is used to account for operations (a) that are financed and operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises – where the intent of the governing body is that the costs (expenses including depreciation) of providing goods or services to the general public on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through user charges, or (b) where the governing body has decided that periodic determination of revenues earned, expenses incurred, and/or net income is appropriate for capital maintenance, public policy, management control, accountability or other purposes.

Internal Service Fund – This fund accounts for the financing of services provided by one fund to other funds of the City. The Self Insurance Fund, which purchases an insurance policy and accounts for third-party administrative costs and claims, is reported as an internal service fund.

6. Fiduciary Funds

Trust Funds – These funds are used to account for assets held by the City in a trustee capacity or as an agent for the individuals, private organizations, other governments and/or other funds. These include Expendable Trust Funds. Expendable trust funds are accounted for in essentially the same manner as governmental funds.

E. Cash and Cash Equivalents

Under state law, the City may deposit funds in demand deposits, interest bearing demand deposits, money market accounts, or time deposits with state banks organized under Louisiana law and national banks having their principal offices in Louisiana.

For purposes of the statement of cash flows, cash equivalents include all highly liquid investments (including restricted assets) with a maturity date of three months or less when purchased.

F. Investments

Deposits with original maturity dates exceeding 90 days are classified as investments. Investments are reported at fair value. The Louisiana Asset Management Pool (LAMP) is an investment pool established as a cooperative endeavor to enable public entities of the State of Louisiana to aggregate funds for investment. LAMP operates in accordance with state laws and regulations. The reported value of the pool is the same as the fair value of the pool shares.

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

G. Noncurrent Receivables

Noncurrent portions of long-term receivables due to government funds are reported on their balance sheets, in spite of their spending measurement focus. Special reporting treatments are used to indicate that they should not be considered "available spendable resources" since they do not represent net current assets. Recognition of governmental fund type revenues represented by noncurrent receivables is deferred until they become current receivables.

H. Inventories

Special reporting treatments are applied to governmental fund inventories to indicate that they do not represent "available spendable resources", even though they are a component of net current assets. Such amounts are presented under the nonspendable fund balance classification.

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out) or market. Inventory in the General Fund consists of expendable supplies held for consumption. The cost is recorded as an expenditure at the time individual inventory items are used.

I. Bad Debts

Uncollectible amounts for ad valorem taxes and convention center receivables are generally not significant. As a result, the direct write-off method for recognizing bad debts is used. Under this method, the receivable is charged to expense when the account is deemed to be uncollectible. For customers' utility receivables, the allowance method is used to account for uncollectible amounts. Under this method, an allowance account is set up for what is deemed to be uncollectible.

J. Short-Term Interfund Receivables/Payables

During the course of operation, numerous transactions occur between individual funds for services rendered. These receivables and payables are classified as due from other funds or due to other funds on the fund financial statements' balance sheet. In the process of aggregating data for the statement of net assets and the statement of activities, some amounts reported as interfund activity and balances in the funds were eliminated or reclassified. Interfund receivables and payables were eliminated to minimize the "grossing up" effect on assets and liabilities within the governmental activities column.

K. Capital Assets

Capital assets, which include property, plant, equipment and infrastructure assets (which is normally immovable and of value only to the City, such as roads, bridges, streets and sidewalks, drainage systems, lighting systems, and similar items), are reported in the applicable governmental or business-type columns in the government-wide financial statements. Purchased or constructed capital assets are valued at historical cost or estimated historical cost. Donated fixed assets are recorded at their fair market value at the date of donation.

Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Infrastructure constructed prior to July 1, 2000 has been recorded at estimated historical cost. The estimated historical cost for years prior to July 1, 2000 was based on capital outlay expenditures reported by the City's engineer who performed a road, street and bridge inventory for the City. The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the asset's value or materially extend an asset's useful life are not capitalized.

Capital assets utilized in the governmental funds are recorded as expenditures in the governmental fund financial statements. Depreciation expense is recorded in the government-wide financial statements, as well as the proprietary funds financial statements.

Capital assets of the City are depreciated on the straight-line method over the assets' estimated useful life. There is no depreciation recorded for land and construction in progress. Generally, estimated useful lives are as follows:

Vehicles, Machinery and Equipment	5-20 years
Buildings and Other Improvements	30-40 years
Infrastructure	20-40 years

L. Franchise Agreements

On February 15, 2012, the City Council of West Monroe authorized a franchise agreement between the City of West Monroe and Entergy Louisiana for the operation by Entergy Louisiana of the electric system within the corporate limits of West Monroe for a period of twenty-five years. The agreement provides that Entergy Louisiana will pay to the City three percent of gross receipts (as defined) collected from the sale of electric service to residential and commercial customers within the City.

Also, on February 15, 2012, the City Council of West Monroe authorized a franchise agreement between the City of West Monroe and Atmos Energy for the operation by Atmos Energy of the gas system within the corporate limits of West Monroe for a period of ten years. The agreement provides that Atmos Energy will pay to the City two percent of gross receipts (as defined) collected from the sale of gas service to residential and commercial customers within the City.

On April 10, 2012, the City Council of West Monroe authorized franchise agreement between the City of West Monroe and any person or entity desiring to provide cable or video services that obtains a state issued certificate of franchise authority. The providers will pay to the City five percent of gross receipts (as defined) collected from the sale of cable or video service to residential and commercial customers within the City and one half of a percent for PEG access support.

M. Lease Obligations

The City leases various assets under both operating and capital lease agreements. In the government-wide financial statements, capital leases and the related lease obligations are reported as liabilities in the applicable governmental activities statement of net assets.

Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

N. Long-Term Liabilities

Bond premiums and discounts, as well as issuance costs, are recognized in the period the bonds are issued. Bond proceeds are reported as other financing sources net of the applicable premium or discount. Issuance costs, even if withheld from the actual net proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures.

O. Compensated Absences

Accumulated unpaid vacation, sick pay, and other employee benefit amounts are accrued when incurred in enterprise funds under the accrual basis of accounting. Employees of the City earn vacation pay in varying amounts ranging from 5 to 20 working days per year depending upon length of service. At the end of each year, employees may carry forward earned vacation time. Up to a maximum of 40 days of unused vacation is paid to an employee upon retirement, separation, or death at hourly rates being earned by that employee upon separation.

Firemen and policemen may receive up to one year's sick leave per illness as prescribed under Louisiana law. For all other City employees, sick leave is accumulated at rates ranging up to 12 days per year. Unused sick leave may be carried forward, however, up to a maximum of 36 days of unused sick leave is paid to an employee upon retirement, separation, or death at hourly rates being earned by that employee upon separation.

P. Dedicated Revenues

Two cents of the sales tax revenue assessment is dedicated as follows: one cent is dedicated to capital improvements; seventy-five percent of the remaining cent is dedicated for purchase and improvements of assets having a life of one year or greater, related professional services and programs, and for paying capital improvements, construction, and repairs; the remaining twenty-five percent shall be used for general operations.

Q. Fund Equity

GASB Statement No. 54 establishes standards for five fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of resources reported in government funds. The non-spendable fund balance classification includes amounts that cannot be spent because they are either (a) not in spendable form – prepaid items or inventories; or (b) legally or contractually required to be maintained intact.

The spendable portion of the fund balance comprises the remaining four classifications: restricted, committed, assigned and unassigned.

Restricted Fund Balance – This classification reflects the constraints imposed on resources either (a) externally by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments; or (b) imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Q. Fund Equity (continued)

Committed Fund Balance – These amounts can only be used for specific purposes pursuant to constraints imposed by formal resolutions or ordinances of the city aldermen – the government's highest level of decision making authority. Those committed amounts cannot be used for any other purpose unless the city aldermen remove the specified use by taking the same type of action imposing the commitment. This classification also includes contractual obligations to the extent that existing resources in the fund have been specifically committed for use in satisfying those contractual requirements.

Assigned Fund Balance – This classification reflects the amounts constrained by the city's "intent" to be used for specific purposes, but are neither restricted nor committed. The city aldermen and management have the authority to assign amounts to be used for specific purposes. Assigned fund balances include all remaining amounts (except negative balances) that are reported in governmental funds, other than the general fund, that are not classified as non-spendable and are neither restricted not committed.

Unassigned Fund Balance – This fund balance is the residual classification for the general fund. It is also used to report negative fund balances in other governmental funds.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the city's policy to use externally restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources – committed, assigned and unassigned – in order as needed.

R. Accounting Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions. Those estimates affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. They may also affect the reported amounts of revenues and expenses of proprietary funds and the government-wide financial statements during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

S. Pension Plans

The City of West Monroe, Louisiana is a participating employer in multiple pension plans as described in Note 10. For purposes of measuring the Net Pension Liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the Funds, and additions to/deductions from the Fund's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by the Fund. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments have been reported at fair value within the Plans.

Note 2- Cash and Cash Equivalents

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

The custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the City's deposits may not be returned to it. The City's policy to ensure there is no exposure to this risk is to require each financial institution to pledge its own securities to cover any amount in excess of Federal Depository Insurance Coverage. Louisiana Revised Statute 39:1229 imposes a statutory requirement on the custodial bank to advertise and sell the pledged securities within 10 days of being notified by the City that the fiscal agent bank has failed to pay deposited funds upon demand. Accordingly, the City had no custodial risk related to its deposits at June 30, 2017.

At June 30, 2017, the City has cash and cash equivalents (book balances net of overdrafts) in the amount of \$3,564,521.

These deposits are stated at cost, which approximates market. Under state law, these deposits (or the resulting bank balances) must be secured by federal deposit insurance or the pledge of securities owned by the fiscal agent bank. The market value of the pledged securities plus the federal deposit insurance must at all times equal the amount on deposit with the fiscal agent.

These securities are held in the name of the pledging fiscal agent bank in a holding or custodial bank that is mutually acceptable by both parties. Cash and cash equivalents (bank balances) at June 30, 2017, are secured as follows:

Bank Balances	<u>\$_4,341,540</u>
Federal Deposits Insurance Pledged Securities (Uncollateralized)	\$ 250,000 <u>4,552,385</u>
TOTAL	<u>\$_4,802,385</u>
Governmental Funds Cash Enterprise Funds Cash Fiduciary Funds Cash	\$ 2,294,715 209,293 1,060,513
TOTAL BOOK BALANCES BY FUND TYPE	<u>\$_3,564,521</u>

During the year ended June 30, 2011, the city set up an imprest deposit account with Crawford and Company in the amount of \$16,800 to pay liability claims brought against the city and to perform all reporting requirements that are required in regards to these claims. Each month, the city is billed for the amount of claims that were paid in the preceding month in order to replenish the imprest account back up to the original \$16,800.

Discretely Presented Component Units - Deposits

All deposits of the component units are held by area financial institutions. At the respective year ends, all deposits are insured or collateralized with securities held in the component unit's name by its agent (the trust department of a bank other than the pledging bank).

Note 3 - Investments

Custodial Credit Risk - Investments

The custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the government will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The City had no custodial credit risk related to its investments at June 30, 2017.

Under state law, the City may invest funds in obligations of the United States, in federally-insured investments, or in time deposits with state banks organized under Louisiana law and national banks having their principal offices in Louisiana. At June 30, 2017, the City had the following investments stated at cost, which approximates market:

Fixed Income Louisiana Asset Management Pool		,767,094 ,487,387
Total	<u>\$9</u>	, <u>254,481</u>

Interest Rate Risk

In accordance with its investment policy, the City manages its exposure to declines in fair market values by limiting investment portfolio to "money market investments", which are defined as creditworthy, highly liquid investments with maturities of one year or less. Although there may be certain circumstances in which longer-term securities are utilized, the general use of long-term securities shall be avoided.

Credit Risk

The City's investment policy limits investments to fully insured and/or fully-collateralized certificates of deposits and direct and indirect obligations of U.S. government agencies.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The City's investment policy limits the City's investment instruments to: 1) certificates of deposit; 2) certain direct obligations of the U.S. Government; 3) bonds, debentures, notes, or other evidence of indebtedness issued or guaranteed by federal agencies and provided such obligations are backed by the full faith and credit of the United States of America; and 4) the Louisiana Asset Management Pool.

The City participates in the Louisiana Asset Management Pool (LAMP). The LAMP is an investment pool established as a cooperative endeavor to enable public entities of the State of Louisiana to aggregate funds for investment.

Note 4 - Fund Deficits

The following funds had deficits at June 30, 2017:

Major Funds	
'86 Sales Tax Fund	\$ 195,065
Non-Major Funds	
Special Revenue Funds	
Officer Witness Court	\$ 16,396
Street Maintenance	\$ 29,981
Misc. Projects and Grants Fund	\$ 8,886
Ouachita Outreach	\$ 116,132
Americorps	\$ 179,742
Keep West Monroe Grant Program	\$ 40,765
Debt Service Funds	
2003 Debt Service Fund	\$ 49,942
2007 Debt Service Fund	\$ 776
2015 Debt Service Fund	\$ 751

The deficit in the special revenue funds and debt service funds are to be financed with future revenues or transfers from other funds. The deficits in the capital project funds will be funded with future drawdowns from state and federal agencies and/or transfers from the general fund.

Note 5 - Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable at June 30, 2017, consisted of the following:

	Governmental	Proprietary	
	Funds	Funds	<u>Total</u>
Accounts Receivable	<u>\$_400,828</u>	<u>\$_787,470</u>	<u>\$ 1,188,298</u>

Note 6 - Ad Valorem Taxes

Property taxes are usually mailed out in November through Ouachita Parish and are due as of January 1. Ouachita Parish remits the City's portion monthly as received from taxpayers. An enforceable lien attaches to the property with unpaid taxes and is sold at a tax sale usually in June of the following year.

The Ouachita Parish Tax Assessor establishes assessed values each year on a uniform basis at the following ratios to fair market value:

10% Land	15% Machinery
10% Residential Improvements	15%Comm. Improvements
15% Industrial Improvements	25%PublicService Properties,
	Excluding Land
The ad valorem tax millage is as follows:	
	Mills
General Ad Valorem Tax	6.89
Street Maintenance	<u>1.63</u>
TOTAL	<u>8.52</u>

Note 7 - Due From Other Governments

The amounts due from other governments at June 30, 2017 are as follows:

Louisiana Highway Safety Commission	\$ 74,427
City of Monroe, LA	1,791,449
Louisiana Commission on Law Enforcement	16,000
High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area Grant	4,118
Corporation for National and Community Service	55,951
Ouachita Parish	3,288
Ouachita Parish Sheriff	495
FEMA	729
Louisiana Department on Public Safety and Corrections	9,463
State of Louisiana	586,551
Various	6,389
TOTAL	<u>\$2,548,860</u>

Note 8 - Capital Assets

,

Capital asset activity for the year ended June 30, 2017 is as follows:

Government Activities:	June 30, 2016 Balance	Additions	Deletions	June 30, 2017 Balance
Non-Depreciable Assets:				
Land	\$ 5,931,403	\$ 425,000	\$ -	\$ 6,356,403
Construction In Progress	1,445,385	2,360,872	(1,445,385)	2,360,872
Total	7,376,788	2,785,872	(1,445,385)	8,717,275
Depreciable Assets:				
Buildings	32,180,411	278,210	-	32,458,621
Improvements	3,472,568	139,030	-	3,611,598
Equipment	5,936,310	380,532	-	6,316,842
Vehicles	7,900,560	108,279	-	8,008,839
Infrastructure	63,456,451	2,897,402		66,353,853
Total at Historical Cost	\$112,946,300	\$ 3,803,453	\$ -	\$ 116,749,753
	June 30, 2016			June 30, 2017
	June 30, 2010			June 30, 2017
	Balance	Additions	Deletions	Balance
Less Accumulated		Additions	Deletions	Construction of the second structure of the second str
Depreciation for:	Balance			Balance
Depreciation for: Buildings	<u>Balance</u> \$(14,667,624)	\$(887,856)	Deletions	<u>Balance</u> \$(15,555,480)
Depreciation for: Buildings Improvements	Balance \$(14,667,624) (926,416)	\$(887,856) (144,816)		Balance \$(15,555,480) (1,071,232)
Depreciation for: Buildings Improvements Equipment	Balance \$(14,667,624) (926,416) (5,410,450)	\$(887,856) (144,816) (166,921)		Balance \$(15,555,480) (1,071,232) (5,577,371)
Depreciation for: Buildings Improvements Equipment Vehicles	Balance \$(14,667,624) (926,416) (5,410,450) (6,293,533)	\$(887,856) (144,816) (166,921) (538,514)	\$ -	Balance \$(15,555,480) (1,071,232) (5,577,371) (6,832,047)
Depreciation for: Buildings Improvements Equipment Vehicles Infrastructure	Balance \$(14,667,624) (926,416) (5,410,450)	\$(887,856) (144,816) (166,921)	\$ -	Balance \$(15,555,480) (1,071,232) (5,577,371)
Depreciation for: Buildings Improvements Equipment Vehicles Infrastructure Total Accumulated	Balance \$(14,667,624) (926,416) (5,410,450) (6,293,533) (50,773,447)	\$(887,856) (144,816) (166,921) (538,514) (1,484,218)	\$ -	Balance \$(15,555,480) (1,071,232) (5,577,371) (6,832,047) (52,257,665)
Depreciation for: Buildings Improvements Equipment Vehicles Infrastructure	Balance \$(14,667,624) (926,416) (5,410,450) (6,293,533)	\$(887,856) (144,816) (166,921) (538,514)	\$ -	Balance \$(15,555,480) (1,071,232) (5,577,371) (6,832,047)
Depreciation for: Buildings Improvements Equipment Vehicles Infrastructure Total Accumulated Depreciation	Balance \$(14,667,624) (926,416) (5,410,450) (6,293,533) (50,773,447)	\$(887,856) (144,816) (166,921) (538,514) (1,484,218)	\$ -	Balance \$(15,555,480) (1,071,232) (5,577,371) (6,832,047) (52,257,665)
Depreciation for: Buildings Improvements Equipment Vehicles Infrastructure Total Accumulated	Balance \$(14,667,624) (926,416) (5,410,450) (6,293,533) (50,773,447)	\$(887,856) (144,816) (166,921) (538,514) (1,484,218)	\$ -	Balance \$(15,555,480) (1,071,232) (5,577,371) (6,832,047) (52,257,665)

Note 8 - Capital Assets (continued)

Business-Type Activities: Non-Depreciable Assets:						
Land	\$ 57.	750 \$	-	\$	-	\$ 57,750
Construction in Progress			4,934,459		-	4,934,459
Total	57.	750	4,934,459		-	4,992,209
Depreciable Assets:						
Buildings	73,	436	-		-	73,436
Sparta Reuse Plant	19,233,	250	-		-	19,233,250
Water Plant	10,339,	409	-		-	10,339,409
Treatment Plant	10,823,	964	-		-	10,823,964
Equipment	1,687.	702	63,941		-	1,751,643
Totals at Historical Cost	42,157,	761	63,941		-	42,221,702
Less Accumulated Depreciation for: Buildings Sparta Reuse Plant Water Plant Treatment Plant Equipment Total Accumulated Depreciation	(73, (4,087, (5,271, (8,818, <u>(1,528,</u> (19,778,	582) (383) (256) (961,663) 349,157) 316,779) 15,423) 1,643,022)	1 <u></u>		(73,436) (5,048,728) (5,620,739) (9,135,162) (1,543,679) (21,421,744)
Business-Type Activities						
Capital Assets, Net	\$22,436,	<u>789 </u> \$	<u>3,355,378</u>	\$	-	<u>\$ 25,792,167</u>

Depreciation expense for the year ended June 30, 2017 was charged to functions of the City as follows:

Government Activities:		
General Government	\$	1,767,045
Public Safety		375,404
Public Works		335,839
Culture and Recreation		83,665
Community Development		255,843
Ike Hamilton Expo Center		401,162
Convention Center		3,367
Health and Welfare	_	-
Total Depreciation Expense -		
Governmental Activities	\$	3,222,325
Business-Type Activities:		
Utilities	<u>\$</u>	1,643,022

Note 9 - Restricted Assets and Related Resources

At June 30, 2017, all restricted assets were in the form of demand deposits. These assets represent amounts held for utility customer deposits and cash for reserve and sinking fund requirements.

Note 10 - Pension and Retirement Plans

STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEMS

MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF LOUISIANA

Substantially all city employees, except firemen and policemen are members of the Municipal Employees' Retirement System of Louisiana (MERS), a multiple-employer (cost-sharing), public employee retirement system (PERS), controlled and administered by a separate board of trustees. The MERS is composed of two distinct plans, Plan A and Plan B, with separate assets and benefit provisions. All members participate in Plan A.

All permanent employees working at least 35 hours per week and elected city officials are eligible to participate in the system. Under the MERS, a member who retires at or after age 60 with at least 10 years of creditable service, at or after age 55 with 25 years of creditable service, or at any age with at least 30 years of creditable service is entitled to a retirement benefit, payable monthly for life, equal to 3 percent of the member's final compensation multiplied by his years of creditable service.

Final compensation is a member's average monthly earnings during the highest 36 consecutive months or joined months if service was interrupted. A member who withdraws from active service prior to retirement eligibility is entitled to receive benefits beginning on the normal retirement date, assuming completion of ten years of creditable service and no prior refund of contributions. The system also provides death and disability benefits. Benefits are established by state statute.

<u>Funding Policy</u> – State statute requires covered employees to contribute 9.5 percent of their salaries to the System. As provided by Louisiana Revised Statute 11:103, the employer contributions are determined by actuarial valuation and are subject to change each year based on the results of the valuation for the prior fiscal year. The current actuarially determined rate is 22.75 percent of annual covered payroll. The City's contributions to the System under Plan A for the years ending June 30, 2017, 2016 and 2015 were \$1,406,663, \$1,201,843 and \$1,119,250, respectively, equal to the required contributions for each year.

The System issues an annual publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the System. That report may be obtained by writing to the Municipal Employees' Retirement System, 7937 Office Park Blvd., Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70809, or by calling (225) 925-4810.

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At June 30, 2017, the City reported a liability of \$14,039,990 for its proportionate share of the net pension liability for the MERS plan. The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2016 and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The City's proportion of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the City's long-term share of contributions to the MERS pension plan relative to the projected contributions of all participating employers, actuarially determined. At June 30, 2016, the City's proportion was 3.4255%, which was an increase of 0.1051% from its proportion measured as of June 30, 2015.

Note 10 - Pension and Retirement Plans (continued)

For the year ended June 30, 2017, the City recognized net pension expense of \$2,253,427 plus employer's amortization of change in proportionate share and differences between employer contributions and proportionate share of contributions, \$220,997.

At June 30, 2017, the City reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	Deferred Outflows of Resources		 rred Inflows Resources
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$	-	\$ (443,812)
Changes of assumptions		511,089	-
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments		3,206,356	-
Changes in proportion and differences between Employer contributions and proportionate share of contributions		149,086	-
Employer contributions subsequent to the measurement			
date		1,406,663	 -
Total	\$	5,273,194	\$ (443,812)

The City reported a total of \$1,406,663 as deferred outflow of resources related to pension contributions made subsequent to the measurement period of June 30, 2016 which will be recognized as a reduction in net pension liability in the year ended June 30, 2017.

Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized as an increase (decrease) in pension expense as follows:

Year	MERS	
2018	\$ 1,091,924	
2019	794,475	
2020	1,038,927	
2021	497,393	
	\$ 3,422,719	_

Note 10 - Pension and Retirement Plans (continued)

Actuarial Assumptions

A summary of the actuarial methods and assumptions used in determining the total pension liability as of June 30, 2016 is as follows:

Valuation Date Actuarial Cost Method Actuarial Assumptions:	June 30, 2016 Entry Age Normal
Expected Remaining Service Lives	3 years
Investment Rate of Return	7.5%, net of investment expense
Projected salary increases	5.00%
Mortality	RP-2000 Disabled Lives Mortality Table for Disabled Annuitants for active members (set back 2 years for males and females)
	RP-2000 Disabled Lives Mortality Table for Disabled Annuitants (set back 5 years for males and 3 years for females)
	RP-2000 Healthy Annuitant Sex Distinct Mortality Table for healthy annuitants (set forward 2 years for males and 1 year for females projected to 2028 using scale AA)

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a buildingblock method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation and an adjustment for the effect of rebalancing/diversification.

Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.50%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from plan members will be made at the current contribution rates and that contributions from participating employers will be made at the actuarially determined rates, actuarially determined rates. Based on those assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

Note 10 - Pension and Retirement Plans (continued)

Sensitivity of the Employer's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following table presents the City's proportionate share of the net pension liability (NPL) using the discount rate of each Retirement System as well as what the City's proportionate share of the NPL would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage-point lower or one percentage-point higher than the current rate used by each of the Retirement Systems:

			Curre	ent Discount		
	1.0	% Decrease		Rate	1.0	0% Increase
MERS						
Rates		6.5%		7.5%		8.5%
COWM Share-NPL	\$	17,847,440	\$	14,039,990	\$	10,791,068

MUNICIPAL POLICE EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

All full-time police officers engaged in law enforcement are eligible to participate in the Municipal Police Employees' Retirement System (MPERS), a multiple-employer (cost-sharing), public employee retirement system (PERS), controlled and administered by a separate board of trustees. Members who retire at or after age 55 with 12 years of creditable service, at or after age 50 with 20 years of creditable service, or at any age with 25 years of creditable service are entitled to a retirement benefit, payable monthly for life, equal to 3-1/3 percent of the member's average final compensation multiplied by his years of creditable service, not to exceed 100 percent of his average final compensation

Average final compensation is the average annual earned compensation of a member for any period of 36 successive or joined months of service that produces the highest average. The system also provides death and disability benefits. Benefits are established by state statute.

<u>Funding Policy</u> - State statute requires covered employees to contribute 10.00 percent of their salaries to the system. The City is required to contribute 31.75 percent of covered employees' salaries. As provided by Louisiana Revised Statute 11:103, the employer contributions are determined by actuarial valuation and are subject to change each year based on the results of the valuation for the prior fiscal year.

The City's contributions to the System for the years ending June 30, 2017, 2016 and 2015 were \$1,027,768, \$930,067 and \$981.393, respectively, equal to the required contributions for the year.

The System issues an annual publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the System. That report may be obtained by writing to the Municipal Police Employees' Retirement System, 8401 United Plaza Blvd., Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70809-7017, or by calling (225) 929-7411.

Note 10 -Pension and Retirement Plans (continued)

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At June 30, 2017, the City reported a liability of \$10,549,125 for its proportionate share of the net pension liability for the MPERS plan. The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2016 and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The City's proportion of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the City's long-term share of contributions to the MPERS pension plan relative to the projected contributions of all participating employers, actuarially determined. At June 30, 2016, the City's proportion was 1.1255%, which was an increase of .0516% from its proportion measured as of June 30, 2015.

For the year ended June 30, 2017, the City recognized pension expense of \$1,415,186 plus employer's amortization of change in proportionate share and differences between employer contributions and proportionate share of contributions, \$71,832.

At June 30, 2017, the City reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	 red Outflows Resources	rred Inflows Resources
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$ -	\$ (167,810)
Changes of assumptions	512,904	(635)
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments	1,623,231	-
Changes in proportion and differences between Employer contributions and proportionate share of contributions	493,873	(371,602)
Employer contributions subsequent to the measurement date	1,027,768	-
Total	\$ 3,657,776	\$ (540,047)

The City reported a total of \$1,027,768 as deferred outflow of resources related to pension contributions made subsequent to the measurement period of June 30, 2016 which will be recognized as a reduction in net pension liability in the year ended June 30, 2017.

Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized as an increase (decrease) in pension expense as follows:

Year	MPERS
2018	\$ 601,592
2019	334,230
2020	741,835
2021	412,304
	\$ 2,089,961

Note 10 - Pension and Retirement Plans (continued)

Actuarial Assumptions

A summary of the actuarial methods and assumptions used in determining the total pension liability as of June 30, 2016 is as follows:

Valuation Date Actuarial Cost Method Actuarial Assumptions:	June 30, 2016 Entry Age Normal
Expected Remaining Service Lives	4 years
Investment Rate of Return	7.5%, net of investment expense
Projected salary increases	4.25 to 9.75% based on years of service
Mortality	RP-2000 Employee Table for active members (set back 4 years for males and 3 years for females)
	RP-2000 Disabled Lives Mortality Table for Disabled Annuitants (set back 5 years for males and 3 years for females)
	RP-2000 Combined Healthy with Blue Collar Adjustment Sex Distinct Tables projected to 2029 by Scale AA (set back 1 year for females) for healthy annuitants

The mortality rate assumption used was set based upon an experience study performed by the prior actuary on plan data for the period July1, 2009 through June 30, 2014, and the review of similar law enforcement mortality. The data was assigned credibility weighting and combined with a standard table to produce current levels of mortality. This mortality was then projected forward to a period equivalent to the estimated duration of the System's liabilities. Annuity values calculated based on this mortality were compared to those produced by using a set-back of standards tables. The result of the procedure indicated that the tables used would produce liability values approximating the appropriate generational mortality tables.

Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.5%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from plan members will be made at the current contribution rates and that contributions from participating employers will be made at the actuarially determined rates approved by PRSAC taking into consideration the recommendation of the System's actuary. Based on those assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

Note 10 -Pension and Retirement Plans (continued)

Sensitivity of the Employer's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following table presents the City's proportionate share of the net pension liability (NPL) using the discount rate of each Retirement System as well as what the City's proportionate share of the NPL would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage-point lower or one percentage-point higher than the current rate used by each of the Retirement Systems:

			Curre	ent Discount		
	1.0	% Decrease		Rate	1.0	% Increase
MPERS						
Rates		6.5%		7.5%		8.5%
COWM Share- NPL	\$	14,062,891	\$	10,549,125	\$	7,599,000

FIREFIGHTERS' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

All full-time firefighters for the City who are engaged in fire protection are eligible to participate in the Firefighters' Retirement System (FRS), a multiple-employer (cost-sharing), public employee retirement system (PERS), controlled and administered by a separate board of trustees. A member who has completed 20 years of creditable service, has been a member at least 1 year, and has reached the age of 50 is eligible for retirement.

A member with at least 12 years of service who has reached the age of 55 is eligible for retirement, provided he has been a member for at least 1 year. Upon retirement, the benefit amount is 3-1/3 percent of average final compensation multiplied by years of creditable service, not to exceed his average final compensation. Average final compensation is the member's average annual earned compensation for any period of 36 successive or joined months of service that produce the highest average. The system also provides death and disability benefits. Benefits are established by state statute.

<u>Funding Policy</u> - State statute requires covered employees to contribute 10.00 percent of their salaries to the system. The City is required to contribute 25.25 percent of covered employees' salaries. As provided by Louisiana Revised Statute 11:103, the employer contributions are determined by actuarial valuation and are subject to change each year based on the results of the valuation for the prior fiscal year. The City's contribution to the System for the years ending June 30, 2017, 2016 and 2015 were \$472,509, \$510,063, and \$500,011, respectively, equal to the required contributions for the year.

The System issues an annual publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the System. That report may be obtained by writing to the Firefighters' Retirement System, 3100 Brentwood Drive, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70809-1752, or by calling (225) 925-4060.

Note 10 - Pension and Retirement Plans (continued)

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At June 30, 2017, the City reported a liability of \$5,449,705 for its proportionate share of the net pension liability for the FRS plan. The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2016 and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The City's proportion of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the City's long-term share of contributions to the FRS pension plan relative to the projected contributions of all participating employers, actuarially determined. At June 30, 2016, the City's proportion was .8332%, which was an increase of 0.0288% from its proportion measured as of June 30, 2015.

For the year ended June 30, 2017, the City recognized pension expense of \$994,231 less employer's amortization of change in proportionate share and differences between employer contributions and proportionate share of contributions, \$129,923.

At June 30, 2017, the City reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	 red Outflows Resources	Deferred Inflows of Resources
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$ -	\$(215,721)
Changes of assumptions	46,966	(1,519)
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments	1,309,748	-
Changes in proportion and differences between Employer contributions and proportionate share of contributions	591,342	-
Employer contributions subsequent to the measurement		
date	 472,509	•
Total	\$ 2,420,565	\$ (217,240)

The City reported a total of \$472,509 as deferred outflow of resources related to pension contributions made subsequent to the measurement period of June 30, 2016 which will be recognized as a reduction in net pension liability in the year ended June 30, 2017.

Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized as an increase (decrease) in pension expense as follows:

Year	FRS
2018	\$ 845,502
2019	508,474
2020	326,196
2021	37,904
2022	 12,740
	\$ 1,730,816

Note 10 - Pension and Retirement Plans (continued)

Actuarial Assumptions

A summary of the actuarial methods and assumptions used in determining the total pension liability as of June 30, 2016 is as follows:

Valuation Date Actuarial Cost Method Actuarial Assumptions:	June 30, 2016 Entry Age Normal
Expected Remaining Service Lives	7 years
Investment Rate of Return	7.5%, net of investment expense
Inflation Rate	2.875 per annum
Projected salary increases	4.75 - 15% per year based on years of service
Mortality	RP-2000 Employee Table for active members
	RP-2000 Disabled Lives Mortality Table for Disabled Annuitants
	RP-2000 Healthy Annuitant Table for healthy annuitants
Cost of Living Adjustments	Only those previously granted.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a buildingblock method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. The long term expected nominal rate of return was 8.34% as of June 30, 2016.

Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.5%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from plan members will be made at the current contribution rates and that contributions from participating employers will be made at the actuarially determined rates approved by PRSAC taking into consideration the recommendation of the System's actuary. Based on those assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

Note 10 - Pension and Retirement Plans (continued)

Sensitivity of the Employer's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following table presents the City's proportionate share of the net pension liability (NPL) using the discount rate of each Retirement System as well as what the City's proportionate share of the NPL would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage-point lower or one percentage-point higher than the current rate used by each of the Retirement Systems:

			Currer	nt Discount		
	1.09	% Decrease		Rate	1.0	% Increase
FRS			-		-	
Rates		6.5%		7.5%		8.5%
COWM Share- NPL	\$	7,424,783	\$	5449,705	\$	3,788,701

LOUISIANA STATE EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

The City Judge is eligible to participate in the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System (LASERS), a multiple-employer (cost-sharing), public employee retirement system (PERS), controlled and administered by a separate board of trustees. LASERS provides benefits for certain elected officials and officials appointed by the governor. A member who has completed 20 years of creditable service is eligible for retirement. Upon retirement, the benefit amount is 2-1/2 percent of average compensation multiplied by the number of years of creditable service. Average compensation is defined as the member's average annual earned compensation for the highest thirty-six consecutive months of employment.

Judges, court officers, and certain elected officials receive an additional annual retirement benefit equal to 1% of average compensation multiplied by the number of years of creditable service in their respective capacity. The system also provides death and disability benefits. Benefits are established by state statute.

<u>Funding Policy</u> - State statute requires covered employees to contribute 11.50 percent of their salaries to the system. The City is required to contribute 38.00 percent of covered employees' salaries. As provided by Louisiana Revised Statute 11:103, the employer contributions are determined by actuarial valuation and are subject to change each year based on the results of the valuation for the prior fiscal year. The City's contribution to the plan for the years ending June 30, 2017, 2016 and 2015 were \$17,713, \$17,419 and \$18,597, respectively, equal to the required contributions for the year.

The System issues an annual publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the System. That report may be obtained by writing to the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System, 3401 United Plaza Blvd., Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70809, or by calling (225) 922-0600.

Note 10 -Pension and Retirement Plans (continued)

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At June 30, 2017, the City reported a liability of \$187,126 for its proportionate share of the net pension liability for the LASERS plan. The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2016 and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The City's proportion of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the City's long-term share of contributions to the LASERS pension plan relative to the projected contributions of all participating employers, actuarially determined. At June 30, 2016, the City's proportion was .0024%, which was an increase of .0002% from its proportion measured as of June 30, 2015.

For the year ended June 30, 2017, the City recognized pension expense of \$29,515 plus employer's amortization of change in proportionate share and differences between employer contributions and proportionate share of contributions, (\$1,567).

At June 30, 2017, the City reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	d Outflows esources	 ed Inflows esources
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$ 108	\$ (1,736)
Changes of assumptions	-	
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments	23,307	-
Changes in proportion and differences between Employer contributions and proportionate share of contributions	1,124	-
Employer contributions subsequent to the measurement		
date	 17,713	 -
Total	\$ 42,252	\$ (1,736)

The City reported a total of \$17,713 as deferred outflow of resources related to pension contributions made subsequent to the measurement period of June 30, 2016 which will be recognized as a reduction in net pension liability in the year ended June 30, 2017.

Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized as an increase (decrease) in pension expense as follows:

LASERS
\$ 8,185
9,058
5,560
\$ 22,803

Note 10 - Pension and Retirement Plans (continued)

Actuarial Assumptions

A summary of the actuarial methods and assumptions used in determining the total pension liability as of June 30, 2016 is as follows:

Valuation Date Actuarial Cost Method Actuarial Assumptions:	June 30, 2016 Entry Age Normal
Expected Remaining Service Lives	3 years
Investment Rate of Return	7.75%, net of investment expense
Salary Increases	Various between 3 and 14.50%
Projected inflation increases	3% per annum
Mortality	RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table (with mortality improvement projection to 2015)
	RP-2000 Disabled Retiree Mortality Table
Termination, Disability and Retirement	Termination, disability, and retirement assumptions were projected based on a five-year (2009-2013) experience study of the System's members
Cost of Living Adjustments	The present value of future retirement benefits is based on benefits currently being paid by the System and includes previously granted cost of living increases. The projected benefit payments do not include provisions for potential future increases not yet authorized by the Board of Trustees as they were deemed not to be substantively automatic.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a buildingblock method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation of 3.25% and an adjustment for the effect of rebalancing/diversification. The resulting expected long-term rate of return is 8.72% for 2016.

Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.75%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from plan members will be made at the current contribution rates and that contributions from participating employers will be made at the actuarially determined rates approved by PRSAC taking into consideration the recommendation of the System's actuary. Based on those assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

Note 10 -Pension and Retirement Plans (continued)

Sensitivity of the Employer's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following table presents the City's proportionate share of the net pension liability (NPL) using the discount rate of each Retirement System as well as what the City's proportionate share of the NPL would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage-point lower or one percentage-point higher than the current rate used by each of the Retirement Systems:

			Current Di	scount		
	1.0%	Decrease	Rate	•	1.0% Ir	ncrease
LASERS						
Rates		6.75%		7.75%		8.75%
COWM Share-NPL	\$	229,902	\$	187,126	\$	150,780

Note 11 - Postemployment Health Care Benefits

Plan Description. The City of West Monroe's medical benefits are provided through a comprehensive medical plan and are made available to employees upon actual retirement.

The employees are covered by several retirement systems with similar eligibility provisions. In the absence of specific details about which employees are covered by each system, we have used the following retirement eligibility (D.R.O.P. entry) provisions as representative of the eligibility: 25 years of service at any age; or, age 60 and 10 years of service. Complete plan provisions are included in the official plan documents.

Contribution Rates. Employees do not contribute to their post employment benefits costs until they become retirees and begin receiving those benefits. The plan provisions and contribution rates are contained in the official plan documents.

Fund Policy. Until 2008, the City of West Monroe recognized the cost of providing postemployment medical benefits (the City of West Monroe's portion of the retiree medical benefit premiums) as an expense when the benefit premiums were due and thus financed the cost of the post-employment benefits on a pay-as-you-go basis. Effective with the Fiscal Year beginning July 1, 2008, the City of West Monroe implemented Government Accounting Standards Board Statement Number 45, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Post employment Benefits Other than Pensions (GASB 45). The funding policy is not to fund the ARC except to the extent of the current year's retiree funding costs.

In fiscal year ending June 30, 2017 and 2016, the City of West Monroe's portion of health care funding cost for retired employees totaled \$183,367 and \$40,717, respectively. These amounts were applied toward the Net OPEB Benefit Obligation as shown in the following table.

Note 11 - Postemployment Health Care Benefits (continued)

Annual Required Contribution. The City of West Monroe's Annual Required Contribution (ARC) is an amount actuarially determined in accordance with GASB Codification Section P50. The Annual Required Contribution (ARC) is the sum of the Normal Cost plus the contribution to amortize the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL). A level dollar, open amortization period of 30 years (the maximum amortization period allowed by GASB Codification Section P50) has been used for the post-employment benefits. The actuarially computed ARC is as follows:

	Μ	edical
Normal Cost	\$	253,380
30-year UAL amortization amount		313,155
Annual required contribution (ARC)	\$	566,435

Net Post-employment Benefit Obligation (Asset). The table below shows the City of West Monroe's Net Other Post-employment Benefit (OPEB) Obligation (Asset) for fiscal year ending June 30, 2017:

		Medical
Beginning Net OPEB Obligation		
(Asset) 7/1/2016	\$	1,461,231
Annual required contribution		566,435
Interest on Net OPEB Obligation		
(Asset)		58,449
ARC Adjustment		(84,503)
OPEB Cost		540,381
Contribution		-
Current year retiree premium		(194,884)
Change in Net OPEB Obligation	-	345,497
Ending Net OPEB Obligation (Asset)		
6/30/2017	\$	1,806,728

The following table shows the City of West Monroe's annual post employment benefits (PEB) cost, percentage of the cost contributed, and the net unfunded post employment benefits (PEB) liability (asset):

Post Employment		Annual OPEB	Percentage of Annual Cost	Net OPEB Obligation
Benefit	Fiscal Year Ended	Cost	Contributed	(Asset)
Medical	June 30, 2017	\$540,381	36.06%	\$1,806,728
Medical	June 30, 2016	\$807,801	17.42%	\$1,461,231

Funded Status and Funding Progress. In the fiscal year ending June 30, 2017, the City of West Monroe made no contributions to its post employment benefits plan. The plan was not funded at all, has no assets, and hence has a funded ratio of zero. Based on the July 1, 2015 actuarial valuation, the most recent valuation, the Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) at the end of the year ended June 30, 2017 was \$5,631,511, which is defined as that portion, as determined by a particular actuarial cost method (the City of West Monroe uses the Projected Unit Credit Cost Method), of the actuarial present value of post employment plan benefits and expenses which is not provided by normal cost.

Note 11 - Postemployment Health Care Benefits (continued)

	Medical
Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) Actuarial Value of Plan Assets (AVP)	\$ 5,631,511
Unfunded Act. Accrued Liability (UAAL)	<u>\$_5,631,511</u>
Funded Ratio (AVP/AAL)	0.00%
Covered Payroll (active plan members)	\$9,110,023
UAAL as a percentage of covered payroll	61.82%

.

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions. Actuarial valuations involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of events far into the future. The actuarial valuation for post employment benefits includes estimates and assumptions regarding (1) turnover rate; (2) retirement rate; (3) health care cost trend rate; (4) mortality rate; (5) discount rate (investment return assumption); and (6) the period to which the costs apply (past, current, or future years of service by employees). Actuarially determined amounts are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared to past expectations and new estimates are made about the future.

The actuarial calculations are based on the types of benefits provided under the terms of the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the City of West Monroe and its employee plan members) at the time of the valuation and on the pattern of sharing costs between the City of West Monroe and its plan members to that point. The projection of benefits for financial reporting purposes does not explicitly incorporate the potential effects of legal or contractual funding limitations on the pattern of cost sharing between the City of West Monroe and plan members in the future. Consistent with the long-term perspective of actuarial calculations, the actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce short-term volatility in actuarial liabilities and the actuarial value of assets.

Actuarial Cost Method. The ARC is determined using the Projected Unit Credit Cost Method. The employer portion of the cost for retiree medical care in each future year is determined by projecting the current cost levels using the healthcare cost trend rate and discounting this projected amount to the valuation date using the other described pertinent actuarial assumptions, including the investment return assumption (discount rate), mortality, and turnover.

Actuarial Value of Plan Assets. There are not any plan assets. It is anticipated that in future valuations, should funding take place, a smoothed market value consistent with Actuarial Standards Board ASOP 6, as provided in paragraph number 125 of GASB Codification Section P50.

Turnover Rate. An age-related turnover scale based on actual experience has been used. The rates, when applied to the active employee census, produce an composite average annual turnover of approximately 5%. It has also been assumed that 20% of future eligible retirees will decline coverage because of the retiree contributions required.

Note 11 -Postemployment Health Care Benefits (continued)

Post employment Benefit Plan Eligibility Requirements. Based on past experience, it has been assumed that entitlement to benefits will commence six years after the earliest retirement (D.R.O.P. entry) eligibility, as described above under "Plan Descriptions". The six years consists of the three year D.R.O.P. period plus three additional years. Medical benefits are provided to employees upon actual retirement.

Investment Return Assumption (Discount Rate). GASB Codification Section P50 states that the investment return assumption should be the estimated long-term investment yield on the investments that are expected to be used to finance the payment of benefits (that is, for a plan which is funded). Based on the assumption that the ARC will not be funded, a 4% annual investment return has been used in the valuation.

Health Care Cost Trend Rate. The expected rate of increase in medical cost is based on a graded schedule beginning with 8% annually, down to an ultimate annual rate of 5.0% for ten years out and later.

Mortality Rate. The 1994 Group Annuity Reserving (94GAR) table, projected to 2002, based on a fixed blend of 50% of the unloaded male mortality rate and 50% of the unloaded female mortality rates, is used. This is a recently published mortality table which has been used in determining the value of accrued benefits in defined benefit pension plans. Projected future mortality improvement has not been used since it is our opinion that this table contains sufficiently conservative margin for the population involved in this valuation.

Method of Determining Value of Benefits. The "value of benefits" has been assumed to be the portion of the premium after retirement date expected to be paid by the employer for each retiree and has been used as the basis for calculating the actuarial present value of OPEB benefits to be paid. While the retiree pays for the "cost" of the medical benefits, the retiree premium is the blended active/retired rate before Medicare eligibility. Since GASB 45 mandates that "unblended" rates applicable to the coverage provided to retirees be used there is thus an implied subsidy by the employer. We have estimated the "unblended" rates for retirees before Medicare eligibility. It has been assumed that the total retiree rate before Medicare eligibility is 130% of the blended active/retired rate, and 80% after Medicare eligibility.

Inflation Rate. Included in both the Investment Return Assumption and the Healthcare Cost Trent rates above is an implicit inflation assumption of 2.5% annually.

Projected Salary Increases. This assumption is not applicable since neither the benefit structure nor the valuation methodology involves salary.

Post-retirement Benefit Increases. The plan benefit provisions in effect for retirees as of the valuation date have been used and it has been assumed for valuation purposes that there will not be any changes in the future.

Note 11 -Postemployment Health Care Benefits (continued)

Below is a summary of OPEB cost and contributions for the last three fiscal calendar years.

	OPEB Cost and Contributions					
	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017			
OPEB Cost	\$ 726,354	\$ 807,801	\$ 540,381			
Contribution Retiree Premium Total Contribution and premium	<u>140,723</u> 140,723	<u>140,723</u> <u>140,723</u>	<u>194,884</u> <u>194,884</u>			
Change in net OPEB obligation	<u>\$ 585,631</u>	<u>\$ 667,078</u>	<u>\$ 345,497</u>			
% of contribution to cost % of contribution plus premium to cost	0.00% 19.37%	0.00% 17.42%	0.00% 36.06%			

Beginning Balance	Additions	Reductions	Ending Balance	Amounts Due Within <u>One Year</u>
3,155,000	-	205,000	2,950,000	215,000
1,085,000	-	350,000	735,000	360,000
2,540,000	-	395,000	2,145,000	405,000
24,565,000	-	930,000	23,635,000	965,000
<u>253,657</u>		<u> </u>	<u> 228,661</u>	25,000
31,598,657	-	1,904,996	29,693,661	1,970,000
518,390	-	27,885	490,505	47,108
				000 1 40
927,912	62,237	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	990,149
1,446,302	62,237	27,885	<u>1,480,654</u>	1,037,257
3 <u>3,044,959</u>	\$ <u>62,237</u>	<u>\$_1,932,881</u>	<u>\$31,174,315</u>	<u>\$3,007,757</u>
	Balance 3,155,000 1,085,000 2,540,000 24,565,000 253,657 31,598,657 518,390 927,912 1,446,302	Balance Additions 3,155,000 - 1,085,000 - 2,540,000 - 24,565,000 - 253,657 - 31,598,657 - 518,390 - 927,912 62,237 1,446,302 62,237	Balance Additions Reductions 3,155,000 - 205,000 1,085,000 - 350,000 2,540,000 - 395,000 24,565,000 - 930,000 253,657 - 24,996 31,598,657 - 1,904,996 518,390 - 27,885 927,912 62,237 - 1,446,302 62,237 27,885	BalanceAdditionsReductionsBalance $3,155,000$ - $205,000$ $2,950,000$ $1,085,000$ - $350,000$ $735,000$ $2,540,000$ - $395,000$ $2,145,000$ $24,565,000$ - $930,000$ $23,635,000$ $253,657$ $24,996$ $228,661$ $31,598,657$ - $1,904,996$ $29,693,661$ $518,390$ - $27,885$ $490,505$ $927,912$ $62,237$ - $990,149$ $1,446,302$ $62,237$ $27,885$ $1,480,654$

Note 12 - Long-Term Debt (continued)

Business-Type Activities: Bonds and Notes Payable: Certificates of Indebtedness, Sewer Bonds 2010 \$ 1,018,000	\$	-	\$	59,000	\$ 959,000	\$	60,000
Other Liabilities: Accrued Vacation, Sick And Compensatory Time Business-Type Activities <u>168,304</u>		39,757			208,061	_	208,061
Total Business-Type ActivitiesLong-Term Debt\$1,186,304	<u>\$</u>	<u></u>	<u>\$</u>	<u></u>	<u>\$_1,167,061</u>	\$	268,061

Payments on the bonds and notes payable that pertain to the City's governmental activities are made by the debt service funds. The accrued heart and lung disability and accrued vacation, sick and compensatory time will be liquidated primarily by the General Fund. Payment of compensated absences is dependent upon many factors; therefore, the timing of future payments is not readily determinable.

Principal and interest requirements to retire the City's bonds and notes payable obligations are as follows:

	Certificates of Indebtedness				
Year Ended	Serie	es 2007	Series 2009		
June 30,	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest	
2018	\$ 215,000	\$ 119,912	\$ 360,000	\$ 20,646	
2019	220,000	111,212	375,000	6,975	
2020	230,000	102,097	-	-	
2021	245,000	92,360	-	-	
2022	255,000	82,046	-	-	
2023-2027	1,455,000	234,341	-	-	
2028	330,000	7,218			
T . 1	6 0 050 000	A	¢ 535.000	¢ 07.401	
<u>Total</u>	<u>\$2,950,000</u>	<u>\$_749,186</u>	\$_735,000	<u>\$ 27,621</u>	
		Certi	ficates of Indebtedness		
Year Ended	Serie	es 2011	Series	2015	
June 30,	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest	
2018	\$ 405,000	\$ 45,066	\$ 965,000	\$ 894,531	
2019	415,000	35,554	1,000,000	855,056	
2020	430,000	25,752	1,035,000	804,181	
2021	440,000	15,660	1,070,000	751,556	
2022	455,000	5,278	1,105,000	702,706	
2023-2027	-	-	6,140,000	2,811,356	
2028-2032	-	-	7,300,000	1,441,300	
2033-2036				262,416	
Total	<u>\$2,145,000</u>	<u>\$_127,310</u>	<u>\$23,635,000</u>	<u>\$8,523,102</u>	

Note 12 - Long-Term Debt (continued)

	CDBG Div	vision of Adm.	Sewer Rev	enue Bonds
Year Ended	Economic	Development	Serie	<u>s 2010</u>
June 30,	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest
2018	\$ 25,000	\$ -	\$ 60,000	\$ 4,705
2019	25,000	-	60,000	4,437
2020	25,000	-	61,000	4,895
2021	25,000	-	62,000	3,618
2022	25,000	-	62,000	3,083
2023-2027	103,661	-	319,000	11,149
2028-2032	-	-	335,000	3,796
Total	<u>\$_228,661</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$.959,000</u>	<u>\$35,683</u>

Note 13 - Long-Term Firemen's Disability Payments

The City of West Monroe is self-insured with respect to workers' compensation claims up to \$250,000 per occurrence. Consequently, the City is responsible for providing Louisiana State Workers Compensation benefits under the Heart and Lung Act. Under this act, special treatment has been accorded firemen who develop any disease or infirmity of the heart and lungs. Louisiana Revised Statute 33.2581 provides a presumption in favor of firemen that the disease is related to employment even if they are not on duty when stricken with the disease, if the disease manifests itself after the first five years of employment. At June 30, 2017, the City is responsible for payment of lifetime benefits to four firemen. The actuarial estimate of the liability is \$490,505.

The annual payment requirements outstanding at June 30, 2017 are as follows:

2018	\$ 47,108
2019	47,108
2020	47,108
2021	47,108
2022	47,108
2023-2027	165,857
2028-2032	85,020
2032	4,088
TOTAL	<u>\$ 490,505</u>

Note 14 - Municipal Facilities Revolving Loan Fund

On November 1, 2009, the City entered into a commitment agreement with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality for two capitalization grants totaling \$6,000,000 to be used for the purpose of establishing a water pollution control revolving fund for providing assistance to upgrade the City's existing treatment plant by installing additional components that would allow the plant effluent to be used by Graphic Packaging as process water. These components are not required for the City to meet its permits, but are needed solely to allow for the beneficial use of the plant effluent. The existing lagoons are retained to provide secondary treatment before entering the new units. Effluent from the plant is being pumped to Graphic Packaging through a pipeline that has been constructed for that purpose.

Note 14 - Municipal Facilities Revolving Loan Fund (continued)

Sewer Revenue Bonds

Sewer revenue bonds were issued in the amount of \$1,250,000 to help fund the above project. This amount will be paid back over a twenty year amortization period at .45% and is also recognized as bonds payable. Sewer Revenue Bonds of \$4,750,000 were also issued but was forgiven during the year ending June 30, 2013. See note 12 for the principal and interest requirements.

Note 15 - Operating Lease

The City is obligated under an operating lease for a building. This lease is a triple net lease for a period of ten years for 1,000 per month beginning August 1, 2004. At the end of the initial ten year term, the lease automatically renewed for an additional five years for 1,060 per month. The lease was extended an additional five years through July 31, 2024 with payments of 1,113 beginning August 1, 2019. The lease can be extended another five years for 1,169. The following is a schedule by years of future minimum rental payments:

Year Ended	
<u>June 30,</u>	<u>Amount</u>
2018	\$ 12,720
2019	12,720
2020	13,303
2021	13,356
2022	13,356
2023-2024	27,825

Note 16 - Northeast Louisiana Arts Council

The City provides office space and utilities at no charge to the Northeast Louisiana Arts Council. The amount of the services provided has not been determined.

Note 17 - Litigation

There are several pending lawsuits in which the City is involved. The City Attorney is unaware of any pending litigation from which he would reasonably expect the City to incur a liability in excess of its applicable deductible on any insurance policy, and is further unaware of any litigation where the City may not be insured as to any claim where he would reasonably expect the City to incur a liability in excess of \$50,000.

Note 18 - Self-Insurance Program

During 1998, the City began a self-insurance program for hospitalization and medical coverage for its active employees and retirees. The City limits its losses through the use of reinsurers up to \$75,000 per employee. The City's aggregate annual loss limitation is based on a formula that considers, among other things, the total number of employees. At June 30, 2017, the City's annual cost, excluding reinsurance premiums, is limited to approximately \$3,000,000 which was for active employees. Approximately 33 retirees receive benefits under this plan. These retirees reimbursed the City for \$183,367 during the year ended June 30, 2017 for their cost of the health care benefits.

Note 19- Deferred Compensation Plan

Employees of the City may participate in a deferred compensation plan adopted under the provisions of Internal Revenue Code Section 457 (Deferred Compensation Plans with Respect to Service for State and Local Governments). The deferred compensation plan is available to all full time employees of the City. Under the plan, employees may elect to defer a portion of their salaries and avoid paying taxes on the deferred portion until the withdrawal date. The deferred compensation amount is not available for withdrawal by employees until termination, retirement, death or unforeseeable emergency.

Since the assets of the plans are held in a custodial account with a third party administrator, the assets and liabilities are not presented in the City's financial statements in acco214-rdance with GASB 32. Assets with a fair market value of \$1,065,640 are held by Nationwide Retirement Solutions, Inc. and VALIC Financial Advisors.

Note 20- Interfund Operating Transfers In and Out

Interfund operating transfers in and out during the year ended June 30, 2017, were as follows:

	Operating Transfers	
Fund	In	Out
Major Funds:		
General Fund	\$ -	\$ 1,851,845
'86 Sales Tax Capital	-	1,138,126
Enterprise Fund	881,977	-
Non-Major Funds:		
Special Revenue Funds	281,604	-
Capital Project Funds	65,386	-
Internal Service Fund	500,000	
Expendable Trust Funds	188,329	-
Debt Service Funds	1,072,740	65
TOTAL	\$ 2,990,036	<u>\$_2,990,036</u>

Transfers are used to (a) move revenues from the fund that statute or budget requires to collect them to the fund that statute or budget requires to expend them and (b) use unrestricted revenues collected in the general fund to finance various programs accounted for in other funds in accordance with budgetary authorizations.

Interford

Note 21 - Interfund Receivables and Payables

Interfund balances at June 30, 2017, were as follows:

	Intert	und
Fund	Receivables	Payables
Major Funds:		
General Fund	\$ 3,738,972	\$ 135,541
'86 Sales Tax	135,541	1,132,302
Enterprise Fund	-	431,741
Non-Major Funds:		
Special Revenue Funds	99,780	739,493
Debt Service Funds	-	246,000
Fiduciary Funds	-	2,000
Internal Service Fund		1,287,216
TOTAL	\$ 3,974,293	<u>\$ 3,974,293</u>
TOTAL	φ <u>_2,2/4,42,2</u>	<u>v.2,213,422</u>

Note 21 - Interfund Receivables and Payables (continued)

These balances resulted from the time lag between the dates that interfund goods and services are provided or reimbursable expenditures occur, transactions are recorded in the accounting system and payments between funds are made.

Note 22 - On-Behalf Payments

Certain City employees in the City Marshal's office, the West Monroe Police and Fire departments receive supplemental pay from the state of Louisiana. In accordance with GASB Statement No. 24, the City has recorded revenues and expenditures for these payments in the General Fund. Revenues under this arrangement totaled \$623,310 and the related expenditures are as follows:

Marshal's Office	\$ 12,000
Police Department	322,614
Jail	42,000
Code Enforcement	8,538
Fire Department	_238,158
Total	\$_623,310

Note 23- Minimum Lease Payments Receivable – Direct Financing Lease

On September 29, 2006, the City purchased a building for \$1,250,000 and renovated it for an additional \$165,000 with the intent of leasing it as a commercial building with an option to purchase. The company that leased the building created 50 new jobs at the facility. In addition to this arrangement, the City was awarded a community development block grant for \$500,000 (\$10,000 for each job created). This \$500,000 was added to the \$915,000 in bond issuances that were secured during fiscal year 2006 to pay for the building for a total \$1,415,000.

The following lists the components of the minimum lease payments to be received under the direct financing lease as of June 30, 2017:

Total minimum lease payments to be received	\$	231,435
Less: Amounts representing estimated executory costs		
(such as taxes, maintenance, and insurance), including		
profit thereon, included in total minimum lease payments		<u> </u>
Minimum lease payments receivable		231,435
Estimated residual values of leased property (unguaranteed)		-
Less: Unearned Income	()
<u>NET MINIMUM LEASE PAYMENTS RECEIVABLE –</u>		
DIRECT FINANCING LEASE	\$	231,435

Future minimum lease payments are as follows:

Year Ended	
_June 30,	Amount
2018	\$ 25,020
2019	25,020
2020	25,020
2021	25,020
2022	25,020
2023-2028	106,335
Total	\$231,435

<u>CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA</u> <u>NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u> <u>FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017</u>

Note 24 - Component Units

For the year ending June 30, 2017, the City has chosen to include its legally separate components units discretely in the City's financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

Note 25 - Subsequent Events

Subsequent events have been evaluated through November 20, 2017, the date that the financial statements were available to be issued. All subsequent events determined to be relevant and material to the financial statements have been appropriately recorded or disclosed.

Note 26 - Fund Changes and Fund Balances

Amounts for specific purposes by fund and fund balance classifications for the year ended June 30, 2017 are as follows:

Classification/Fund	Purpose	<u>Amount</u>
Nonspendable: General Fund	I	\$ 27,623
General Fund	Inventory Minimum Lance Deument Receivable	\$ 27,623 231,435
Restricted:	Minimum Lease Payment Receivable	231,433
	Conital Improvements	10,680,647
2015 Debt Capital	Capital Improvements	
Emergency Shelter	FEMA Emergency Shelter Recipients	16,845
Rental Rehab	Public Housing	6,904
Section 8	Public Housing	30,759
Metro LCLE	LCLE Multi Jurisdictional Taskforce	2,614
2011 Debt Service	Servicing 2011 Debt	91
Various Cap. Projects	Various Cap. Projects	6,302
Committed:		
General Fund	Fund Balance for Next Year's Operations	-
Assigned:		
Office of Motor Veh	DMV Operations	89,703
Unassigned:		
General Fund		5,490,824
86 Sales Tax	Capital Improvements	(195,065)
Street Maintenance	Maintenance of Streets and Roads	(29,981)
2003 Debt Service	Servicing 2003 Debt	(49,942)
2007 Debt Service		(776)
2015 Debt Service	Servicing 2015 Debt	(751)
Americorps	Americorps Projects	(179,742)
Keep WM Beautiful	Litter Prevention	(40,765)
Misc Projects		(8,886)
Ouachita Outreach		(116,132)
Officer Witness Court		(16,396)
Officer witheas Could		<u></u>
Total Fund Balances		<u>\$_15,945,311</u>

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION (PART B) BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULES

CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - GENERAL FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

				Variance With Final Budget		
	Budgeted	Amounts	Actual	Favorable		
	Original	Final	Amounts	(Unfavorable)		
Revenues						
Taxes	\$ 16,572,550	\$ 16,742,800	\$ 16,742,859	\$ 59		
Intergovernmental	528,400	586,700	586,717	17		
Licenses and Permits	1,011,300	1,218,300	1,218,299	(1)		
Charges for Services	2,588,100	2,480,400	2,480,432	32		
Fines and Forfeitures	543,100	524,600	524,613	13		
Interest Earned	4,000	3,000	2,577	(423)		
Franchise Revenue	880,000	763,900	804,610	40,710		
Sale of Assets	40,000	10,000	2,110	(7,890)		
Other	61,000	51,300	18,938	(32,362)		
Total Revenues	22,228,450	22,381,000	22,381,155	155		
Expenditures						
General Government:						
Elected Council	91,870	91,870	91,837	33		
City Court	546,750	540,000	539,440	560		
Marshal	452,544	486,800	486,831	(31)		
Mayor's Office	194,470	195,220	195,732	(512)		
City Clerk's Office	1,132,920	1,122,000	1,122,060	(60)		
City Hall Maintenance	482,735	482,915	482,297	618		
General and Administrative	1,626,775	1,933,300	1,933,247	53		
Public Safety:						
Legal	468,945	452,600	452,562	38		
Fire	2,762,080	2,713,300	2,713,290	10		
Police	4,884,215	5,112,300	5,112,296	4		
Prison	809,780	798,500	798,459	41		
Police Shop	126,630	165,300	165,287	13		
Public Works:						
Garbage	716,770	688,400	688,309	91		
Trash	576,735	554,500	554,426	74		
Streets	900,045	860,300	860,306	(6)		
Cemetery	8,500	21,000	20,890	110		
Planning and Zoning	37,315	36,535	36,134	401		
Inspection	200,535	205,110	206,469	(1,359)		
City Maintenance Shop	365,105	359,300	359,294	6		

<u>CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA</u> <u>BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - GENERAL FUND</u> <u>FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017</u>

	Budgetad	A	A	Variance With Final Budget Favorable	
		Amounts Final	Actual		
Culture and Recreation:	Original	<u> </u>	Amounts	(Unfavorable)	
Recreation and Parks	\$ 339,765	\$ 340,800	\$ 340,737	\$ 63	
Kiroli Park	495,805	524,000	523,932	ъ 03 68	
Lazarre Park	495,805 5,400	5,900	5,478	422	
Restoration Park	11,500	7,000	6,362	638	
Farmer's Market	44,045	46,200	46,186	14	
Ike Hamilton Expo Center	1,151,845	1,102,200	1,102,118	82	
Convention Center	899,535	863,425	865,007	(1,582)	
Community Development & Center	487,960	426,300	426,227	(1,582)	
Tanner Building & Business Center	213,260	237,150	232,062	5,088	
Section 8 Housing	207,600	257,150	252,002	5,000	
Economic Development	78,552	78,852	78,626	- 226	
Total Expenditures	20,319,986	20,451,077	20,445,901	5,176	
Excess of Revenues Over (Under)					
<u>Expenditures</u>	1,908,464	1,929,923	1,935,254	5,331	
Other Financing Sources and (Uses) Transfers In					
Transfers Out	-	-	-	-	
	(1,015,000)	(1,860,300)	(1,851,845)	8,455	
Total Other Financing Sources and Uses	(1,015,000)	(1,860,300)	(1,851,845)	8,455	
Net Change in Fund Balance	893,464	69,623	83,409	13,786	
Fund Balance at Beginning of Year	5,666,473	5,666,473	5,666,473	<u> </u>	
FUND BALANCE AT END OF YEAR	\$ 6,559,937	\$ 5,736,096	\$ 5,749,882	\$ 13,786	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - '86 SALES TAX 75% CAPITAL FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

				Variance With Final Budget		
	Budgeted	Amounts	Actual	Favorable		
	Original	Final	Amounts	(Unfavorable)		
Revenues						
Sales Tax	\$ 5,600,000	\$ 5,611,000	\$ 5,611,022	\$ 22		
Intergovernmental	200,000	71,000	50,000	(21,000)		
Charges for Services	-	-	-	-		
Sales of Asset	-	-	-	•		
Other	-	-	21,333	21,333		
Interest Income	200	1,000	1,006	6		
Total Revenues	5,800,200	5,683,000	5,683,361	361		
Expenditures						
Computer Equipment and Software	550,000	700,000	701,245	(1,245)		
Street Projects	50,000	35,000	35,356	(356)		
Drainage Projects	50,000	40,000	39,887	113		
Furniture and Fixtures	10,000	6,700	6,764	(64)		
Vehicles	600,000	186,000	185,655	345		
Machinery and Equipment	700,000	1,232,000	1,231,751	249		
Consultants	-	15,500	15,345	155		
Engineering	210,000	222,000	221,797	203		
Construction/Buildings/Facilities	100,000	73,000	73,008	(8)		
Water Projects	100,000	430,000	428,865	1,135		
Sewer Projects	150,000	13,000	12,773	227		
Treatment Plant Projects	50,000	-	-	-		
Land Purchases		105,000	103,600	1,400		
Major Repairs	300,000	41,000	40,882	118		
Miscellaneous	400,000	630,000	627,646	2,354		
Maintenance	600,000	1,115,000	1,112,791	2,209		
Total Expenditures	3,870,000	4,844,200	4,837,365	6,835		
Excess of Revenues Over						
Expenditures	1,930,200	838,800	845,996	7,196		
Other Financing Sources and (Uses)						
Transfers In	-	-	-	-		
Transfers Out	(1,075,000)	(1,140,000)	(1,138,126)	1,874		
Total Other Financing Sources				_		
and Uses	(1,075,000)	(1,140,000)	(1,138,126)	1,874		
Net Change in Fund Balance	855,200	(301,200)	(292,130)	9,070		
Fund Balance at Beginning of Year	97,064	97,064	97,064	<u> </u>		
FUND BALANCE AT END OF YEAR	\$ 952,264	\$ (204,136)	\$ (195,066)	\$ 9,070		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA NOTES TO BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

The City follows these procedures in establishing the budgetary data reflected in these financial statements:

- 1. In May of each year, the Mayor submits to the Board of Aldermen an operating and capital budget for the succeeding year.
- 2. A public hearing is scheduled by the Mayor and the Board of Aldermen after allowing for at least ten days notice to the public at the time the budget is initially submitted to the Board of Aldermen.
- 3. Final adoption of the budget by the Board of Aldermen is on the second Tuesday in June.
- 4. The Mayor may authorize transfers of budgetary amounts within departments. However, any revision requiring alteration of levels of expenditures or transfers between departments must be approved by the Board of Aldermen.
- 5. Operating appropriations and nonmajor capital appropriations, to the extent not expended, lapse at year-end. Major capital appropriations continue in force until the project is completed.

All legally adopted budgets of the City are adopted on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Budgets are adopted for the General Fund, Special Revenue Funds, Debt Service Funds, Capital Project Funds, and Expendable Trust Funds.

Budget comparison schedules included in the accompanying financial statements include the original adopted budgets and all subsequent adopted amendments.

CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

Fiscal Year Ending	Actuarial Valuation Date	V	ctuarial alue of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) Entry Age (b)		AccruedUnfundedLiability (AAL)AALEntry Age(UAAL)(b)(b-a)			Funded Covered Ratio Payroll (a/b) (c)				
6/30/2017	7/1/2016	\$	-	\$	5,631,511	\$	5,631,511	0.00%	\$ 9,110,023	61.82%			
6/30/2016	7/1/2015	\$	-	\$	6,665,758	\$	6,665,758	0.00%	\$ 11,127,854	59.90%			
6/30/2015	7/1/2014	\$	-	\$	6,409,383	\$	6,409,383	0.00%	\$ 12,339,402	51. 9 4%			
6/30/2014	7/1/2013	\$	-	\$	545,589	\$	545,589	0.00%	\$ 12,453,558	4.38%			
6/30/2013	7/1/2012	\$	•	\$	524,605	\$	524,605	0.00%	\$ 12,081,063	4.34%			
6/30/2012	7/1/2011	\$	-	\$	719,181	\$	719,181	0.00%	\$ 11,412,471	6.30%			

SCHEDULE OF EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS

						Percentage			
Fiscal	l	Annual		nnual		of Annual	Net		
Year		OPEB		PEB Amount		OPEB Costs	OPEB		
Ending	Ľ	Cost		Co	ntributed	Contributed	Obligation		
6/30/2	2017	\$	540,381	\$	194,884	36.06%	1,806,728		
6/30/2	016	\$	807,801	\$	140,723	17.42%	1,461,231		
6/30/2	015	\$	726,354	\$	140,723	19.37%	794,153		
6/30/2	014	\$	65,089	\$	29,340	45.08%	208.522		
6/30/2	013	\$	63,068	\$	29,340	46.52%	172,773		
6/30/2	012	\$	79,186	\$	45,288	57.19%	139,045		

SCHEDULE OF PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF NET PENSION LIABILITY FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

	MERS
	6/30/2017 6/30/2016 6/30/2015
Employer's Proportion of the Net Pension Liability (Asset)	3.4255% 3.3204% 3.2940%
Employer's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability (Asset)	\$ 14,039,990 \$ 11,860,921 \$ 8,453,863
Employer's Covered-Employee Payroll	\$ 11,326,047 \$ 11,127,854 \$ 12,339,402
Employer's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability (Asset) as a Percentage of its Covered-Employee Payroll	123.96% 106.59% 68.51%
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability	62.11% 66.18% 73.99%
	MPERS
	6/30/2017 6/30/2016 6/30/2015
Employer's Proportion of the Net Pension Liability (Asset)	1.1255% 1.0739% 1.1694%
Employer's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability (Asset)	\$ 10,549,125 \$ 8,413,119 \$ 7,315,950
Employer's Covered-Employee Payroll	\$ 11,326,047 \$ 11,127,854 \$ 12,339,402
Employer's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability (Asset)	93.14% 75.60% 59.29%
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension	66.04% 70.73% 75.10%

This schedule is to be built prospectively. Until a full 10-year trend is compiled, the schedule will show information for those years for which data is available, beginning with FYE June 30, 2015.

* The amounts presented have a measurement date of the previous fiscal year end.

SCHEDULE OF PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF NET PENSION LIABILITY (cont.) FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

	FRS
	6/30/2017 6/30/2016 6/30/2015
Employer's Proportion of the Net Pension Liability (Asset)	0.8332% 0.8044% 0.7515%
Employer's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability (Asset)	\$ 5,499,705 \$ 4,341,275 \$ 3,344,235
Employer's Covered-Employee Payroll	\$ 11,326,047 \$ 11,127,854 \$ 12,339,402
Employer's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability (Asset) as a Percentage of its Covered-Employee Payroll	48.56% 39.01% 27.10%
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability	68.16% 72.45% 76.02%
	LASERS
	6/30/2017 6/30/2016 6/30/2015
Employer's Proportion of the Net Pension Liability (Asset)	0.0024% 0.0022% 0.0024%
Employer's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability (Asset)	\$ 187,126 \$ 151,878 \$ 141,003
Employer's Covered-Employee Payroll	\$ 11,326,047 \$ 11,127,854 \$ 12,339,402
Employer's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability (Asset)	1.65% 1.36% 1.14%
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension	57.70% 62.70% 65.02%

This schedule is to be built prospectively. Until a full 10-year trend is compiled, the schedule will show information for those years for which data is available, beginning with FYE June 30, 2015.

* The amounts presented have a measurement date of the previous fiscal year end.

SCHEDULE OF THE EMPLOYER'S PENSION CONTRIBUTIONS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

	MERS					
	6/30/2017	6/30/2016	6/30/2015			
Contractually Required Contribution ¹	\$ 1,406,663	\$ 1,201,843	\$ 1,119,250			
Contributions in Relation to Contractually Required Contribution ²	1,406,663	1,201,843	1,119,250			
Contribution Deficiency (Excess)	\$ -	\$-	<u>\$</u>			
Employer's Covered Employee Payroll ³	\$ 11,326,047	\$ 11,127,854	\$ 12,339,402			
Contributions as a % of Covered Employee Payroll	12.42%	10.80%	9.07%			
		MPERS				
	6/30/2017	6/30/2016	6/30/2015			
Contractually Required Contribution ¹	\$ 1,027,768	\$ 930,067	\$ 981,393			
Contributions in Relation to Contractually Required	1,027,768	930,067	981,393			
Contribution Deficiency (Excess)	\$ -	\$ -	\$			
Employer's Covered Employee Payroll ³	\$ 11,326,047	\$ 11,127,854	\$ 12,339,402			
Contributions as a % of Covered Employee Payroll	9.07%	8.36%	7.95%			

This schedule is to be build prospectively. Until a full 10-year trend is compiled, the schedule will show information for those years for which data is available, beginning with FYE June 30, 2015.

For reference only:

¹ Employer contribution rate multiplied by employer's covered employee payroll

² Actual employer contributions remitted to the respective pension funds

³ Employer's covered employee payroll amount for the fiscal year ended.

SCHEDULE OF THE EMPLOYER'S PENSION CONTRIBUTIONS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

	FRS							
	6/30/2017			/30/2016	6/	30/2015		
Contractually Required Contribution ¹	\$	472,509	\$	510,063	\$	500,011		
Contributions in Relation to Contractually Required Contribution ²		472,509		510,063		500,011		
Contribution Deficiency (Excess)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		
Employer's Covered Employee Payroll ³	\$1	1,326,047	\$1	1,127,854	\$ 12,339,402			
Contributions as a % of Covered Employee Payroll		4.17%		4.58%	4.05%			
				LASERS				
	6,	/30/2017		LASERS /30/2016	6/	30/2015		
Contractually Required Contribution ¹	6, \$	/30/2017 17,713			6/ \$	^{'30/2015} 18,597		
Contractually Required Contribution ¹ Contributions in Relation to Contractually Required			6,	/30/2016				
		17,713	6,	/30/2016 17,419		18,597		
Contributions in Relation to Contractually Required	\$	17,713	6, \$ \$	/30/2016 17,419	\$	18,597		

This schedule is to be build prospectively. Until a full 10-year trend is compiled, the schedule will show information for those years for which data is available, beginning with FYE June 30, 2015.

For reference only:

¹ Employer contribution rate multiplied by employer's covered employee payroll

² Actual employer contributions remitted to the respective pension funds

³ Employer's covered employee payroll amount for the fiscal year ended.

PRIMARY GOVERNMENT SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULES

CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA NONMAJOR SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS COMBINING BALANCE SHEET JUNE 30, 2017

	Street Maintenance Fund		West Juachita ior Center Fund	5	nergency Shelter Program Fund	Officer Witness Court Fund	
ASSETS							
Cash in Bank Accounts Receivable	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$:
Due From Other Governments Due From Other Funds		495	 61,041		16,845		721
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	495	 61,041	\$	16,845	\$	721
LIABILITIES							
Accounts Payable Due To Other Funds Total Liabilities	\$	<u>30,476</u> 30,476	\$ 61,041 61,041	\$		\$	17,117 17,117
FUND BALANCES							
Restricted Assigned		5	ć		16,845		-
Unassigned Total Fund Balances		(29,981) (29,981)		_	16,845		(16,396) (16,396)
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES	\$	495	\$ 61,041	\$	16,845	\$	721

Reha	Rental Section 8 chabilitation Housing Fund Fund		Pr	cellaneous ojects & Grant Funds	tro LCLE Grant Fund	office of Motor Vehicles		
\$	6,904 - -	\$	253,896 6,574 -	\$	- - -	\$ - 16,000 2,614	\$ 9,462 80,321	С
\$	6,904	\$	260,470	\$	-	\$ 18,614	 89,783	0
								N T
\$	-	\$	328 229,383	\$	- 8,886	\$ 16,000 -	\$ 80	I
	-		229,711		8,886	 16,000	 80	N
								U
	6,904 -		30,759		-	2,614	- 89,703	E
· • • • • • •	6,904		30,759		(8,886) (8,886)	 2,614	 - 89,703	D
\$	6,904	\$	260,470	\$	-	\$ 18,614	\$ 89,783	

<u>CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA</u> <u>NONMAJOR SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS</u> <u>COMBINING BALANCE SHEET</u> <u>JUNE 30, 2017</u>

<u>ASSETS</u>	В	Keep eautiful nt Program	Ar	nericorps Grant Fund	-	Duachita Dutreach	Total Special Revenue Funds			
Cash in Bank Accounts Receivable Due From Other Governments Due From Other Funds	\$	- - -	\$	- 55,951 -	\$	-	\$	260,800 6,574 143,670 99,780		
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	<u> </u>	\$	55,951	\$			510,824		
<u>LIABILITIES</u> Accounts Payable Due To Other Funds Total Liabilities <u>FUND BALANCES</u>	\$	<u>40,765</u> 40,765	\$	235,693 235,693	\$	116,132 116,132		16,408 739,493 755,901		
Restricted Assigned Unassigned Total Fund Balances		(40,765) (40,765)		(179,742) (179,742)		(116,132) (116,132)		57,122 89,703 (391,902) (245,077)		
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES	\$	-	\$	55,951			\$	510,824		

CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA NONMAJOR SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS COMBINING SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES (DEFICITS) FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

	Street Maintenance Fund	West Ouachita Senior Center Fund	Emergency Shelter Program Fund	Officer Witness Court Fund
Revenues			2	
Taxes	\$ 245,718	\$ -	\$ -	\$-
Intergovernmental	-	317,498	25,434	-
Interest	-	-	-	-
Other	-	187,380		48,399
Total Revenues	245,718	504,878	25,434	48,399
Expenditures Public Safety				51,500
Public Works	404,288	-	-	
Health and Welfare	-	786,482	14,172	<u>_</u>
Culture and Recreation	-	-	-	
Capital Expenditures &				
Major Repairs	-	-	-	-
Total Expenditures	404,288	786,482	14,172	51,500
Excess (Deficiency) of <u>Revenues Over Expenditures</u>	(158,570)	(281,604)	11,262	(3,101)
Other Financing Sources Operating Transfers - In (Out) Total Other Financing	-	281,604		-
Sources	-	281,604		
,				
Net Change in Fund Balance	(158,570)	-	11,262	(3,101)
Fund Balances - Beginning	128,589		5,583	(13,295)
FUND BALANCES - ENDING	\$ (29,981)	\$	\$ 16,845	\$ (16,396)

Rental Rehabilitation Fund	Metro LCLE Grant Fund	Americorps Grant Fund	Ouachita Outreach	Office of Motor Vehicles	
\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
•	105,723	212,549	-	100,092	
-	-	-	-	-	
	-	-	3,943	-	
-	105,723	212,549	3,943	100,092	
					С
-	105,723	-	-	75,557	·
-	-	-	-	-	0
-	-	-	-	-	
•	-	256,023	62,182	-	N
					Т
<u>-</u>	105,723	256,023	62,182	75,557	1
				10,001	I
-	-	(43,474)	(58,239)	24,535	Ν
					U
-	-	-	-	-	Е
					L
					D
-	-	(43,474)	(58,239)	24,535	
6.004	2614	(126.269)	(57 803)	65 169	
6,904	2,614	(136,268)	(57,893)	65,168	
\$ 6,904	\$ 2,614	\$ (179,742)	\$ (116,132)	\$ 89,703	

<u>CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA</u> <u>NONMAJOR SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS</u> <u>COMBINING SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND</u> <u>CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES (DEFICITS)</u> <u>FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017</u>

_	Section 8 Housing Fund		P an	Misc. rojects d Grant Funds	В	Keep st Monroe eautiful nt Program	Total Ionmajor Sial Revenue Funds
Revenues							
Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 245,718
Intergovernmental	1,637,1			-		50	2,398,499
Interest		113		-		-	413
Other	175,0			6,702		-	 421,426
Total Revenues	1,812,5	68		6,702		50	3,066,056
<u>Expenditures</u> Public Safety Public Works		-		-		•	232,780 404,288
Health and Welfare	1,771,3	-		_		_	2,572,010
Culture and Recreation	1,771,-	0.00		3,581		20,239	342,025
Capital Expenditures &		-		2,201		20,239	542,025
Major Repairs							
Total Expenditures	1 771 3	-		3,581		20,239	 3,551,103
Total Expenditures	1,771,3	000		3,361		20,239	
<u>Excess (Deficiency) of</u> <u>Revenues Over Expenditur</u>	41,2	212		3,121		(20,189)	(485,047)
Other Financing Sources (U	Jses)						
Operating Transfers - In (Out		-		-		-	281,604
Total Other Financing							
Sources (Uses)		-		-	-	-	 281,604
					<u> </u>		 <u></u>
Net Change in Fund Balance	41,2	212		3,121		(20,189)	(203,443)
Fund Balances - Beginning	(10,4	<u>153)</u>		(12,007)		(20,576)	 (41,634)
FUND BALANCES - ENDI	\$ 30,	759	\$	(8,886)	\$	(40,765)	 (245,077)

CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA NONMAJOR SPECIAL REVENUE FUND WEST OUACHITA SENIOR CENTER BALANCE SHEETS

		June	: 30,	
		2017		2016
<u>Assets</u>				
Due From Other Governments:				
City of Monroe	\$	3,820	\$	10,334
Department of Transportation		57,221		33,242
Total Assets	\$	61,041	\$	43,576
Liabilities and Fund Balance				
Liabilities Accounts Payable	\$	_	\$	
Due To Other Funds		61,041		43,576
Total Liabilities		61,041		43,576
<u>Fund Balance</u> Unassigned				
Total Liabilities and Fund Balance	<u> </u>	61,041	\$	43,576

CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA NONMAJOR SPECIAL REVENUE FUND WEST OUACHITA SENIOR CENTER SCHEDULES OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES

	Fo	or the Ye June	nded
	201	17	 2016
Revenues			
Department of Transportation	\$ 22	21,982	\$ 91,704
Ouachita Council on Aging			
Senior Center Funds		7,442	77,442
Supplemental Senior Center Funds		6,620	6,620
Louisiana Office of Elderly Affairs		-	-
United Way		25,817	126,033
Transportation Fees	I	1,370	12,707
Miscellaneous Revenues (Ceramics, Greenhouse, etc.)	6	61,647	 60,847
Total Revenues	50)4,878	375,353
Expenditures			
Salaries and Related Expenditures	56	57,278	485,991
Professional Services		2,692	2,650
Repairs and Maintenance	1	4,634	15,429
Communications		2,385	1,897
Postage		3,171	756
Newsletter	1	0,405	17,212
Advertising		3,341	4,726
Travel		1,955	959
Supplies	e	54,779	52,792
Utilities	e	50,231	59,367
Fuel	2	13,920	40,406
Miscellaneous	1	11,691	3,215
Total Expenditures		36,482	 685,400
Deficiency of Revenues Over Expenditures	(28	31,604)	(310,047)
Other Financing Sources			
City of West Monroe Support	28	31,604	 310,047
Net Change in Fund Balance		-	-
Fund Balance - Beginning			
FUND BALANCE - ENDING	\$	-	\$ <u>-</u>

CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA NONMAJOR DEBT SERVICE FUNDS COMBINING BALANCE SHEET JUNE 30, 2017

	Del	2007 ot Service Fund	De	2003 bt Service Fund	2011 Debt Service Fund		2015 Debt Service Fund		Firemen's Pension Merger Fund		De	Total lonmajor bt Service Funds
ASSETS												
Cash in Bank Accounts Receivable Due from Other Governments Due From Other Funds	\$	33,425	\$	21,919	\$	- 34,050 -	\$	130,110	\$		\$	219,504
TOTAL ASSETS	s	33,425	\$	21,919	\$	34,050	\$	130,110	s	-	\$	219,504
LIABILITIES											2	5
Accounts Payable Due To Other Funds	\$	34,201	\$		\$	24,882 9,077	\$	130,861	s		\$	24,882 246,000
Total Liabilities		34,201		71,861		33,959		130,861				270,882
FUND BALANCES												
Assigned Unassigned Total Fund Balances		(776)		(49,942) (49,942)		91		(751)		×. 		91 (51,469) (51,378)
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES	s	33,425	\$	21.919	\$	34,050	\$	130,110	\$		\$	219,504

CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA NONMAJOR DEBT SERVICE FUNDS COMBINING SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

	2007 Debt Serv Fund	ce	2003 Debt Service Fund		2011 Debt Service Fund		2015 Debt Service Fund		Firemen's Pension Merger Fund		Total Nonmajor Debt Service Funds	
Revenues												
Taxes	S	-	S	-	\$	-	\$	1.561.320	\$		\$	1,561,320
Sales of Assets		•		-		-		•		·		<u> </u>
Total Revenues				-		•		1,561,320				1,561,320
Expenditures												
Principal Retirement	205.0	00		374,996		395,000		930,000				1,904,996
Other Debt Service Costs	4	00		-		-		400				800
Interest	128.3	13		33,852		54,346		918,306		•		1,134,817
Total Expenditures	333,7	13		408,848		449,346		1,848,706			_	3,040,613
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues												
<u>Over Expenditures</u>	(333,7	13)		(408,848)		(449.346)		(287,386)		-		(1,479,293)
Other Financing Sources												
Operating Transfers - In	401.1	00		263,040		408,600		•		÷		1,072,740
Operating Transfers - Out		-		-		•		-		(65)		(65)
	401,1	00		263,040		408,600	-	ف		(65)		1,072,675
Net Change in Fund Balance	67.3	87		(145,808)		(40,746)		(287,386)		(65)		(406.618)
Fund Balance - Beginning	(68,	<u>63)</u>		95.866		40.837		286,635		65		355,240
FUND BALANCE - ENDING	<u>s (7</u>	76)	\$	(49,942)	5	91	<u></u>	(751)	<u>s</u>	<u> </u>		(51,378)

CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA NONMAJOR CAPITAL PROJECT FUNDS COMBINING BALANCE SHEET JUNE 30, 2017

ASSETS	I	DBG - Street Paving Project	2007 I Capi Fun	ital	2011 Cap Fu	ital	CDE Se Techno Pro	nd ologies	CDI 5th S Ove	1995	No Capit	Total onmajor tal Project Funds
Cash in Bank Due From Other Governments Due From Other Funds	\$	6,278	\$	5 - -	\$	8	s	7	\$	4	\$	6,302
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	6,278	\$	5	\$	8	\$	7	\$	4	\$	6,302
LIABILITIES Accounts Payable Due To Other Funds Total Liabilitites FUND BALANCES (DEFICITS)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1	\$	-	\$		\$	
Restricted Unassigned Total Fund Balances	_	6,278		5		8		7		4		6,302
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES (DEFICITS)	\$	6.278	\$	5	\$	8	\$	7	\$	4	\$	6,302

CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA NONMAJOR CAPITAL PROJECT FUNDS COMBINING SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES (DEFICITS) FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

	CDBG - Street Paving Project		2007 Debt Capital Fund		2011 Debt Capital Fund		CDBG - Send Technologies Project		CDBG - 5th Street Overlay		Total Nonmajor Capital Project Funds	
Revenues Intergovernmental Interest Income Total Revenues	\$		\$		\$		S	-	\$	-	\$	•
Expenditures Capital Projects		-		-	·			<u> </u>		-		<u>)</u>
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over Expenditures		•		-		-		-				120
Other Financing Sources (Uses) Operating Transfers - In Operating Transfers - Out Total Other Financing Sources		:		59,142		6,244		:		3		65,386
(Uses) <u>Net Change in Fund Balance</u>		-		59,142 59,142		6,244 6,244		(<u> </u>			р <u></u>	65,386 65,386
Fund Balances - Beginning		6,278		(59,137)		(6,236)		7	×	4	3	(59,084)
FUND BALANCES - ENDING	\$	6,278	\$	5	\$	8	\$	7	\$	4	\$	6,302

OTHER SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

<u>CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA</u> <u>ALL FUNDS</u> <u>SCHEDULE OF ASSESSED VALUATION AND AD VALOREM</u> <u>PROPERTY TAX LEVY FOR 2016</u>

Assessed Valuation		\$ 151,826,661
Tax Rate Per Thousand Dollars (Mills)		8.52
Gross Tax Levy		\$ 1,293,565
Tax Collected 2016 Assessments		\$ 1,284,349
Allocation of Tax Collected	2016 Tax Rate Mills	
General Fund Street Maintenance	6.89 1.63	\$ 1,038,631 245,718
Total	8.52	\$ 1,284,349

<u>CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA</u> <u>SCHEDULE OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES - CONVENTION CENTER</u> <u>FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017</u>

	Events		Con	cession	(Catering	Total
Revenues	\$	4,833	\$	2,160	\$	715,214	\$ 722,207
<u>Cost of Operations</u> Operating Expenditures Personnel		-		-		261,938 367,980	261,938 367,980
Total						629,918	 629,918
1 otur							 020,010
Gross Profit	\$	4,833	\$	2,160	\$	85,296	92,289
Administrative Expenditures							
Director's Salary							60,252
Operations Salaries							65,808
Employee Benefits							47,677
Vehicle Maintenance							3,108
Materials, Repairs & Supplies							1,231
Operating Supplies							42,128
Travel, Car & Meetings							1,200
Cell Phones and Pagers							1,080
Fuel							1,036
Advertising							-
Office Supplies							1,147
Utilities							 10,422
Total Administrative							
Expenditures							 235,089
Deficiency of Revenues Over							
<u>Expenditures</u>							 (142,800)

	Budget 694,500	Variance - Favorable (Unfavorable)			
	283,500 381,480				
\$	29,520	\$	62,769		
	60,275		23		
	65,865		57		
	47,605		(72)		
	2,000		(1,108)		
	-		(1,231)		
	41,000		(1,128)		
	1,200		-		
	1,080		-		
	1,200		164		
	-		-		
	1,500		353		
	11,700		1,278		
	233,425		(1,664)		
\$	(203,905)	\$	61,105		

<u>CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA</u> <u>SCHEDULE OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES - IKE HAMILTON EXPO CENTER</u> <u>FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017</u>

	Equine Events	Co	ncession	 l Rentals & Shavings	Total
Revenues	\$ 308,807	\$	51,031	\$ 216,569	\$ 576,407
Cost of Operations					
Operating Expenditures	103,318		16,963	72,476	192,757
Personnel	 137,844		22,631	 96,696	 257 <u>,171</u>
Total	 241,162		39,594	 169,172	 449,928
Gross Profit	\$ 67,645	\$	11,437	 47,397	126,479
Administrative Expenditures					
Director's Salary					86,844
Operations Salaries					89,285
Employee Benefits					64,437
Vehicle Maintenance					14,790
Materials, Repairs & Supplies					2,688
Gas and Oil					1,447
Office					1,570
Cell Phones and Pagers					1,040
Other Miscellaneous Purchases					282
Operating Supplies					34,822
Utilities					354,985
Total Administrative					
Expenditures					 652,190
Deficiency of Revenues Over					
Expenditures					 (525,711)

			Variance -				
				Favorable			
		Budget	(Un	favorable)			
		623,600					
		244,200					
		278,100					
		270,100					
	\$	101,300	\$	25,179			
		86,890		46			
		101,600		12,315			
		69,300		4,863			
		10,000		(4,790)			
		1,500		(1,188)			
		2,200		753			
		1,200		(370)			
		1,080		40			
		225		(57)			
		24,000		(10,822)			
		351,700		(3,285)			
2		649,695		(2,495)			
	\$	(548,395)	\$	22,684			
)	<u> </u>	(1.00000)	<u> </u>				

<u>CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA</u> <u>SCHEDULE OF COMPENSATION PAID TO COUNCIL MEMBERS</u> <u>FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017</u>

James Bennett James Brian	\$ 10,800 10,800
Alice Pearson	10,800
Staci Albritton Mitchell	10,800
Thom Hamilton	10,800
	\$ 54,000

REPORTS REQUIRED BY GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS AND UNIFORM GUIDANCE

CAMERON, HINES & COMPANY

(A Professional Accounting Corporation) Certified Public Accountants 104 Regency Place West Monroe, Louisiana 71291

Phone (318) 323-1717 Fax (318) 322-5121

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Honorable Mayor and Board of Aldermen of West Monroe, Louisiana

Mailing Address:

West Monroe, LA 71294-2474

P. O. Box 2474

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of West Monroe, Louisiana (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated November 20, 2017.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is not detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Honorable Mayor and Board of Aldermen of West Monroe, Louisiana Page 2

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 17-1 through 17-2.

City of West Monroe, Louisiana Response to Findings

The City's response to the findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of the Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Comerony Alines & Company (APAC)

West Monroe, Louisiana November 20, 2017 CAMERON, HINES & COMPANY

<u>Mailing Address;</u> P. O. Box 2474 West Monroe, LA 71294-2474 (A Professional Accounting Corporation) Certified Public Accountants 104 Regency Place West Monroe, Louisiana 71291

Phone (318) 323-1717 Fax (318) 322-5121

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

Honorable Mayor and Board of Aldermen of West Monroe, Louisiana

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited City of West Monroe, Louisiana's (the City) compliance with the type compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2017. The City's major federal programs are identified in the Summary of Auditor's Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the federal statutes, regulations, contracts, and terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we consider necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Honorable Mayor and Board of Aldermen of

West Monroe, Louisiana Page 2

Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with the Uniform Guidance and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 17-1 through 17-2. Our opinion on the major federal program is not modified with respect to these matters.

The City's response to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance with a type of the program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies, and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 17-1 through 17-2 that we consider to be material weaknesses.

Honorable Mayor and Board of Aldermen of West Monroe, Louisiana Page 3

The City's response to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Comeron, Hines & Company (APAC)

West Monroe, Louisiana November 20, 2017

<u>CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA</u> <u>SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS</u> <u>FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017</u>

SUMMARY OF AUDIT RESULTS

- 1. The auditors' report expresses an unmodified opinion on the basic government financial statements of the City of West Monroe, Louisiana.
- 2. Two significant deficiencies were disclosed during the audit of the financial statements as reported in the Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance and on Internal Control over Financial Reporting Based on an Audit Of Financial Statements Performed In Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards* and the Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance. Two of the significant deficiencies are deemed to be material weaknesses.
- 3. No instances of noncompliance material to the financial statements of the City of West Monroe, Louisiana, which would be required to be reported in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, were disclosed during the audit.
- 4. Two significant deficiencies that were all deemed material weaknesses were disclosed during the audit of the major federal award programs and both are reported in the Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance.
- 5. The auditors' report on compliance for the major federal award programs for the City of West Monroe, Louisiana expresses an unmodified opinion on all major federal programs.
- 6. Audit findings that are required to be reported in accordance with the Uniform Guidance are reported in this schedule.
- 7. The program tested as major program included the Department of Housing and Urban Development Voucher Program, CFDA No. 14.871.
- 8. The threshold for distinguishing between Types A and B programs was \$750,000.
- 9. The City of West Monroe, Louisiana does not qualify to be a low-risk auditee.

<u>CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA</u> <u>SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS</u> <u>FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 (continued)</u>

FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDIT

17-1 Utility Allowance

Federal program and specific federal award identification:

This finding relates to Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers, CFDA #14.871 for Federal Award Year 2016, received from United States Department of Housing and Urban Development.

Condition:

It was noted during testing of this grant that the City had not reviewed its schedule of utility allowances in the last year.

Criteria:

Federal regulations require that the City must review its schedule of utility allowances each year and revise its allowance for a utility category if there has been a change of ten percent or more in the utility rate since the last time the utility allowance schedule was revised.

Cause:

The City did not obtain information to determine whether utility allowances needed to be adjusted.

Potential Effect:

The City could be using data in its current utility allowance schedule that does not accurately reflect the current utility rates in its service area.

Recommendation:

The City should consult with the local companies that provide utilities to its service area and review its schedule of utility allowances to determine if any revisions need to be made.

Response:

The City periodically checks utility allowance reasonableness with utility providers. The City will design procedures to ensure that these checks for reasonableness are formally documented. These procedures will designate a representative of the City who will be responsible for verifying that utility allowance reasonableness has been documented.

17-2 Rent Reasonableness

Federal program and specific federal award identification:

This finding relates to Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers, CFDA #14.871 for Federal Award Year 2016, received from United States Department of Housing and Urban Development.

Condition:

It was noted during testing of this grant that the City had not properly performed and documented a test of rent reasonableness in accordance with federal regulations.

<u>CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA</u> <u>SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS</u> <u>FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 (continued)</u>

Criteria:

Federal regulations require that the City may not approve a lease until the City determines that the initial rent to the owner is a reasonable rent. Federal regulations also require that the City must redetermine the reasonable rent before any increase in the rent to the owner, if there is a five percent decrease in the published fair market rent in effect sixty days before the contract anniversary, or if directed to do so by HUD.

Federal regulations also require the City to document its decision and the basis for each decision in the tenant's file. This documentation should also identify who conducted the rent reasonableness determination and when the determination was made.

Cause:

The City did not obtain information to determine whether rent was being reasonably charged.

Potential Effect:

The City could be using rental rates that are not reasonable when compared to other units in its service area.

Recommendation:

The City should perform and document a test of rent reasonableness in accordance with federal regulations.

Response:

The City periodically checks rental rate reasonableness with owners of comparable properties. The City will design procedures to ensure that these checks for reasonableness are formally documented. These procedures will designate a representative of the City who will be responsible for verifying that rent rate reasonableness has been documented.

FINDINGS - FINANCIAL STATEMENT AUDIT

No audit findings noted for the year.

<u>CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA</u> <u>CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN FOR CURRENT YEAR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED</u> <u>COSTS</u> <u>FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017</u>

17-1 Utility Allowance

Federal program and specific federal award identification:

This finding relates to Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers, CFDA #14.871 for Federal Award Year 2016, received from United States Department of Housing and Urban Development.

Condition:

It was noted during testing of this grant that the City had not reviewed its schedule of utility allowances in the last year.

Corrective action planned:

The City periodically checks utility allowance reasonableness with utility providers. The City will design procedures to ensure that these checks for reasonableness are formally documented.

Person responsible for corrective action:

Krista Rushing Section 8 Director City of West Monroe 211 Cypress Street West Monroe, LA 71291 Telephone: (318) 699-0575

Anticipated completion date: June 30, 2018

17-2 Rent Reasonableness

Federal program and specific federal award identification:

This finding relates to Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers, CFDA #14.871 for Federal Award Year 2016, received from United States Department of Housing and Urban Development.

Condition:

It was noted during testing of this grant that the City had not properly performed and documented a test of rent reasonableness in accordance with federal regulations.

Corrective action planned:

The City periodically checks rental rate reasonableness with owners of comparable properties. The City will design procedures to ensure that these checks for reasonableness are formally documented.

<u>CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA</u> <u>CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN FOR CURRENT YEAR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED</u> <u>COSTS</u> <u>FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017</u>

Person responsible for corrective action: Krista Rushing Section 8 Director City of West Monroe 211 Cypress Street West Monroe, LA 71291 Telephone: (318) 699-0575

Anticipated completion date: June 30, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

Scott Olvey

Chief Accountant

•

<u>CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA</u> SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

Federal Grants/Pass Through Grantor/Program Title	CFDA Number	Agency or Pass - Through Number	Expenditures	
Department of Housing & Urban Development				
Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers	14.871	*	\$ 1,635,677	
HAP - Vouchers				
Department of Homeland Security				
Emergency Food and Shelter National Board Program	97.024		14,172	
Department of Justice				
Multi Jurisdictional Task Force	16.738		105,723	
Department of Transportation				
Louisiana Highway Safety Commision				
Repeat Offender Funds	20.608		130,498	
Formula Grants for Rural Areas	20.509		221,982	
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600		55,449	
Corporation for National and				
Community Service				
Volunteer Louisiana Commission in the Office				
of the Lieutenant Governor				
	04.000	164510 40010004	212 640	
AmeriCorps	94.006	15AFHLA0010004	212,549	
Executive Office of the President				
HIDTA Overtime Reimbursement				
Metro Narcotics	95.001		17,496	

\$ 2,393,546

*Denotes Major Federal Assistance Program.

See accompanying Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

1. General

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activity of all federal award programs of the City of West Monroe, Louisiana. The City of West Monroe, Louisiana reporting entity is defined in Note 1 to the City's financial statements. All federal award programs received directly from federal agencies, as well as federal awards passed through other government agencies, is included on the schedule.

2. Basis of Accounting

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented using the accrual basis of accounting, which is described in Note 1 to the City's financial statements. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the basic financial statements.

<u>CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA</u> <u>SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS</u> <u>FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017</u>

Internal Control and Compliance Material to Federal Awards

16-1 Unallowable Costs

Federal program and specific federal award identification:

This finding relates to AmeriCorps, CFDA #94.006 for Federal Award Year 2016, received from Federal Agency: Corporation for National and Community Service passed through the Office of Lieutenant Governor of Louisiana.

Condition:

It was noted during testing of this grant that some employees did not have all the required documentation to be included in this grant.

Recommendation:

The City should implement a system of checks and balances to help ensure that every employee has met all the applicable guidelines for the federal grant.

Current Status:

The City made personnel changes in the program and both the Director and Program Manager have been replaced. All the problems have been corrected and the program was renewed by the granting agency for another year.

16-2 Matching Expenses

Federal program and specific federal award identification:

This finding relates to AmeriCorps, CFDA #94.006 for Federal Award Year 2016, received from Federal Agency: Corporation for National and Community Service passed through the Office of Lieutenant Governor of Louisiana.

Condition:

It was noted during testing of this grant that the City did not spend the required amount on matching expenses.

Recommendation:

The City should more closely monitor the amounts that it is using for all matching expenses.

Current Status:

The City made personnel changes in the program and both the Director and Program Manager have been replaced. All the problems have been corrected and the program was renewed by the granting agency for another year.

<u>CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA</u> <u>SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS</u> <u>FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017</u>

16-3 Utility Allowance

Federal program and specific federal award identification:

This finding relates to Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers, CFDA #14.871 for Federal Award Year 2016, received from United States Department of Housing and Urban Development.

Condition:

It was noted during testing of this grant that the City had not reviewed its schedule of utility allowances in the last year.

Recommendation:

The City should consult with the local companies that provide utilities to its service area and review its schedule of utility allowances to determine if any revisions need to be made.

Current Status:

The finding was repeated as finding 17-1.

16-4 Rent Reasonableness

Federal program and specific federal award identification:

This finding relates to Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers, CFDA #14.871 for Federal Award Year 2016, received from United States Department of Housing and Urban Development.

Condition:

It was noted during testing of this grant that the City had not properly performed and documented a test of rent reasonableness in accordance with federal regulations.

Recommendation:

The City should perform and document a test of rent reasonableness in accordance with federal regulations.

Current Status:

The finding was repeated as finding 17-2.

<u>CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA</u> <u>SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS</u> <u>FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017</u>

Internal Control and Compliance Material to the Financial Statements

16-5 Petty Cash

Condition:

During the audit, it was discovered that employees are using the City's petty cash to cash their personal checks.

Recommendation:

The City should make a policy to limit the use of its petty cash to official City business only. Also, no checks should be accepted in exchange for getting cash from the City's petty cash.

Current Status:

The City is only allowing petty cash use for official City business.

<u>CITY OF WEST MONROE, LOUISIANA</u> <u>SCHEDULE OF COMPENSATION, REIMBURSEMENTS, BENEFITS</u> <u>AND OTHER PAYMENTS TO AGENCY HEAD</u> <u>JUNE 30, 2017</u>

Agency Head

Mayor Dave Norris

Salary		S	85,000
Benefits			
Pension - Municipal	19,338		
Medical Insurance	5,700		
Life and Disability Insurance	747		
Gym Membership	240		
Car Allowance	9,600		
Total Benefits			35,625
Total		<u> </u>	120,625

CAMERON, HINES & COMPANY

Mailing Address: P. O. Box 2474 West Monroe, LA 71294-2474 (A Professional Accounting Corporation) Certified Public Accountants 104 Regency Place West Monroe, Louisiana 71291

Phone (318) 323-1717 Fax (318) 322-5121

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

To the City of West Monroe and the Louisiana Legislative Auditor:

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the City of West Monroe (City) and the Louisiana Legislative Auditor (LLA) on the control and compliance (C/C) areas identified in the LLA's Statewide Agreed-Upon Procedures (SAUPs) for the fiscal period July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017. The City's management is responsible for those C/C areas identified in the SAUPs.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and applicable standards of *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified users of this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures and associated findings are as follows:

Written Policies and Procedures

- 1. Obtain the City's written policies and procedures and report whether those written policies and procedures address each of the following financial/business functions (or report that the City does not have any written policies and procedures), as applicable:
 - a) Budgeting, including preparing, adopting, monitoring, and amending the budget
 - b) *Purchasing*, including (1) how purchases are initiated; (2) how vendors are added to the vendor list; (3) the preparation and approval process of purchase requisitions and purchase orders; (4) controls to ensure compliance with the public bid law; and (5) documentation required to be maintained for all bids and price quotes.
 - c) Disbursements, including processing, reviewing, and approving
 - d) Receipts, including receiving, recording, and preparing deposits
 - e) *Payroll/Personnel*, including (1) payroll processing, and (2) reviewing and approving time and attendance records, including leave and overtime worked.
 - f) *Contracting*, including (1) types of services requiring written contracts, (2) standard terms and conditions, (3) legal review, (4) approval process, and (5) monitoring process

- g) Credit Cards (and debit cards, fuel cards, P-Cards, if applicable), including (1) how cards are to be controlled, (2) allowable business uses, (3) documentation requirements, (4) required approvers, and (5) monitoring card usage
- h) *Travel and expense reimbursement*, including (1) allowable expenses, (2) dollar thresholds by category of expense, (3) documentation requirements, and (4) required approvers
- i) *Ethics*, including (1) the prohibitions as defined in Louisiana Revised Statute 42:1111-1121, (2) actions to be taken if an ethics violation takes place, (3) system to monitor possible ethics violations, and (4) requirement that all employees, including elected officials, annually attest through signature verification that they have read the City's ethics policy. Note: Ethics requirements are not applicable to nonprofits.
- j) Debt Service, including (1) debt issuance approval, (2) EMMA reporting requirements,
 (3) debt reserve requirements, and (4) debt service requirements.

Findings: Twenty-eight exceptions noted where the policies of the City did not meet the requirements set by the procedures listed above.

Board (or Finance Committee, if applicable)

- 2. Obtain and review the board/committee minutes for the fiscal period, and:
 - a) Report whether the managing board met (with a quorum) at least monthly, or on a frequency in accordance with the board's enabling legislation, charter, or other equivalent document.
 - b) Report whether the minutes referenced or included monthly budget-to-actual comparisons on the General Fund and any additional funds identified as major funds in the City's prior audit (GAAP-basis).
 - If the budget-to-actual comparisons show that management was deficit spending during the fiscal period, report whether there is a formal/written plan to eliminate the deficit spending for those entities with a fund balance deficit. If there is a formal/written plan, report whether the meeting minutes for at least one board meeting during the fiscal period reflect that the board is monitoring the plan.
 - c) Report whether the minutes referenced or included non-budgetary financial information (e.g. approval of contracts and disbursements) for at least one meeting during the fiscal period.

Findings: One exception noted where the City does not do budget to actual comparisons for additional funds identified as major funds in the City's prior audit.

Bank Reconciliations

3. Obtain a listing of client bank accounts from management and management's representation that the listing is complete.

Findings: We obtained the listing and management's representation.

- 4. Using the listing provided by management, select all of the City's bank accounts (if five accounts or less) or one-third of the bank accounts on a three year rotating basis (if more than 5 accounts). If there is a change in practitioners, the new practitioner is not bound to follow the rotation established by the previous practitioner. Note: School student activity fund accounts may be excluded from selection if they are otherwise addressed in a separate audit or AUP engagement. For each of the bank accounts selected, obtain bank statements and reconciliations for all months in the fiscal period and report whether:
 - a) Bank reconciliations have been prepared;
 - b) Bank reconciliations include evidence that a member of management or a board member (with no involvement in the transactions associated with the bank account) has reviewed each bank reconciliation; and
 - c) If applicable, management has documentation reflecting that it has researched reconciling items that have been outstanding for more than 6 months as of the end of the fiscal period.

Findings: Five exceptions were noted where there was no evidence that a member of management or a board member has reviewed each reconciliation. Four exceptions noted where there was no documentation reflecting that the City had researched reconciling items that have been outstanding for more than 6 months as of the end of the fiscal period.

Collections

5. Obtain a listing of cash/check/money order (cash) collection locations and management's representation that the listing is complete.

Findings: We obtained the listing and management's representation.

6. Using the listing provided by management, select all of the City's cash collection locations (if five locations or less) or one-third of the collection locations on a three year rotating basis (if more than 5 locations). If there is a change in practitioners, the new practitioner is not bound to follow the rotation established by the previous practitioner. Note: School student activity funds may be excluded from selection if they are otherwise addressed in a separate audit or AUP engagement. For each cash collection location selected:

- a) Obtain existing written documentation (e.g. insurance policy, policy manual, job description) and report whether each person responsible for collecting cash is (1) bonded, (2) not responsible for depositing the cash in the bank, recording the related transaction, or reconciling the related bank account (report if there are compensating controls performed by an outside party), and (3) not required to share the same cash register or drawer with another employee.
- b) Obtain existing written documentation (e.g. sequentially numbered receipts, system report, reconciliation worksheets, policy manual) and report whether the City has a formal process to reconcile cash collections to the general ledger and/or subsidiary ledgers, by revenue source and/or agency fund additions, by a person who is not responsible for cash collections in the cash collection location selected.
- c) Select the highest (dollar) week of cash collections from the general ledger or other accounting records during the fiscal period and:
 - Using City's collection documentation, deposit slips, and bank statements, trace daily collections to the deposit date on the corresponding bank statement and report whether the deposits were made within one day of collection. If deposits were not made within one day of collection, report the number of days from receipt to deposit for each day at each collection location.
 - Using sequentially numbered receipts, system reports, or other related collection documentation, verify that daily cash collections are completely supported by documentation and report any exceptions.

Findings: Five exceptions noted where each cash collection location did not have written documentation describing the formal process to reconcile the collections to the accounting records. Five exceptions noted where the highest week of cash collections were not deposited within one business day of the collection.

7. Obtain existing written documentation (e.g. policy manual, written procedure) and report whether the City has a process specifically defined (identified as such by the City) to determine completeness of all collections, including electronic transfers, for each revenue source and agency fund additions (e.g. periodic confirmation with outside parties, reconciliation to utility billing after cutoff procedures, reconciliation of traffic ticket number sequences, agency fund forfeiture monies confirmation) by a person who is not responsible for collections.

Findings: Five exceptions noted where each cash collection location did not have written documentation of the process used to verify completeness of collections for the period.

Disbursements – General (excluding credit card/debit card/fuel card/P-Card purchases or payments)

8. Obtain a listing of entity disbursements from management or, alternately, obtain the general ledger and sort/filter for entity disbursements. Obtain management's representation that the listing or general ledger population is complete.

Findings: We obtained the general ledger and management's representation.

- 9. Using the disbursement population from #8 above, randomly select 25 disbursements (or randomly select disbursements constituting at least one-third of the dollar disbursement population if the City had less than 25 transactions during the fiscal period), excluding credit card/debit card/fuel card/P-card purchases or payments. Obtain supporting documentation (e.g. purchase requisitions, system screens/logs) for each transaction and report whether the supporting documentation for each transaction demonstrated that:
 - a) Purchases were initiated using a requisition/purchase order system or an equivalent electronic system that separates initiation from approval functions in the same manner as a requisition/purchase order system.
 - b) Purchase orders, or an electronic equivalent, were approved by a person who did not initiate the purchase.
 - c) Payments for purchases were not processed without (1) an approved requisition and/or purchase order, or electronic equivalent; a receiving report showing receipt of goods purchased, or electronic equivalent; and an approved invoice.

Findings: Five exceptions noted where the purchase order was approved by a person who initiated the purchase. One exception noted where a payment for a purchase was processed without an approved requisition and/or purchase order.

10. Using entity documentation (e.g. electronic system control documentation, policy manual, written procedure), report whether the person responsible for processing payments is prohibited from adding vendors to the City's purchasing/disbursement system.

Findings: No exceptions noted.

11. Using entity documentation (e.g. electronic system control documentation, policy manual, written procedure), report whether the persons with signatory authority or who make the final authorization for disbursements have no responsibility for initiating or recording purchases.

Findings: No exceptions noted.

12. Inquire of management and observe whether the supply of unused checks is maintained in a locked location, with access restricted to those persons that do not have signatory authority, and report any exceptions. Alternately, if the checks are electronically printed on blank check stock, review entity documentation (electronic system control documentation) and report whether the persons with signatory authority have system access to print checks.

Findings: No exceptions noted.

13. If a signature stamp or signature machine is used, inquire of the signer whether his or her signature is maintained under his or her control or is used only with the knowledge and consent of the signer. Inquire of the signer whether signed checks are likewise maintained under the control of the signer or authorized user until mailed. Report any exceptions.

Findings: No signature stamp or signature machine is used by the City. This step is not applicable.

Credit Cards/Debit Cards/Fuel Cards/P-Cards

14. Obtain from management a listing of all active credit cards, bank debit cards, fuel cards, and P-cards (cards), including the card numbers and the names of the persons who maintained possession of the cards. Obtain management's representation that the listing is complete.

Findings: We obtained the listing and management's representation.

15. Using the listing prepared by management, randomly select 10 cards (or at least one-third of the cards if the City has less than 10 cards) that were used during the fiscal period, rotating cards each year. If there is a change in practitioners, the new practitioner is not bound to follow the rotation established by the previous practitioner.

Obtain the monthly statements, or combined statements if multiple cards are on one statement, for the selected cards. Select the monthly statement or combined statement with the largest dollar activity for each card (for a debit card, select the monthly bank statement with the largest dollar amount of debit card purchases) and:

- a) Report whether there is evidence that the monthly statement or combined statement and supporting documentation was reviewed and approved, in writing, by someone other than the authorized card holder. [Note: Requiring such approval may constrain the legal authority of certain public officials (e.g., mayor of a Lawrason Act municipality); these instances should not be reported.)]
- b) Report whether finance charges and/or late fees were assessed on the selected statements.

Findings: No exceptions noted.

16. Using the monthly statements or combined statements selected under #15 above, obtain supporting documentation for all transactions for each of the 10 cards selected (i.e. each of the 10 cards should have one month of transactions subject to testing).

- a) For each transaction, report whether the transaction is supported by:
 - > An original itemized receipt (i.e., identifies precisely what was purchased)
 - Documentation of the business/public purpose. For meal charges, there should also be documentation of the individuals participating.
 - Other documentation that may be required by written policy (e.g., purchase order, written authorization.)
- b) For each transaction, compare the transaction's detail (nature of purchase, dollar amount of purchase, supporting documentation) to the City's written purchasing/disbursement policies and the Louisiana Public Bid Law (i.e. transaction is a large or recurring purchase requiring the solicitation of bids or quotes) and report any exceptions.
- c) For each transaction, compare the City's documentation of the business/public purpose to the requirements of Article 7, Section 14 of the Louisiana Constitution, which prohibits the loan, pledge, or donation of funds, credit, property, or things of value, and report any exceptions (e.g. cash advances or non-business purchases, regardless whether they are reimbursed). If the nature of the transaction precludes or obscures a comparison to the requirements of Article 7, Section 14, the practitioner should report the transaction as an exception.

Findings: No exceptions noted.

Travel and Expense Reimbursement

17. Obtain from management a listing of all travel and related expense reimbursements, by person, during the fiscal period or, alternately, obtain the general ledger and sort/filter for travel reimbursements. Obtain management's representation that the listing or general ledger is complete.

Findings: We obtained the general ledger and the City's travel and related expense reimbursements. We obtained management's representation.

18. Obtain the City's written policies related to travel and expense reimbursements. Compare the amounts in the policies to the per diem and mileage rates established by the U.S. General Services Administration (www.gsa.gov) and report any amounts that exceed GSA rates.

Findings: No exceptions noted.

19. Using the listing or general ledger from #17 above, select the three persons who incurred the most travel costs during the fiscal period. Obtain the expense reimbursement reports or prepaid expense documentation of each selected person, including the supporting documentation, and choose the largest travel expense for each person to review in detail. For each of the three travel expenses selected:

- a) Compare expense documentation to written policies and report whether each expense was reimbursed or prepaid in accordance with written policy (e.g., rates established for meals, mileage, lodging). If the City does not have written policies, compare to the GSA rates (#18 above) and report each reimbursement that exceeded those rates.
- b) Report whether each expense is supported by:
 - An original itemized receipt that identifies precisely what was purchased. [Note: An expense that is reimbursed based on an established per diem amount (e.g., meals) does not require a receipt.]
 - Documentation of the business/public purpose (Note: For meal charges, there should also be documentation of the individuals participating).
 - Other documentation as may be required by written policy (e.g., authorization for travel, conference brochure, certificate of attendance)
- c) Compare the City's documentation of the business/public purpose to the requirements of Article 7, Section 14 of the Louisiana Constitution, which prohibits the loan, pledge, or donation of funds, credit, property, or things of value, and report any exceptions (e.g. hotel stays that extend beyond conference periods or payment for the travel expenses of a spouse). If the nature of the transaction precludes or obscures a comparison to the requirements of Article 7, Section 14, the practitioner should report the transaction as an exception.
- d) Report whether each expense and related documentation was reviewed and approved, in writing, by someone other than the person receiving reimbursement.

Findings: One exception was noted where a travel expense that was reimbursed did not have proper supporting documentation.

Contracts

20. Obtain a listing of all contracts in effect during the fiscal period or, alternately, obtain the general ledger and sort/filter for contract payments. Obtain management's representation that the listing or general ledger is complete.

Findings: We obtained the general ledger and sorted and filtered to locate any contracts in effect during the fiscal period. We obtained management's representation.

- 21. Using the listing above, select the five contract "vendors" that were paid the most money during the fiscal period (excluding purchases on state contract and excluding payments to the practitioner). Obtain the related contracts and paid invoices and:
 - a) Report whether there is a formal/written contract that supports the services arrangement and the amount paid.
 - b) Compare each contract's detail to the Louisiana Public Bid Law or Procurement Code. Report whether each contract is subject to the Louisiana Public Bid Law or Procurement Code and:

- If yes, obtain/compare supporting contract documentation to legal requirements and report whether the City complied with all legal requirements (e.g., solicited quotes or bids, advertisement, selected lowest bidder)
- If no, obtain supporting contract documentation and report whether the City solicited quotes as a best practice.
- c) Report whether the contract was amended. If so, report the scope and dollar amount of the amendment and whether the original contract terms contemplated or provided for such an amendment.
- d) Select the largest payment from each of the five contracts, obtain the supporting invoice, compare the invoice to the contract terms, and report whether the invoice and related payment complied with the terms and conditions of the contract.
- e) Obtain/review contract documentation and board minutes and report whether there is documentation of board approval, if required by policy or law (e.g. Lawrason Act or Home Rule Charter).

Findings: No exceptions noted.

Payroll and Personnel

- 22. Obtain a listing of employees (and elected officials, if applicable) with their related salaries, and obtain management's representation that the listing is complete. Randomly select five employees/officials, obtain their personnel files, and:
 - a) Review compensation paid to each employee during the fiscal period and report whether payments were made in strict accordance with the terms and conditions of the employment contract or pay rate structure.
 - b) Review changes made to hourly pay rates/salaries during the fiscal period and report whether those changes were approved in writing and in accordance with written policy.

Findings: One exception noted where an employee contract could not be located for testing.

- 23. Obtain attendance and leave records and randomly select one pay period in which leave has been taken by at least one employee. Within that pay period, randomly select 25 employees/officials (or randomly select one-third of employees/officials if the City had less than 25 employees during the fiscal period), and:
 - a) Report whether all selected employees/officials documented their daily attendance and leave (e.g., vacation, sick, compensatory). (Note: Generally, an elected official is not eligible to earn leave and does not document his/her attendance and leave. However, if the elected official is earning leave according to policy and/or contract, the official should document his/her daily attendance and leave.)
 - b) Report whether there is written documentation that supervisors approved, electronically or in writing, the attendance and leave of the selected employees/officials.

c) Report whether there is written documentation that the City maintained written leave records (e.g., hours earned, hours used, and balance available) on those selected employees/officials that earn leave.

Findings: No exceptions noted.

24. Obtain from management a list of those employees/officials that terminated during the fiscal period and management's representation that the list is complete. If applicable, select the two largest termination payments (e.g., vacation, sick, compensatory time) made during the fiscal period and obtain the personnel files for the two employees/officials. Report whether the termination payments were made in strict accordance with policy and/or contract and approved by management.

Findings: We noted that the City does not have a written policy concerning termination payments to employees. Therefore, we could not test whether the selected termination payments were made in strict accordance with a written policy.

25. Obtain supporting documentation (e.g. cancelled checks, EFT documentation) relating to payroll taxes and retirement contributions during the fiscal period. Report whether the employee and employer portions of payroll taxes and retirement contributions, as well as the required reporting forms, were submitted to the applicable agencies by the required deadlines.

Findings: No exceptions noted.

Ethics (excluding nonprofits)

26. Using the five randomly selected employees/officials from procedure #22 under "Payroll and Personnel" above, obtain ethics compliance documentation from management and report whether the City maintained documentation to demonstrate that required ethics training was completed.

Findings: No exceptions were noted.

27. Inquire of management whether any alleged ethics violations were reported to the City during the fiscal period. If applicable, review documentation that demonstrates whether management investigated alleged ethics violations, the corrective actions taken, and whether management's actions complied with the City's ethics policy. Report whether management received allegations, whether management investigated allegations received, and whether the allegations were addressed in accordance with policy.

Findings: We noted that no alleged ethics violations were reported to the City during the fiscal period.

28. If debt was issued during the fiscal period, obtain supporting documentation from the City, and report whether State Bond Commission approval was obtained.

Findings: We noted that no debt was issued during the fiscal period.

29. If the City had outstanding debt during the fiscal period, obtain supporting documentation from the City and report whether the City made scheduled debt service payments and maintained debt reserves, as required by debt covenants.

Findings: No exceptions noted.

30. If the City had tax millages relating to debt service, obtain supporting documentation and report whether millage collections exceed debt service payments by more than 10% during the fiscal period. Also, report any millages that continue to be received for debt that has been paid off.

Findings: We noted that the City does not have tax millages relating to debt service.

Other

31. Inquire of management whether the City had any misappropriations of public funds or assets. If so, obtain/review supporting documentation and report whether the City reported the misappropriation to the legislative auditor and the district attorney of the parish in which the City is domiciled.

Findings: We noted that there were no misappropriations of public funds or assets reported to the City.

32. Observe and report whether the City has posted on its premises and website, the notice required by R.S. 24:523.1. This notice (available for download or print at <u>www.lla.la.gov/hotline</u>) concerns the reporting of misappropriation, fraud, waste, or abuse of public funds.

Findings: No exceptions noted.

33. If the practitioner observes or otherwise identifies any exceptions regarding management's representations in the procedures above, report the nature of each exception.

Findings: We did not observe or otherwise identify any exceptions regarding management's representations in the procedures above.

Management's Response

We concur with the results of the procedures and are working diligently to improve controls.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on those C/C areas identified in the SAUPs. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of testing performed on those C/C areas identified in the SAUPs, and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on control or compliance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose. Under Louisiana Revised Statute 24:513, this report is distributed by the LLA as a public document.

Comeson, Hines & Company (APAC)

West Monroe, Louisiana November 20, 2017